Advisory Committee on Site Security

Tuesday, April 30, 2019
1:00 PM – 5:00 PM
Truman Building, Fourth Floor
Room 493/494

Advisory Committee Members Present
Lt. Mike Halford, Department of Public Safety – State of Missouri Executive Branch Member
Jim Arnott – Public Member
Tim Reagan – Public Member

Department Staff Present
Lyndall Fraker, Section for Medical Marijuana Regulation, DHSS
Richard Moore, General Counsel, DHSS
Steve Doerhoff, Legal Counsel, DHSS

Lyndall Fraker, the Director of the Section for Medical Marijuana Regulation, Missouri Department of Health and Senior Services (“DHSS”) opened the meeting and introduced the committee members present.

Richard Moore, General Counsel, reported that the questions were previously sent to the committee members and feedback was given to the Department by the members. The public was also given the opportunity previously to submit comments on the questions. Richard Moore reported that once a question has been determined to meet the requirements of the committee, the committee members will be asked to establish what they believe to be the importance level of the question. Richard Moore reported that a scale was provided to committee members to make that determination. The scale is as follows:
1 – Slightly Important
2 – Important
3 – Highly Important
4 – Critically Important

Question 76:
Describe your security plan, including staffing at the facility.

Weight of question: 3 – Highly Important

Committee consensus on question and weight of question.
Question 77:
Will your security exceed the minimum requirements established by Rule in regard to parking lots and building exterior, if so how?

Weight of question: 1 – Slightly Important

Committee consensus on question and weight of question.

Question 78:
Will your security exceed the minimum requirements established by Rule in regard to interior public spaces where an agent identification card is not required for access, if so how?

Weight of question: 2 – Important

Committee consensus on question and weight of question.

Question 79:
Will your security exceed the minimum requirements established by Rule in regard to interior non-public spaces where an agent identification card is required for access, if so how?

Weight of question: 2 – Important

Committee consensus on question and weight of question.

Question 80:
Will your security exceed the minimum requirements established by Rule in regard to marijuana containment, if so how?

Weight of question: 2 – Important

Committee consensus on question and weight of question.

Question 81:
Will your security exceed the minimum requirements established by Rule in regard to surveillance, if so how?

Weight of question: 2 – Important

Committee consensus on question and weight of question.
**Question 82:**
Describe the methods of screening and monitoring employees.

Weight of question: **4 – Critically Important**
Committee consensus on question and weight of question.

**Question 83:**
Describe the methods of maintaining a chain of custody, including training.

Weight of question: **4 – Critically Important**
Committee consensus on question and weight of question.

**Question 84:**
Will the facility utilize security signage to warn of trespassing, searches, and badge requirements?

Weight of question: **1 – Slightly Important**
Committee consensus on question and weight of question.

**Question 85:**
Will the facility have a procedure for notification of lost access cards, termination of lost card access, and subsequent reissuance?

Weight of question: **1 – Slightly Important**
Committee consensus on question and weight of question.

The Advisory Committee voted unanimously in favor of accepting the questions as amended. The meeting was concluded.