Dear Committee Members, This letter is in opposition to the project planned in the New Town development in St. Charles, Missouri. I feel this will create more issues as the roads are tight and getting EMT vehicles through would be a challenge and a bigger safety issue.

Thank you in advance for your consideration of voting against this site.
Best Regards

Scott Shively
Dear Committee Members, This letter is in opposition to the project planned in the New Town development in St. Charles, Missouri. I feel this will create more issues as the roads are tight and getting EMT vehicles through would be a challenge and a bigger safety issue.

Thank you in advance for your consideration of voting against this site.

Best Regards

Tamera Shively
Dear Committee Members,

This letter is in opposition to the project planned in the New Town development in St. Charles, Missouri.

I feel this is a horrible location for potential residents in the center as well as existing and future residents of New Town and Charlestowne. As someone who does financial planning and analysis for the nation’s 4th largest senior living provider, I am astonished anyone sees this as a viable or beneficial location for any party involved. Well, other than the seller of the land.

Thank you in advance for your consideration of voting against this site.

Sincerely,

Chris Massmann
Dear Committee Members,

This letter is in opposition to the project planned in the New Town development in St. Charles, Missouri.

I am a concerned Charlestowne resident whose back yard borders New Town where this development is proposed. The increase in traffic on the already narrow streets in both neighborhoods will be a safety issue for residents and emergency vehicles. The water drainage issue that will effect the already taxed lakes in New Town will now be an issue for the Charlestowne subdivision which borders this area.

Thank you in advance for your consideration of voting against this site.

Best Regards

Lynn Lambeck

Resident of the Charlestowne Subdivision
Dear Committee Members,

This letter is in opposition to the project planned in the New Town development in St. Charles, Missouri. I live in the New Town subdivision just down the street from the proposed Senior Living Community (SLC).

While I think having a SLC within the area is a great idea I do have some concerns about the location of the proposed site. The proposed site is at the end of two main streets within the New Town subdivision. I live on one of these streets and there are already problems with speeding as well as poor street parking that does not allow for 2 cars to pass at the same time. This is not a unique problem to my street as it happens in many places within the subdivision. As the proposed site is not near any of the entrances, I have concerns about frequent need for emergency personnel to be able to efficiently access the SLC. If it was located closer to one of the different entrances this would be less of a problem.

Another major factor with this development is how it will affect the water runoff situation within the neighborhood. When there is substantial rainfall there are lakes and canals that were built to handle the overflow. The land where the SLC is being proposed to be built is currently lower than the residential lots surrounding it. With the land being raised and paved over for a parking lot the runoff water will be directed to one of these lakes which has overflowed its banks at various times. As this lake was not originally intended to have such additional overflow, I have very serious concerns about how this could negatively affect the homes surrounding it during heavy rainfall events. There are also problems in this area with the vacuum sewer system. I believe that there will be even more issues if we're adding 100+ rooms into such a small area that could overload and cause issues with the system in combination with heavy rainfall.

Thank you for taking the time to read this email and your consideration in voting against the proposed site.
Dear Committee Members,

This letter is in opposition to the project planned in the New Town development in St. Charles, Missouri. I live in the New Town subdivision just down the street from the proposed Senior Living Community (SLC).

While I think having a SLC within the area is a great idea I do have some concerns about the location of the proposed site. The proposed site is at the end of two main streets within the New Town subdivision. I live on one of these streets and there are already problems with speeding as well as poor street parking that does not allow for 2 cars to pass at the same time. This is not a unique problem to my street as it happens in many places within the subdivision. As the proposed site is not near any of the entrances, I have concerns about frequent need for emergency personnel to be able to efficiently access the SLC. If it was located closer to one of the different entrances this would be less of a problem.

Another major factor with this development is how it will affect the water runoff situation within the neighborhood. When there is substantial rainfall there are lakes and canals that were built to handle the overflow. The land where the SLC is being proposed to be built is currently lower than the residential lots surrounding it. With the land being raised and paved over for a parking lot the runoff water will be directed to one of these lakes which has overflowed its banks at various times. As this lake was not originally intended to have such additional overflow, I have very serious concerns about how this could negatively affect the homes surrounding it during heavy rainfall events. There are also problems in this area with the vacuum sewer system. I believe that there will be even more issues if we're adding 100+ rooms into such a small area that could overload and cause issues with the system in combination with heavy rainfall.

Thank you for taking the time to read this email and your consideration in voting against the proposed site.
Re: #5797 RS: St. Charles Senior Living Community

Dear Committee Members, This letter is in opposition to the project planned in the New Town development in St. Charles, Missouri.

I hope you are safe and well. I am in opposition to the resurrection of a senior living community at Granger and Wainwright in New town/Charlestown. The location is fairly congested with traffic and there are no direct routes in for EMS. Furthermore, the residents of New town as a whole are concerned with the structure and impact on the community as a whole.

Thank you in advance for your consideration of voting against this site.

Best,

Andrew H. Slaby
3273 Simeon Bunker St
Saint Charles, MO 63301
Dear Committee Members,

This letter is in opposition to the project planned in the New Town development in St. Charles, Missouri. I live in the New Town subdivision just down the street from the proposed Senior Living Community (SLC).

While I think having a SLC within the area is a great idea I do have some concerns about the location of the proposed site. The proposed site is at the end of two main streets within the New Town subdivision. I live on one of these streets and there are already problems with speeding as well as poor street parking that does not allow for 2 cars to pass at the same time. This is not a unique problem to my street as it happens in many places within the subdivision. As the proposed site is not near any of the entrances, I have concerns about frequent need for emergency personnel to be able to efficiently access the SLC. If it was located closer to one of the different entrances this would be less of a problem.

Another major factor with this development is how it will affect the water runoff situation within the neighborhood. When there is substantial rainfall there are lakes and canals that were built to handle the overflow. The land where the SLC is being proposed to be built is currently lower than the residential lots surrounding it. With the land being raised and paved over for a parking lot the runoff water will be directed to one of these lakes which has overflowed its banks at various times. As this lake was not originally intended to have such additional overflow, I have very serious concerns about how this could negatively affect the homes surrounding it during heavy rainfall events. There are also problems in this area with the vacuum sewer system. I believe that there will be even more issues if we're adding 100+ rooms into such a small area that could overload and cause issues with the system in combination with heavy rainfall.

Thank you for taking the time to read this email and your consideration in voting against the proposed site.

Regards,

Matt Morice
Dear Committee Members,

This letter is in opposition to the project planned in the New Town development in St. Charles, Missouri. I live in the New Town subdivision in the Beach District. Given the struggles the New Town development has experienced with multiple developers and strain on infrastructure, this is simply not the best site to locate the project. Senior living is best situated as a transition from commercial districts to residential districts. This location is within a residential area and can only be accessed by passing through residential areas. Locating inside a densely populated area creates two significant reasons that should result in denying this certificate of need. With the developer having no experiencing developing in a planned community like New Town and based in Montana, the unique complexities of this site are being overlooked.

1) Water detention will utilize existing lakes that are already stressed due to growth and unusually high rain volume. The run-off water in the planned development will flow to the nearby New Town Lake located near Granger Boulevard and New Town Lake Drive. This area has had two significant floods in December 2015 and again on August 9, 2020. I personally assisted neighbors with sandbagging efforts due to the lake pumps and sewer lines not functioning properly. Given the strain on the system, now is the time to review and revise, not add further strain. Given that this area is currently undeveloped, it sits at a lower elevation than the area houses and streets. It is temporarily assisting as a temporary retention area to help ease some of the stress of the overburdened New Town Lake during periods of heavy rain. Without this and revisions to the overall retention and sewage plans, this will add more water and directly impact the homes along Granger Boulevard and secondarily along Millington Drive.

2) The planned development is within the subdivision and not accessible to major roads for quick access. Arterial flow of the development makes it difficult for buses and emergency vehicles to navigate through the subdivision. Given the need for emergency personnel to access senior living on a frequent, if not daily basis, senior housing is best located in areas with quick access to major roads, not on one-way and tightly planned streets.

Thank you in advance for your consideration of voting against this site.

--

Best-

Michelle M. Dean
Licensed Realtor® ABR® e-Pro®
Re: #5797 RS: St. Charles Senior Living Community

Dear Committee Members, This letter is in opposition to the project planned in the New Town development in St. Charles, Missouri.

Thank you in advance for your consideration of voting against this site.

Best Regards

Sybil A. Smith

Sent from my iPhone
Dear Committee Members, This letter is in opposition to the project planned in the New Town development in St. Charles, Missouri.

My wife and I are residence of the beach district in New Town. I moved to this community 7 years ago and love it here because it was developed for the enjoyment of all of its community members – young and old alike. We have many beautiful amenities, including churches, a market, cafes and restaurants, parks, beaches, and lakes that encourage the entire community to get outdoors, walk, play, get active, and meet each other. It is not just a place to live but a place to build community. In fact, New Town was designed specifically for pedestrians with many streets designed for parking on both sides as a traffic calming measure in order to reduce speeds. Therefore, one can often find residents of all ages walking, jogging and biking throughout the neighborhood and across our streets.

Recently, many residents including my wife and I were shocked to find out that an assisted living facility is planned to be built in the middle of a residential part of New Town far from either of the main access roads into or out of the neighborhood. While an assisted living facility as well as senior living have always been a part of the plan for our community – and a welcome one at that – I have serious concerns for the safety and well-being of my family and neighbors as well as the residents of this planned facility at the proposed location.

Given that our streets were designed to slow traffic and impede flow to encourage pedestrian use, how will emergency vehicles quickly access this assisted living facility charged with the care of vulnerable seniors? In addition, with the increased frequency of emergency vehicles, delivery trucks, and staff and visitor vehicles accessing this facility, what about the safety of our children, neighbors and the other pedestrians using these narrow streets?

The concern here is not the presence of an assisted living facility in New Town at St. Charles but rather that the facility be located in a safe location closer a major road where emergency vehicles have safe, easy, and quick access to the facility and the traffic flow does not jeopardize the safety of New Town’s residents and visitors.

Thank you in advance for your consideration of voting against this site.
Best Regards,

Jeff and Lauren Franklin
Committee Members -
I have prepared this communication to express my misgivings and opposition to the proposed senior living facility in the residential area in St. Charles known colloquially as New Town.

Simply put, the impact of the increased facility and workforce population density will be far more than the infrastructure that the location of the proposed facility can handle. These are narrow residential streets that will not be able to support the increased traffic, additional parking, and will not be easily navigable by first responders.

Further, the nearby residents will be subject to light pollution and greatly increased traffic congestion. The facility as designed is not fitting of the overall design aesthetic of this planned community.

In closing, I do appreciate your consideration in voting against the proposed location of this facility.

Best
Bryan, Kaitlin, Mollie, & Stanley Grate

Sent from my iPhone
#5797 RS: St. Charles Senior Living Community

Dear Committee Members, This letter is in opposition to the project planned in the New Town development in St. Charles, Missouri.

My family live directly opposite the proposed location for the St. Charles Senior Living Community project. We are concerned about accessibility for emergency vehicles in such a traffic troubled area, increased traffic exasperating the traffic trouble in that area, sound pollution a large facility of this nature will cause, light pollution a large facility of this nature will cause. Please vote against this project and consider moving the proposed property to another more responsible location in or around New Town.

Thank you in advance for your consideration of voting against this site.

Best Regards,

The Barr Family
Dear Committee Members,

This letter is in opposition to the project planned in the New Town development in St. Charles, Missouri. I live in the New Town subdivision in the South Lake District.

Given the struggles the New Town development has experienced with multiple developers and strain on infrastructure, this is simply not the best site to locate the project. Senior living is best situated as a transition from commercial districts to residential districts. This location is within a residential area and can only be accessed by passing through residential areas. Locating inside a densely populated area creates three significant reasons that should result in denying this certificate of need. With the developer having no experiencing developing in a planned community like New Town and based in Montana, the unique complexities of this site are being overlooked.

1) Water detention will utilize existing lakes that are already stressed due to growth and unusually high rain volume. The run-off water in the planned development will flow to the nearby New Town Lake located near Granger Boulevard and New Town Lake Drive. This area has had two significant floods in December 2015 and again on August 9, 2020. I personally assisted neighbors with sandbagging efforts due to the lake pumps and sewer lines not functioning properly. Given the strain on the system, now is the time to review and revise, not add further strain. Given that this area is currently undeveloped, it sits at a lower elevation than the area houses and streets. It is temporarily assisting as a temporary retention area to help ease some of the stress of the overburdened New Town Lake during periods of heavy rain. Without this and revisions to the overall retention and sewage plans, this will add more water and directly impact the homes along Granger Boulevard and secondarily along Millington Drive.

2) The planned development is within the subdivision and not accessible to major roads for quick access. Arterial flow of the development makes it difficult for buses and emergency vehicles to navigate through the subdivision. Given the need for emergency personnel to access senior living on a frequent, if not daily basis, senior housing is best located in areas with quick access to major roads, not on one-way and tightly planned streets.

3. This is a community based on the architecture of Seaside Florida. The visually appealing and walk-ability, of a community bustling with concerts, children at play, movies played at the amphitheater are great but all provide for a noise level that are not conducive to senior living communities. This coupled with a school district that is growing in families and a need to continue to provide it with incoming students. Orchard Farm is growing and building a new high school. A senior living facility does not add more children to a school district.

Thank you in advance for your consideration of voting against this site.

In partnership,

Shanise Terrell, Ed.D
September 11, 2020

Members of the Committee:

As a resident of New Town at St. Charles, I write this letter in opposition of the Certificate of Need for St. Charles Senior Living Community. I oppose this CON for several reasons:

1. The residents affected by the addition of this facility were not informed of this facility by the developer nor were we provided with adequate notice. Therefore, the residents have not had adequate opportunity to request a hearing or make an informed determination as to whether they wish to oppose or support the facility through the CON process. While the applicant may have arguably followed the letter of the law by publishing one notice, one day in the St. Louis Post Dispatch (but not in any newspapers in circulation in St. Charles County where the facility is proposed to be located), most if not all of our residents other than Greg Whittaker, who is the developer of New Town and sold the property for this facility to the applicant, were not aware of the proposed facility until the CON application was posted on our resident Facebook page on August 25. In fact, the elected representatives on the General Assembly of New Town were not even aware of the facility when I emailed them to inquire on August 27. Based upon the flurry of letters and emails the committee has received to date – even under the curtailed timeframe the residents have had to respond – the New Town community clearly has serious concerns which should be addressed.

2. The letter of support provided in the CON application by Greg Whittaker should be disregarded.

   a. While Mr. Whittaker’s letter of support is written on NT Home Builders letterhead and may appear to represent his company, NT Home Builders, Mr. Whittaker clearly implies throughout the letter that the proposed facility will be welcomed by the actual New Town residents. In fact, at the end he even states that “[w]e look forward to welcoming the proposed project to New Town and wholeheartedly support its approval.” This is rather ironic given that he neglected to inform the New Town community itself of the planned facility. While Mr. Whittaker may speak for himself and his business enterprises, he certainly does not speak for the residents of New Town as evidenced by the many letters the committee has received regarding this proposed project.

   b. Mr. Whittaker’s letter of support should be disregarded because he has a vested interest in the CON being approved. The closing of the Purchase and Sale Agreement (Attachment II-8-A of the CON Application) to which Mr. Whittaker’s company is a party (and from which the company will receive $1,170,000.00) is contingent upon the CON being approved.

3. Finally, while a senior living facility would be a welcome addition to New Town, this specific location is not a safe one for New Town residents or the potential assisted living residents.

   a. The proposed facility is in very close proximity to a lake which could pose a danger to its memory care residents who elope. According to the CNA Aging Services 2016 Claim Report, while elopement is a low-frequency occurrence,
death occurred in 45.7 percent of the elopement insurance claims; and
assisted living communities experience the highest number of elopements.

Below is a satellite photo showing the corner of Wainwright and Granger (bottom left) where the proposed facility will be located (red X) and its close proximity to a large lake within easy walking distance (a block or two) for any resident. If a resident with dementia were to elope he or she could easily wander to the lake if sufficient safety precautions are not put in place. As a speech-language pathologist, I worked in post-acute care with patients with dementia and am fully aware that elopement is a risk for every facility – even the most well-run. This is not something to take lightly and the safety of the location should be scrutinized. When I became aware of this location, I was particularly alarmed.

b. The proposed facility is in the middle of the New Town community with no easy access to major roads in or out of the community. The streets of New Town were designed to slow traffic to promote a walking community. Therefore, the street design could pose a danger to
pedestrians, children, bikers, and runners when emergency vehicles and other vehicles accessing the facility (e.g., delivery trucks) are attempting to pass through narrow streets with limited line of sight. In addition, because the streets are intended to slow traffic, the facility residents could be put at risk due to emergency vehicles having slowed access to the facility in an emergent situation. It would be more practical and safe for the proposed facility to be located on the outskirts of the community near a major point of access.

Below is a satellite photo of the community. The blue rectangle is the site of the proposed facility. The red lines at the top of the photo and at the bottom are the major roads (Elm Street at the top; Boschertown Rd. at the bottom). As you can see, this facility is equidistant between the two major roads and couldn’t be further from a major access point than the current proposed site.

Most alarmingly, when safety concerns regarding the streets have been raised in the past, and as recently as this summer when a new beach feature was opened in the community, the New Town Community Manager was more committed to the community design than to the concerns of the residents and the safety of the community. In fact, even after significant uproar from the residents, the New Town Community Manager took no action. It took our residents to petition our town councilman, who is also a New Town resident, to pass a city ordinance to resolve the “dangerous parking situation near the beach.” Based upon the past record of the New Town
managers, I have little confidence that they will be proactive – or even reactive – if any safety concerns arise with respect to traffic issues and this proposed facility.

Please see Attachment 1 for a photo of the traffic/parking issues at the beach that we experienced this summer, Facebook communications regarding the concerns, communications from the New Town Manager stating that New Town would take no action, and our councilman’s announcement of the new ordinance.

Thank you for your time and consideration of this matter. As a resident of this vibrant and beautiful community, we do value residents of all ages and I would wholeheartedly welcome a senior living facility to our community if it were located in a safe and sensible location. This proposed location is not that – especially given New Town management’s past disregard for and dismissal of the residents’ safety concerns while favoring its commitment to the community design over all else.

Respectfully,

Lisa M. Luetkemeyer
Millington Drive
This is getting very old, it's time for no parking on one side near the beach.
I emailed the GA last week and here is the response I received.

That response you got in non-sensical. Whether the intention of NT, we now have a traffic problem that leads to inconvenience and at some point may lead to damage. Intent doesn't matter, how it's being used does.

I emailed them asking for one side of the street parking due to pedestrians crossing and their safety as well as the drivers trying to pass. I told them how hard it is to see around that curve and how dangerous it is for all parties.

for real!!

well that team of architects and engineers need to go back to school, commonsense should tell any normal thinking person it's a mess. Plus that same team designed Arpent too and guess what, parking removed and turned into a one way street at Rue

perhaps rather than saying no someone should actually come out and experience this plan. Once cars are parked on both sides traffic approaching is stuck.
New Town was planned by an entire team of architects and engineers (including traffic engineers) to be first and foremost designed for pedestrians. Removing a parking lane or two would enable traffic to increase speed, therefore decreasing safety for pedestrians. Furthermore, the Town Architect has worked with the team of architects and engineers to develop New Town and carries the knowledge regarding the design intent and principles behind its design.

While I understand the concern, I regret to inform no changes will be made at this time.

Regards,

**Eric See-Leynes, CMCA®**

*Senior Community Manager*

DNI Properties, Inc. for

The New Town at St. Charles® General Assembly

3312-1 Rue Royale Street

St. Charles, MO 63301
Here's the response I got:

Thank you for sharing your concerns.

Canal Street was designed for parking on both sides. The street also has a central median to reduce the speed of vehicles and provide a safer environment for pedestrians.

Unilocks also are approved for double sided parking, and the sidewalk is designed to be compliant.

Regards.

Eric See-Layton
c/o Z
dP Properties Inc.

which is correct when discussing New Urbanism design that New Town follows. Going 10-15 mph is safe in that area. 20-25 is not for those going to the beach.

last week on broad street there were cars on both sides, kids in the street and cars coming and going. A driver saw all of this happen. Side swiped a car and sped off. Chased by neighbors down elm she care back. Her explanation was that the street was too narrow and crowded so she sped up. Go figure.

why does Suntan get parking on one side then?? Makes no sense to do it for one Street not the other by the beach?!

The streets are designed with built-in calming for their intended use. New Town is designed with multiple entrances and exits. Before this section of New Town was built there were two, the front and back. Once others were created people ha...

See More

It's not about the slower speeds. I am all for the slower speeds as I live on Canal. I welcome slower speeds. However the double parked cars are a hazard to children darting in and out between the cars no matter how slow you are going a car will seriously hurt some one or worse. In addition an Emergency vehicles could not access our street in a timely manner due to the parking on both sides. It is a serious concern and not to be taken lightly or brushed off of the way something it designed. I design things all day long and know changes have to be made to designs when things to don't work or flow as they should or pose a danger.
Thank you for sharing your concern.

Canal Street was designed for parking on both sides of the street as a traffic calming measure in order to reduce speeds when there is a high volume of patron on the beach.

Likewise, streets are approved for double sided parking, and takes into account EMS response.

In closing, I apologize for your frustration, however, the approved plan is functioning as designed.

Regards,

Eric See-Leynes, CMCA®

Senior Community Manager

DNI Properties, Inc. for
......MORE GOOD NEWS FOR NEW TOWN......
After speaking with many residents regarding a dangerous parking situation near the beach, I worked with the city to sponsor a bill asking for NO PARKING signs.
We jumped through all of the hurdles and I am proud to say that the bill passed tonight. 😊
The signs will be up shortly.
— with Michael Galba

BILLS FOR FINAL PASSAGE
BILL 13113
AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SCHEDULE III, TABLE III-A OF CHAPTER 350 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES BY ESTABLISHING A PARKING RESTRICTION ALONG THE SOUTH SIDE OF CANAL STREET FROM NEW TOWN BOULEVARD TO MEEKER STREET (SPONSOR: MICHAEL GALBA)
Dear Committee Members,

This letter is in opposition to the project planned in the New Town development in St. Charles, Missouri. I live in the New Town subdivision in the Island District. Given the struggles the New Town development has experienced with multiple developers and strain on infrastructure, this is simply not the best site to locate the project. Senior living is best situated as a transition from commercial districts to residential districts. This location is within a residential area and can only be accessed by passing through residential areas. Locating inside a densely populated area creates two significant reasons that should result in denying this certificate of need. With the developer having no experiencing developing in a planned community like New Town and based in Montana, the unique complexities of this site are being overlooked.

1) Water detention will utilize existing lakes that are already stressed due to growth and unusually high rain volume. The run-off water in the planned development will flow to the nearby New Town Lake located near Granger Boulevard and New Town Lake Drive. This area has had two significant floods in December 2015 and again on August 9, 2020. My home was one that had to be sandbagged in both occasions due to the lake pumps and sewer lines not functioning properly. In addition to the lake flooding I had sewer back up into my basement August 8, 2020. Given the strain on the system, now is the time to review and revise, not add further strain. Given that this area is currently undeveloped, it sits at a lower elevation than the area houses and streets. It is temporarily assisting as a temporary retention area to help ease some of the stress of the overburdened New Town Lake during periods of heavy rain. Without this and revisions to the overall retention and sewage plans, this will add more water and directly impact the homes along Granger Boulevard and secondarily along Millington Drive.

2) The planned development is within the subdivision and not accessible to major roads for quick access. Arterial flow of the development makes it difficult for buses and emergency vehicles to navigate through the subdivision. Given the need for emergency personnel to access senior living on a frequent, if not daily basis, senior housing is best located in areas with quick access to major roads, not on one-way and tightly planned streets.

Thank you in advance for your consideration of voting against this site.

Sincerely,
Rebecca Winchester
Dear Committee Members,

I am writing to you today in complete and total support of the planned development of an ALF in the New Town at St. Charles, MO. This letter is in direct response to a campaign on FB to oppose this plan. I have lived in New Town for 13 years, and feel that this plan is great for our community, and aligns with our community vision.

Thank you in advance for your consideration of voting for the site.

Best Regards
Karen Sprong
Dear Committee Members,

This letter is in Favor to the project planned in the New Town development in St. Charles, Missouri.

New Town is a New Urbanist Community, a Senior Living Development is in line with the design, spirit and zoning. To date those in opposition have not addressed any substantive reason the committee is charged to deny a CON. The goal of New Town was to create a neighborhood that has living for multi generational families. As I age, knowing I can stay in my neighborhood and have my grandkids close is something I embrace.

The traffic issues, water run off etc are for local zoning laws to consider. This property was zoned for this as a highest and best use already. Those opposed are simply “not in my backyard” and all had the opportunity to purchase the land to control what would be developed. The master developer has a vested interest to continue a quality development and wouldn’t risk his major investment with an ill conceived placement of this senior living development.

Thank you in advance for your consideration of voting in favor of this proposal.

Best Regards,

John Gieseke
3520 Canal Street
St Charles, MO 63301
314-651-2006

Sent from my iPhone
September 10, 2020

Missouri Department of Health & Senior Services
Certificate of Need Program

To Whom it May Concern:

As a housing professional that has invested in roughly 5,000 units of affordable housing, I am writing to recommend your support for the senior living development known as St. Charles Senior Living Community in Newtown at St. Charles. I recommend your support for the following reasons:

- Quality senior housing options are critical in the quality of life for seniors
- This demographic is among the fastest growing in the country, including St. Charles County
- Quality of life is further enhanced with the knowledge that advanced care programs are available to seniors at the location they retire to instead of having to move yet again to another location for advanced services
- Seniors are a stabilizing demographic that are deserving of support from the community through the availability of quality housing and care options

I personally have known the developer of this project for over 20 years and can speak to the professionalism and genuine character as an upstanding individual.

Most sincerely,

John F. Kennedy,
President & CEO