

Title of Intervention: Drivers Under the Influence Intensive Supervision Program (DISP)

Website: <http://www.nhtsa.dot.gov/people/outreach/safedige/spring2000/spr00-6.html>
<http://www.ojd.state.or.us/mul/CriminalActions/index.htm>

Intervention Strategies: Group Education, Environments and Policies

Purpose of the Intervention: To reduce drunk driving among alcohol traffic offenders

Population: Multiple alcohol traffic offenders without a record of violent crimes

Setting: Multnomah County, Oregon; community-based

Partners: Governmental divisions, private agencies, probation officers, local chapters of Alcoholics Anonymous

Intervention Description:

- Group Education: Participants attended mandated treatment sessions, weekly Alcoholics Anonymous meetings, a victim impact panel discussion and monthly meetings with the probation officer.
- Environments and Policies: Offenders had the option of enrolling in the program or spending 60 or more days in jail. The program required full time employment or school attendance, regular court appearances, polygraph tests, additional jail time for non-compliance, the sale of all vehicles and electronic monitoring and breath testing over telephone lines.

Theory: Not mentioned

Resources Required:

- Staff/Volunteers: Probation officers
- Training: Not mentioned
- Technology: Monitoring equipment
- Space: Meeting rooms
- Budget: Not mentioned
- Intervention: Alcoholics Anonymous groups, monitoring equipment, victim panel
- Evaluation: Access to Department of Motor Vehicles database

Evaluation:

- Design: Randomized controlled trial
- Methods and Measures: A database monitored driving records and relapse in alcohol-related driving offenses.

Outcomes:

- Short Term Impact: Not measured
- Long Term Impact: There was a significant positive effect of DISP participation on DUIs, driving while revoked/suspended (DWR/DWS) and all other conviction reoccurrences. There was a reduction in re-arrests for impaired driving, re-arrests for DWR/DWS and of all other traffic convictions as compared to the control group.

Maintenance: Not mentioned

Lessons Learned: DUI courts require a certain amount of flexibility and creativity among court staff, who use their best judgment in deciding which sanctions are most appropriate for each offender.

Citation(s):

Lapham, S. C., L. R. Kapitula, et al. (2006). "Impaired-driving recidivism among repeat offenders following an intensive court-based intervention." *Accid Anal Prev* 38(1): 162-9.