١.	DATE ISSUED
	01/08/2019

1a. SUPERSEDES AWARD NOTICE dated

except that any additions or restrictions previously imposed remain in effect unless specifically rescinded

#### 2. CFDA NO.

93.136 - Injury Prevention and Control Research and State and Community Based Programs

3. ASSISTANCE TYPE Cooperative Agreement						
4. GRANT NO. 1 NUF2CE	002490-01-00	5. TYPE OF AWA	RD			
Formerly		Other				
4a. FAIN NUF2CE002490	)	5a. ACTION TYPE New				
6. PROJECT PERIOD	MM/DD/YYYY		MM/DD/YYYY			
From	02/01/2019	Through	01/31/2024			
7. BUDGET PERIOD	MM/DD/YYYY		MM/DD/YYYY			
From	02/01/2019	Through	01/31/2020			

#### DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

#### **Centers for Disease Control and Prevention CDC Office of Financial Resources**

1600 Clifton Road Atlanta, GA 30329

# NOTICE OF AWARD

AUTHORIZATION (Legislation/Regulations) Recipient is funded under Category" B" / Statutory Authority: 392(a)(1) of the PHS Act (42 USC § 280b-1(a)(1))

#### 8. TITLE OF PROJECT (OR PROGRAM)

MM/DD/YYYY

Rape Prevention and Education: Using the best available evidence for Sexual Violence Prevention

9a. GR	ANTEE NAME AND ADDRESS		9b. GRAN	TEE PROJECT DIRECTOR			
HEALTH AND SENIOR SERVICES, MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF			Mr. R	andall Williams			
Alternate Name: MISSOURI STATE DEPT/ HEALTH & SENIOR SRV			920 V	/ILDWOOD DR			
92	20 Wildwood Dr		Busin	ess Official			
М	issouri Dept. of Health and Senior Services		JEFF	ERSON CITY, MO 65109-5796			
Je	efferson City, MO 65109-5796		Phone	2: 573-751-6400			
10a. G	RANTEE AUTHORIZING OFFICIAL		10b. FEDE	RAL PROJECT OFFICER			
М	s. Tonya R Loucks		Kathr	yn M Jones			
92	20 WILDWOOD DR		4770	Buford Hwy			
Je	efferson City, MO 65109-5796		DVP	PPTB			
PI	hone: 573-751-6014			a, GA 30341			
			Phon	e: 770-488-1118			
		ALL AMOUNTS ARE S	SHOWN IN U	ISD			
11. APP	ROVED BUDGET (Excludes Direct Assistance)		12. AWARD	COMPUTATION			
I Finar	ncial Assistance from the Federal Awarding Agency Only		a. Amount	of Federal Financial Assistance (fro	m item 11m)		930,289.0
II Total	project costs including grant funds and all other financial participatio	n 🛄	b. Less Un	obligated Balance From Prior Budge	et Periods		0.0
a.	Salaries and WageS	64.334.00	c. Less Cu	nulative Prior Award(s) This Budget	Period		0.0
		37.314.00	d. AMOUNT OF FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE THIS ACTION 930.280			930,289.00	
b.	Fringe Benefits	57,514.00				930,289.00	
с.	Total Personnel Costs	101,648.00	14. RECOMMENDED FUTURE SUPPORT (Subject to the availability of funds and satisfactory progress of the project):				
d.	Equipment	0.00			iory progress or in		
e.	Supplies	676.00	YEAR	TOTAL DIRECT COSTS	YEAR	TOTA	L DIRECT COSTS
,		3,990.00	a. 2		d. 5		
١.	Travel	0,000.00	b. 3		e. 6		
g.	Construction	0.00	c. 4		f. 7		
h.	Other	5,208.00	15. PROGRAM	I INCOME SHALL BE USED IN ACCORD WIT	H ONE OF THE FOLLO	WING	
i.	Contractual	813,191.00	a. b.	DEDUCTION ADDITIONAL COSTS			b
j.	TOTAL DIRECT COSTS	924,713.00	с. d.	c. MATCHING d. OTHER RESEARCH (Add / Deduct Option)			
k.	INDIRECT COSTS	5,576.00	e.	· ·			
I. TOTAL APPROVED BUDGET 930,289.00		16. THIS AWARD IS BASED ON AN APPLICATION SUBMITTED TO, AND AS APPROVED BY, THE FEDERAL AWARDING AGENCY ON THE ABOVE TITLED PROJECT AND IS SUBJECT TO THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS INCORPORATED EITHER DIRECTLY OR BY REFERENCE IN THE FOLLOWING:					
		930,289.00	a.	The grant program legislation			
		<ul> <li>The grant program regulations.</li> <li>This award notice including terms and conditions, if any, noted below under REMARKS.</li> </ul>					
m. Federal Share 930,289.00		d. Federal administrative requirements, cost principles and audit requirements applicable to this grant.					
n. Non-Federal Share 0.00			prevail. Acce	ere are conflicting or otherwise inconsister stance of the grant terms and conditions is the grant payment system.	t policies applicable to acknowledged by the g	the grant, the at grantee when fur	oove order of precedence shal ids are drawn or otherwise

#### GRANTS MANAGEMENT OFFICIAL: Barbara (Rene) Benyard, Grants Management Officer, Team Lead 2960 Brandywine Rd Mailstop E14 Atlanta, GA 30341-5509 Phone: 770.488.2757

17.0BJ CL	LASS 41.51	18a. VENDOR CODE	18b	. EIN		19. DUNS	878092600	20. CONG. DIST.	03
F	FY-ACCOUNT NO.	DOCUMENT N	NO.	ADMINISTR	ATIVE CODE	AMT AC	TION FIN ASST	APPROPRIAT	ION
21. а.	9-939ZSFL	b. 19NUF2CE00	02490 C.		CE	d.	\$930,289.00	e. 75	5-19-0952
22. а.		b.	С.		(	d.		e.	
23. a.		b.	С.			d.		e.	

PAGE 2 of 2	DATE ISSUED	
	01/08/2019	

GRANT NO. 1 NUF2CE002490-01-00

#### **Direct Assistance**

BUDGET CATEGORIES	PREVIOUS AMOUNT (A)	AMOUNT THIS ACTION (B)	TOTAL (A + B)
Personnel	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Fringe Benefits	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Travel	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Equipment	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Supplies	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Contractual	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Construction	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Other	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

# AWARD ATTACHMENTS

MISSOURI STATE DEPT/ HEALTH & SENIOR SRV

1 NUF2CE002490-01-00

TERMS AND CONDITIONS
 SUMMARY STATEMENT

#### AWARD INFORMATION

**Incorporation**: In addition to the federal laws, regulations, policies, and CDC General Terms and Conditions for Non-research awards at

<u>https://www.cdc.gov/grants/federalregulationspolicies/index.html</u>, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) hereby incorporates Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) number **CE19-1902**, entitled **Rape Prevention and Education Program (RPE)**, and application dated **October 29, 2018**, as may be amended, which are hereby made a part of this Non-research award, hereinafter referred to as the Notice of Award (NOA).

**Approved Funding:** Funding in the amount of is **\$930,289** approved for the **Year 01** budget period, which **February 1, 2019** through **January 31, 2020**. All future year funding will be based on satisfactory programmatic progress and the availability of funds.

The federal award amount is subject to adjustment based on total allowable costs incurred and/or the value of any third party in-kind contribution when applicable.

Note: Refer to the Payment Information section for Payment Management System (PMS) subaccount information.

# Financial Assistance Mechanism: Cooperative Agreement

**Substantial Involvement by CDC:** This is a cooperative agreement and CDC will have substantial programmatic involvement after the award is made. Substantial involvement is in addition to all post-award monitoring, technical assistance, and performance reviews undertaken in the normal course of stewardship of federal funds.

CDC program staff will assist, coordinate, or participate in carrying out effort under the award, and recipients agree to the responsibilities therein, as detailed in the NOFO.

Substantial involvement may include direct technical assistance, rapid feedback, tools and resources, and consultation on all aspects of recipient activities, and facilitate information sharing among recipients. CDC will provide technical assistance and feedback in the following ways:

- 1. Provide CDC-developed tools and resources such as VETOViolence, *STOP SV: A Technical Package to Prevent Sexual Violence*, SV Indicator Tools, RPE Evaluation Plan Guidance, RPE Implementation Guidance, Community-Level Guidance, etc.
  - Review APRs (including MRS tools), evaluation plans and tools, and SAPs, and provide feedback.
  - Facilitate collaborative opportunities with state and national partners.
- 2. Information Sharing between Recipients:
  - Facilitate routine conference calls, webinars, and information exchange between recipients.
  - o Develop mechanism for documenting and sharing lessons learned.
- 3. Evaluation: Facilitating successful evaluation of the outcomes and implementation of the collective activities in the state as described in the NOFO. Examples of resources and tools provided by CDC include, but are not limited to:
  - o Identifying local and state data available to monitor SV indicators.
  - Using collected performance measures, reports, and/or data to provide recipients with feedback for continuous program improvement.

- Assisting with planning and identifying measures to evaluate the selected programs and state and local efforts.
- Providing guidance on evaluating recipient's performance of program activities and compliance with award performance standards.

**Summary Statement Response Requirement**: The review comments on the strengths and weaknesses of the proposal are provided as part of this award. A response to the weaknesses in these statements must be submitted to and approved, in writing, by the Grants Management Specialist/Grants Management Officer (GMS/GMO) noted in the CDC Staff Contacts section of this NOA, no later than 30 days from the budget period start date. Failure to submit the required information by the due date, March 1, 2019, will cause delay in programmatic progress and will adversely affect the future funding of this project.

**Budget Revision Requirement:** By March 1, 2019, the recipient is required to respond to the following budget requirement:

1.) The recipient is required to notify CDC upon selection of contracts identified as "To Be Determined" (TBD) and provide an itemized budget in support of proposed costs.

Failure to submit the required information in a timely manner may adversely affect the future funding of this project. If the information cannot be provided by the due date, you are required to contact the GMS/GMO identified in the CDC Staff Contacts section of this notice before the due date.

**Program Income**: Any program income generated under this grant or cooperative agreement will be used in accordance with the Addition alternative.

<u>Addition alternative</u>: Under this alternative, program income is added to the funds committed to the project/program and is used to further eligible project/program objectives.

Note: The disposition of program income must have written prior approval from the GMO.

#### FUNDING RESTRICTIONS AND LIMITATIONS

**Programmatic Restriction(s):** Applicants must adhere to Congressional legislation (Section 393B of the Public Health Service Act [42 U.S.C. 280b-1c]). The legislation stipulates the following: Applicants may not use more than five percent of the amount received for each fiscal year for administrative expenses. This five percent limitation is in lieu of, and replaces, the indirect cost rate. An applicant may not use more than two percent of the amount received for each fiscal year for surveillance studies or prevalence studies.

Administrative Costs: In accordance with Congressional legislation, Section 393B of the Public Health Service Act [42 U.S.C. 280b-1c], in lieu of indirect costs, the recipient may not use more than five percent of the amount received for each fiscal year for administrative costs.

Recipients are responsible for managing the day-to-day operations of grant and of subgrants supported activities. Recipient must advise sub recipients of all flow down requirements imposed on them and monitor subgrant supported activities as necessary to assure compliance with applicable Federal laws, regulations, and the provisions to ensure performance goals are achieved and to avoid unallowable costs. If there are questions concerning this requirement, please be sure to contact the assigned Grants Management Specialist/Officer identified on the Notice of Award.

# For Example: Authorized Amount \$640,000 \*.05 = \$32,000 Recipient cannot exceed this amount

**Surveillance/Prevalence Costs:** Recipients may not use more than two percent of the total RPE award for each budget year for surveillance studies or prevalence studies in accordance with the RPE regulations.

#### Indirect Costs: Indirect costs are not applicable to this award.

#### REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

**Performance Progress and Monitoring:** Performance information collection initiated under this grant/cooperative agreement has been approved by the Office of Management and Budget under **OMB Number 0920-1132**, "**Performance Progress and Monitoring Report**", **Expiration Date 8/31/2019** The components of the PPMR are available for download at: https://www.cdc.gov/grants/alreadyhavegrant/Reporting.html .

# Required Disclosures for Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System

**(FAPIIS):** Consistent with 45 CFR 75.113, applicants and recipients must disclose in a timely manner, in writing to the CDC, with a copy to the HHS Office of Inspector General (OIG), all information related to violations of federal criminal law involving fraud, bribery, or gratuity violations potentially affecting the federal award. Subrecipients must disclose, in a timely manner in writing to the prime recipient (pass through entity) and the HHS OIG, all information related to violations of federal criminal law involving fraud, bribery, or gratuity violations potentially affecting the federal award. Disclosures must be sent in writing to the CDC and to the HHS OIG at the following addresses:

CDC, Office of Grants Services Terrian J. Dixon, Grants Management Specialist Centers for Disease Control Office of Grant Services Office of the Director, Environmental, Occupational Health, and Injury Prevention Branch (OSDB) 2920 Brandywine Road, Mail Stop E01 Atlanta, GA 30341-4146 Telephone: 770-488-2774 Fax: 770-488-2640 Email: thd4@cdc.gov

AND

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Office of the Inspector General ATTN: Mandatory Grant Disclosures, Intake Coordinator 330 Independence Avenue, SW Cohen Building, Room 5527 Washington, DC 20201

Fax: (202)-205-0604 (Include "Mandatory Grant Disclosures" in subject line) or Email: <u>MandatoryGranteeDisclosures@oig.hhs.gov</u>

Recipients must include this mandatory disclosure requirement in all subawards and contracts under this award.

Failure to make required disclosures can result in any of the remedies described in 45 CFR 75.371. Remedies for noncompliance, including suspension or debarment (See 2 CFR parts 180 and 376, and 31 U.S.C. 3321).

CDC is required to report any termination of a federal award prior to the end of the period of performance due to material failure to comply with the terms and conditions of this award in the OMB-designated integrity and performance system accessible through SAM (currently FAPIIS). (45 CFR 75.372(b)) CDC must also notify the recipient if the federal award is terminated for failure to comply with the federal statutes, regulations, or terms and conditions of the federal award. (45 CFR 75.373(b))

If the total value of your currently active grants, cooperative agreements, and procurement contracts from all Federal awarding agencies exceeds \$10,000,000 for any period of time during the period of performance of this Federal award, then you as the recipient during that period of time must maintain the currency of information reported to the System for Award Management (SAM) that is made available in the designated integrity and performance system (currently the Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIIS)) about civil, criminal, or administrative proceedings described in section 1 of this award term and condition. This is a statutory requirement under section 872 of Public Law 110-417, as amended (41 U.S.C. 2313). As required by section 3010 of Public Law 111-212, all information posted in the designated integrity and performance reviews required for Federal procurement contracts, will be publicly available.

#### 1. Proceedings About Which You Must Report

Submit the information required about each proceeding that:

a. Is in connection with the award or performance of a grant, cooperative agreement, or procurement contract from the Federal Government;

b. Reached its final disposition during the most recent five year period; and

c. If one of the following:

(1) A criminal proceeding that resulted in a conviction, as defined in paragraph 5 of this award term and condition;

(2) A civil proceeding that resulted in a finding of fault and liability and payment of a monetary fine, penalty, reimbursement, restitution, or damages of \$5,000 or more;

(3) An administrative proceeding, as defined in paragraph 5 of this award term and condition, that resulted in a finding of fault and liability and your payment of either a monetary fine or penalty of \$5,000 or more or reimbursement, restitution, or damages in excess of \$100,000; or

(4) Any other criminal, civil, or administrative proceeding if:

(i) It could have led to an outcome described in paragraph 2.c.(1), (2), or (3) of this award term and condition;

(ii) It had a different disposition arrived at by consent or compromise with an acknowledgement of fault on your part; and

(iii) The requirement in this award term and condition to disclose information about the proceeding does not conflict with applicable laws and regulations.

#### 2. Reporting Procedures

Enter in the SAM Entity Management area the information that SAM requires about each proceeding described in section 1 of this award term and condition. You do not need to submit the information a second time under assistance awards that you received if you already provided the information through SAM because you were required to do so under Federal procurement contracts that you were awarded.

# 3. Reporting Frequency

During any period of time when you are subject to this requirement in section 1 of this award term and condition, you must report proceedings information through SAM for the most recent five year period, either to report new information about any proceeding(s) that you have not reported previously or affirm that there is no new information to report. Recipients that have Federal contract, grant, and cooperative agreement awards with a cumulative total value greater than \$10,000,000 must disclose semiannually any information about the criminal, civil, and administrative proceedings.

#### 4. Definitions

For purposes of this award term and condition:

a. Administrative proceeding means a non-judicial process that is adjudicatory in nature in order to make a determination of fault or liability (*e.g.*, Securities and Exchange Commission Administrative proceedings, Civilian Board of Contract Appeals proceedings, and Armed Services Board of Contract Appeals proceedings). This includes proceedings at the Federal and State level but only in connection with performance of a Federal contract or grant. It does not include audits, site visits, corrective plans, or inspection of deliverables.

b. Conviction, for purposes of this award term and condition, means a judgment or conviction of a criminal offense by any court of competent jurisdiction, whether entered upon a verdict or a plea, and includes a conviction entered upon a plea of nolo contendere.

c. Total value of currently active grants, cooperative agreements, and procurement contracts includes—

(1) Only the Federal share of the funding under any Federal award with a recipient cost share or match;

(2) The value of all expected funding increments under a Federal award and options, even if not yet exercised.

#### PAYMENT INFORMATION

The HHS Office of the Inspector General (OIG) maintains a toll-free number (1-800-HHS-TIPS [1-800-447-8477]) for receiving information concerning fraud, waste, or abuse under grants and cooperative agreements. Information also may be submitted by e-mail to <u>hhstips@oig.hhs.gov</u> or by mail to Office of the Inspector General, Department of Health and Human Services, Attn:

HOTLINE, 330 Independence Ave., SW, Washington DC 20201. Such reports are treated as sensitive material and submitters may decline to give their names if they choose to remain anonymous.

**Payment Management System Subaccount**: Funds awarded in support of approved activities have been obligated in a newly established subaccount in the PMS, herein identified as the "P Account". Funds must be used in support of approved activities in the NOFO and the approved application. All award funds must be tracked and reported separately.

The grant document number identified on the bottom of Page 1 of the Notice of Award must be known in order to draw down funds.

#### **CDC Staff Contacts**

**Grants Management Specialist:** The GMS is the federal staff member responsible for the dayto-day management of grants and cooperative agreements. The GMS is the primary contact of recipients for business and administrative matters pertinent to grant awards.

#### **GMS Contact:**

Terrian J. Dixon, Grants Management Specialist Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) Office of Grants Services (OGS) Office of Financial Resources (OFR) 2960 Brandywine Road, MS-E01 Atlanta, Georgia 30341-4146 Telephone: 770-488-2774 Email: thd4@cdc.gov

**Program/Project Officer:** The PO is the federal official responsible for monitoring the programmatic, scientific, and/or technical aspects of grants and cooperative agreements, as well as contributing to the effort of the award under cooperative agreements.

#### **Programmatic Contact:**

Kathryn Jones, Project Officer Centers for Disease Control National Center for Injury Prevention and Control 4770 Buford Highway, NE, Mail Stop F-64 Atlanta, Georgia 30341 Telephone: (770) 488-1118 Email: yde9@cdc.gov

**Grants Management Officer:** The GMO is the federal official responsible for the business and other non-programmatic aspects of grant awards. The GMO is the only official authorized to obligate federal funds and is responsible for signing the NOA, including revisions to the NOA that change the terms and conditions. The GMO serves as the counterpart to the business officer of the recipient organization. GMO contact information is located on Page 1 of this NOA.

# FUNDING OPPORTUNITY ANNOUNCEMENT NUMBER: CDC-RFA-CE19-1902 Rape Prevention and Education: Using the Best Available Evidence for Sexual Violence Prevention Objective Review Summary Statement

Date Reviewed:November 15, 2018Applicant Name:State of MissouriApplication Number:NUF2CE2019000519Application Score:88.66 Points

Amount Requested: \$930,289

#### **Brief Summary of Application:**

The Missouri Department of Health and Senior Services began efforts to end rape in 2000. Over the next 14 years, their prevention work moved from education and awareness activities to a focus on prevention using the public health approach. The applicant's current project implements evidence-based SV primary prevention at the relationship and community levels.

Missouri has established many local, state and national partnerships to assist with their action planning, including research, training, implementing a pilot program, and data collection on active bystander behavior, victimization, and perpetration. Missouri plans to expand their current effort to younger populations (middle schools) as well as building more efforts aimed at community and societal level primary prevention. Their strategies include those with a focus on preventing sexual violence (SV) from happening in the first place, as well as approaches to lessen the immediate and long-term harms of SV. The expected outcomes of this cooperative agreement include decreased rates of SV victimization and perpetration and rates of sexual harassment concentrating on the workplace by building capacity at the community/local level, increasing the implementation of the number and breadth of programs done with fidelity, changing behaviors, addressing policies and improving economic opportunities.

#### **Reviewers' Comments on Approach**

Strengths of Section:

- The applicant's Green Dot Violence Prevention Strategy includes community-level strategies and will be expanded in the new project period. Green Dot has been implemented since 2014.
- The applicant clearly states that they plan to implement eight strategies for the primary prevention of SV. Six of these strategies are at the community-level and the other two will create change at the relationship-level of the social ecological model; resulting in a 75%/25% split.
- Four of the applicant's strategies promote social norms that protect against violence, two provide opportunities to empower and support girls and women, and two create protective environments to prevent SV.
- Considering the applicant's experience working with partners, the community, and through a previous CDC grant, the applicant demonstrates a strong understanding of and capacity to implement the community-level SV prevention strategies based on the best available evidence.
- The applicant identifies high-risk groups such as college students and new risk groups such as middle school students.
- The applicant will use existing networks to implement Shifting Boundaries within the Green Dot program sites.
- The applicant has sufficient capacity (staff, current programmatic activities) to complete project objectives.

Weaknesses of Section:

• Other than a strong plan for implementing a larger percentage of community-level strategies, there is no other data or evidence that supports the applicant's ability to do this.

• The extent of current data collection information (who, what factors, etc.) is somewhat weak; information on how the applicant will engage more community/societal level implementation is missing.

# Recommendations for Section:

• The applicant should consider using data from the current or previous projects on community-level strategies to support the capacity to successfully implement the current project.

# **Reviewers' Comments on Evaluation and Performance Measurement**

# Strengths of Section:

- The applicant demonstrates the capacity to conduct evaluation activities using surveys, online tools and phone interviews.
- The applicant states current partnerships and access to a consortium of 21 public and private universities in Missouri; and they have already conducted trainings on alcohol and sexual violence in this setting.
- The applicant reports and increase in proactive bystander behavior from their current evaluation efforts.
- The applicant's current sub-recipients are engaged and active and have identified local barriers to implementation including barriers specific to individual institutions and worked to overcome them.
- The applicant list risk factors to be covered to include cultural norms that support aggression toward others, harmful norms around masculinity and femininity, weak educational, economic and social policies/laws and diminished economic opportunities/high unemployment rates.
- The applicant has built capacity for evaluation over the current project period with tools provided by the CDC and a contract evaluator. They plan to build on this progress by revising current evaluation tools including the state-level logic model and the state-level evaluation plan.

# Weaknesses of Section:

- The applicant provides minimal data in reporting their current evaluation data, and details are missing particularly related to evaluation activities for the community/societal implementation activities.
- The applicant states overall they will follow the 25%/75% criteria, but do not specifically relate this to evaluation activities.
- Although the applicant reports having access to a consortium of 21 universities, it is unclear what percentage of all universities this covers in Missouri.
- The applicant list current activities that support access to colleges, one of the risk groups identified, but not in middle schools to date.
- A large part of this section appears to be a regurgitation of the NOFO language. For example, they state they will capture data on "reach/exposure" but they do not define reach or exposure.

# Recommendations for Section:

• The applicant should provide a detailed evaluation plan should specifically apply the 25%/75% criteria and provide documentation of access to the middle school population (children, school staff and parents).

# **Reviewers' Comments on Organizational Capacity to Implement the Approach**

Strengths of Section:

- The applicant mentions four staff members in the Office of Women's Health will manage day-to-day responsibilities of the project: PI, program manager, program coordinator, and program assistant. All of which have the necessary experience and expertise to carry out the goals of the program. The proposed staff have experience implementing similar grants with CDC in SV prevention.
- The applicant clearly demonstrates their connections and partnerships with multiple government and nongovernment organizations to implement their current activities and proposed activities. Their collaborative strategy is extensive and demonstrates the realized and potential reach of the proposed program strategy and activities.

- The applicant has conducted a pilot project on community collaboration between local rape crisis centers and their neighboring universities.
- The applicant's sub-recipients have developed unique partnerships at the relationship and community level both on and off campuses.

Weaknesses of Section:

- The applicant's past and current work in implementing strategies at the community-level are clear. However, there is little evidence to indicate that evaluation activities for these programs have been implemented or successful.
- The applicant states they have done work on community-level social norms change, but not on other areas at the community/societal level.
- RPE Program Coordinator seems to have a lot of responsibility and is only allocated 90% of time. Program assistant even less.

Recommendations for Section:

- The applicant should demonstrate past or current efforts to evaluate strategies or programs, and the extent to which they have been successful.
- The applicant should pay particular attention to addressing capacity of sub-recipients in the SAP.

#### **Reviewers' Comments on Budget and Budget Narrative**

Strengths of Section:

- The applicant's budget is reasonable, consistent and justified with proposed activities.
- The applicant's Program Coordinator will attend the RPE Leadership national meeting.
- The applicant's budget includes a contract with UNLV to conduct program evaluation and performance monitoring.

Weaknesses of Section:

• N/A

Recommendations for Section:

None to note.