Table of Contents

DHSS	(Department of Health and Senior Services) Resources	1
	DHSS Home Page	1
	Data, Surveillance Systems & Statistical Reports	2
	Community Health Assessment and Intervention Planning Tools	3
морн	IIMS (Missouri Public Health Information Management System)	7
	Overview	7
	MOPHIMS User Levels	8
Comm	unity Data Profies	9
	Overview	9
	Child Health Profile	12
	Documentation	12
	Downloads	13
	Statistics	15
	Graphics	21
	Profiles Exercises—Part I	29
	Age-Adjusted Rates	
	Survey Data	32
	Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS)	32
	Missouri County Level Study (CLS)	34
	Missouri County Level Study Profile	
	Missouri County Level Study Comparison Profile	
	Stroke Profile	
	Profiles Exercises—Part II	41
MICA	(Missouri Information for Community Assessment) Part I	43
	Overview	43
	Population MICA	45
	Birth MICA	50
	Rate Interpretation	
	Confidentiality	60
	Confidence Intervals	62
	Death MICA	66
	Age-Adjusted Rates in MICA	68

Confidence Intervals Exercises	69
Inpatient Hospitalizations MICA	70
ZIP Code and Census Tract Geographies	71
MICA Exercises	75
EPHT (Environmental Public Health Tracking Program	77
EPHT Home Page	77
EPHT MOPHIMS Home Page	78
Blood Lead Levels: Tables, Charts and Maps	79
MICA Part II	81
Cancer Incidence MICA	81
Procedures MICA	83
WIC (Women, Infants, and Children) MICAs	85
TANF (Temporary Assistance for Needy Families) MICA	
Citations	
References	92

PLEASE NOTE: The Bureau of Health Care Analysis and Data Dissemination periodically updates the community health assessment and intervention planning tools. The exact screen captures presented in this handbook may not be available in the future.

Microsoft Excel screenshots were created using the 2010 version of the software. Screens may differ in later versions of Microsoft Excel.

DHSS Resources

DHSS Home Page

An easy way to locate the community health assessment and intervention planning tools is to access the **Data & Statistics** link from the DHSS home page.



Data, Surveillance Systems & Statistical Reports

The first section of this webpage contains the community health assessment and intervention planning tools: Community Data Profiles, MICA (Missouri Information for Community Assessment), Priorities MICA, and Community Health Improvement Resources (CHIR), which includes Intervention MICA. Other data resources are also listed on this page. These tools are described in the next section of this handbook.

http://www.health.mo.gov/data/index.php

Lead Poisoning Live Births

Missouri Den	partment of	MO.gov	Governor Parson	Find an Agency	Online Services	Sear	ch	Q	
DHSS Heal	h & Senior Ser	vices				X11 (77)	M Follow He	f Like Lie	
							y Follow Us	T LIKE US	
Healthy Living	Senior & Disability Service	s Licensing &	Regulations	Disaster & I	Emergency Planni	ng	Data & Stat	istics	
Data Survei	llance Systems & St	atistical Renor	te		Data 8 Ctati	otion			
			6		Dala & Slali	SLICS			
DHSS Home » Data &	Statistics » Home				Missouri Public Management Sy	Health In stem (M	oformation OPHIMS)		
Data Release Po Institutional Rev	licies, Procedures and Guideline view Board Guidelines	Profiles							
Community Healt	h Assessment and Interv	ention Planning		,	MICA				
• Missouri Health	Assessment				Priorities MICA				
Missouri Health MOPHIMS (Miss	Improvement Plan 🖄 NEW! ouri Public Health Information M	lanagement System)			Community Health Improvement Resources (CHIR)				
Community Data MICA (Missouri)	a Profiles (State, County and City Information for Community Asse	essment)			Births				
Health Data Trai	ining Ith Improvement Recourses (CH)	10)			Deaths				
Opioid Crisis Res	sponse NEW!	ik)			Patient Abstract System (PAS)				
 Life Expectancy Years of Potenti Age Adjustment 	Data al Life Lost (YPLL) Data Worksheet 阔				Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS)				
 Affordable Care Program Needs 	Act Maternal, Infant and Early C Assessment 🖄	hildhood Home Visiting			County-Level Study (CLS)				
• The Burden of C Data and Surveill	hronic Diseases in Missouri - Pro ance Systems	gress and Challenges 💋	3		Healthcare-Associated Infection Reporting (HAI)				
Abortions		Managed Care Data a	nd Consumer Guide	25	ESSENCE				
Adult Blood Lead Epid	emiology and Surveillance	Marriages Missouri Connor Donio							
Bioterrorism Sentinel Birth Certificate Data	Surveillance	Health Care-Associate Missouri Child Health	d Infection Report Assessment Progra	ing (HAI) im Survey	Related Link	S			
Birth Defects Registry Behavioral Risk Factor (BRF55) Carbon Monoxide Pois Childhood Lead Communicable Diseas	r Surveillance System soning Surveillance se	(MoCHAP5) Missouri Hazardous M (MHMI5) Missouri Health Care- Reporting System (MH Missouri Pregnancy Ri	aterial Incident Su Associated Infectio 1IRS) isk Assessment Mo	rveillance n nitoring	 Cancer Regis Communicab Surveillance Birth Defects Environment 	try @ le Diseas Registry al Public	e Reporting & , Health Trackin	g	
Deaths		Missouri School Healt	h Profiles						
Divorces (Dissolutions	s of Marriages)	Office of Surveillance	Annual Reports						
Environmental Public	Health Tracking	Patient Abstract Syste	m						
ESSENCE	-	Pediatric Nutrition Su	rveillance						
Fetal Deaths	Cition	System (PedNSS)	and Maternal						
Geographic Informati	on Systems (GIS)	Mortality Review (PAI	MR)						
Head & Spinal Cord In	ijury	Pregnancy Nutrition S	urveillance						
HIV/STD/AIDS Survei	illance	System (PNSS)							
Hospitalizations		Population Estimates							
Hyperthermia		Kables Sexually Transmitted	Diseases (STDr)						
Influenza		Tuberculosis Disease	and Infection						

Vital Statistics Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance System

Youth Tobacco Survey (YTS)

Community Health Assessment and Intervention Planning Tools

MOPHIMS (Missouri Public Health Information Management System) is the umbrella system that houses three of the Department's most utilized statistical tools. These tools are meant to be used by local public health agencies, non-profits, researchers, and members of the general public to access health related data. These data can then be utilized to complete community health assessments, plan interventions, generate funding, complete evaluations, et cetera. All tools within this system are freely available to all users and some have multiple levels of access that users can gain by generating a username and password.

Community Data Profiles are available for various subject areas. Each Community Data Profile table provides data on 15-30 indicators for the geography (state, county, city, or region) selected. Information provided includes data year(s), number of events, geography rate, state rate, statistical significance (compared to the state), quintile ranking, links to additional graphing functions, and multiple downloading options.

MICA (**Missouri Information for Community Assessment**) datasets provide information on health conditions and associated topics. Users can choose from among the many conditions, generate data tables by year of occurrence, age, gender, race, and county or ZIP code of residence, and obtain age-adjusted rates. MICA also allows users to create and download tables, charts, and maps into other applications. Data for the MICAs are extracted and summarized from files maintained by the Missouri Department of Health and Senior Services. Confidentiality rules have been developed to protect the privacy of individuals.

Together these tools can assist health professionals with the process of continuous community health improvements.

The Data, Surveillance Systems & Statistical Reports page also provides other data resources, such as FOCUS articles, special reports, and links to the data release policies for record-level data.

- Data Release Policies, Procedures and Guidelines (VR & PAS)
- Institutional Review Board Guidelines

Special Reports

- The Burden of Chronic Diseases in Missouri Progress and Challenges
- FOCUS Articles
- 2004 MO Health Care Insurance & Access Survey: Select Results
- Missouri Health Care Safety Net Report 2005 M
- (Complete Report: Make sure to use bookmarks to view different Sections and Appendices of the report.)
- Missouri Nosocomial Infection Reporting Data: Report to the Governor and General Assembly 2015
 NFW
- Missouri KIDS COUNT NEW

We have also broken out the Sections and Appendices of this report for faster loading and viewing. Each Section has its Tables, Figures and GIS Maps contained within in the section.

- Missouri Pregnancy Related Assessment and Monitoring System 2005 Data Report
- Missouri Senior Report
- MS/ALS Prevalence Study Jefferson County 2006
- The State of Missourians' Health Report 🖄 Revised
- Weldon Spring Cancer Inquiry Report Page
- 2011 Weldon Spring Update Report
- Worksite Wellness

Archived Reports

Missouri Nosocomial Infection Reporting Data: Report to the Governor and General Assembly

- 2014 🛃
- 2013 🛃
- 2012 🛃
- 2011 🖄
- 2010 🖄
- 2009 🖄
- 2008 🖄
- 2007 🖄
- 2006 Report to the Governor and the General Assembly on the Implementation of Missouri Nosocomial Infection Reporting Act of 2004 20

The **Vital Statistics** website (accessable from a link near the bottom of the Data, Surveillance Systems & Statistical Reports page) includes definitions of the various types of vital events as well as data release and data request policies. Vital Statistics publications are available through the Data & Statistical Reports link.

Vital Statistics										
Home » Data, Surveillance Systems & Statistical Reports » Vital Statistics										
"Vital statistics", the data derived from certificates a dissolution of marriage and related reports. (Misso	and reports of birth, death, spontaneous fetal death, marriage uri Revised Statute, Chapter 193, Section 015).									
Deaths Dissolutions of Marriages (Divorce, Annulment & Legal Separation) Fetal Deaths Live Births Marriages	 Life Expectancy Data Years of Potential Life Lost (YPLL) Data Data & Statistical Reports Data Release Policies, Procedures & Guidelines Data Request Fee Schedule 🖄 									
National Vital Statistics System										

The *Annual Reports* provide finalized vital statistics tables for counties and selected cities in Missouri. The *Monthly Vital Statistics Reports* include only state-level data but are posted periodically throughout the year, before final data are uploaded to the Profiles or MICAs. These provisional reports show how birth, death, marriage, dissolution, and other related data for a particular month and cumulative twelve-month period compare to data from the same time periods in previous years. Provisional data have not been completely reviewed or adjusted and do not include data that are reported late to Vital Records. All provisional data are subject to change.

Data & Statistical Reports

Home » Data, Surveillance Systems & Statistical Reports » Vital Statistics

The following annual and monthly reports are state-wide. The **Community Data Profiles** and **MICA** provide state and sub-state statistics.

Annual Reports (Historical editions can be found on the EPARC webpage.)

2015 🖄 [Color] Print-Friendly	2010 🖄 [Grayscale] Print-Friendly	2006 🖄	2002 🖄
2014 🖄 [Color] Print-Friendly	2009 🖄	2005 🖄	2001 🖄
2013 🖄 [Color] Print-Friendly	2008 🖄	2004 🖄	2000 🖄
2012 🖄 [Grayscale] Print-Friendly	2007 🖄	2003 🖄	1999 🖄
2011 🖄 (Color Gravscale) Print-Friendly			

Monthly Vital Statistics (Provisional)

2016

-2015

Links to other Data & Statistics tools are provided along the right side of the page and are highlighted in the green box. In addition, as shown on the Patient Abstract System webpage, the mailing address, phone number, and email address for the Bureau of Health Care Analysis and Data Dissemination (BHCADD), which maintains the Profiles and MICA tools, is listed in the lower right corner

Missouri Missouri Departr	ment of	MO.gov Governor Parson	Find an Agency	y Online Services	Search	h	Q		
Health	& Senior Servic	es		• ••	Yas Este	🎔 Follow Us	f Like Us		
Healthy Living	Senior & Disability Services	Licensing & Regulations	Disaster &	Emergency Planning		Data & Stat	istics		
Patient Abstra	ct System			Data & Statisti	ics				
DHSS Home » Data & Sta • Data Release Policy • Fee Schedule 🖄 • Data Elements 🖄	tistics » patientabstractsystem » He • MICA • Com		Missouri Public Health Information Management System (MOPHIMS) Profiles						
Missouri started collecting ho tape but were never adequal Missouri Center for Health St a fully computerized data sys	ospital discharge data in 1963 using a ke tely edited. The Cooperative Health Stat atistics (MCHS) to update the hospital d stem called the Missouri Hospital Discha	ey sort card system. These data were co istics System contract made it possible ischarge collection procedures in 1975 rge Data Program. The new system coll	onverted to for the by instituting lected the	MICA Priorities MICA					
From 1975 to 1977, about 4: Hospital Discharge Data Proc	Jata set. 1 percent of the 165 short-term, non-feo gram. To augment the program data file	deral hospitals in Missouri participated i , MCHS contracted with another 21 per	n the Missouri cent of the	Community Health (CHIR)	Improv	vement Resou	rces		
state hospitals that participal and Hospital Activities at Anr combined sources represente	ted in a Professional Activities Study pro Arbor, Michigan. Data available from the ed about half of the total yearly patient	gram operated by the Commission on F ne Missouri Hospital Discharge Data Pro discharges from Missouri hospitals.	Professional gram's	Births					
In January 1977, the Missour this time MCHS contracted w	ri Hospital Discharge Data Program syst ith the Iowa Hospital Association to pro	em became fully operational within MCP duce patient discharge reports for the p	HS. During participating	Patient Abstract System (PAS)					
hospitals. These reports are on their discharged patients about the age, sex, diagnosis	generated monthly, semi-annually and a and served an important management f s, procedure and length of stay characte	annually were based on data collected f unction by providing the hospitals with rristics of their patients.	rom hospitals information	Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS)					
In 1978, operation of the dis Data Corporation operated b discharge data collection sys	charge program was assumed by the M oth the Professional Standards Review (tem In addition, it nurchased data from	issouri Health Data Corporation. The Mi Organization data system and its own h the Commission on Professional and H	ssouri Health ospital Iospital	County-Level Study (CLS)					
Activities, from other comme data tapes. MCHS obtained f	rcial data collection agencies and from I from the Missouri Health Data Corporatio	hospitals capable of producing their own on the data from the various abstracting	n electronic g systems.	Healthcare-Associated Infection Reporting (HAI)					
The Hospital Industry Data I Corporation in 1985. Hospita loaned to MCHS for research	nstitute, a unit of the Missouri Hospital / I Industry Data Institute collected the hi purposes. Requests for 1985-1992 da	Association, replaced Missouri Health Da ospital discharge data through 1992. Th ata must be addressed to the Hospi	ata ne data were i tal	ESSENCE					
Industry Data Institute, I In 1993, following the enactr	Missouri Hospital Association. @ ment of 192.665-192.667 , RSMo, the	Patient Abstract System was implement	ted. It	Related Links					
patients, and patients receivi diagnostic procedures. The d Since January 1, 1994, ambu	ven as inpatienti data. The outpatient da ing invasive procedures on an outpatien lata collected since 1993 are maintained ilatory surgical centers have also been r	tain specified or Services.	Cancer Registry Communicable 1 Surveillance Birth Defects Re Environmental 1	r @ Disease egistry Public H	e Reporting & Health Tracking	g			
				Contact Inform	nation	1			
				Bureau of Health C Dissemination Missouri Department PO Box 570 Jefferson City, MO 65	of Healt	alysis & Data th and Senior Se	rvices		
				Email: info@health.	.mo.gov	, ,			

Missouri Public Health Information Management System (MOPHIMS)

Overview



DHSS recently moved some of the community health assessment and intervention planning tools described on earlier pages of this handbook to a new umbrella system, MOPHIMS. The eventual goal is to bring all of the data systems housed on the DHSS website into this system. The long-term goal is that data on the DHSS website will share a similar look and feel and location, making it simpler for users to access all of the data available. Right now the three spokes of the MOPHIMS umbrella are the Community Data Profiles, the Data MICAs, and Environmental Public Health Tracking (EPHT). Each of these systems will be explored in more detail through this handbook.

MOPHIMS User Levels

While MOPHIMS has many exciting new features, the most prominent is the introduction of different user levels within the Data MICAs and EPHT. Data is available for free to all Public users. Additionally, users could choose to sign up to become a Registered user (which is still cost-free). The rightmost banner at the top of the MOHPIMS home page shows the location of the Sign Up and Login buttons.

Links at the bottom of the MOPHIMS home page direct the user to a webpages with registration instructions, specifics about training opportunities, and more detailed information about how confidentiality and suppression are applied in the MOPHIMS system.

Registered users will have access to enhanced features and pieces of data not available to Public users. Some of these features include the ability to create 2x2 tables, more granular geographic detail, enhanced mapping and charting abilities, seasonal data, and the ability to save queries. Throughout this manual, orange text indicates additional options for Registered users. Some of these features will be highlighted in more detail during the follow-up '*MOPHIMS: Health Data Analysis*' course.

Community Data Profiles

Overview

The Community Data Profiles are located at

https://webapp01.dhss.mo.gov/MOPHIMS/ProfileHome. Each Community Data Profile table provides data on 15-30 indicators for the geography (state, county, city, or region) selected. Information provided includes data year(s), number of events, geography rate, state rate, statistical significance (compared to the state), quintile ranking, links to additional graphing functions, and multiple downloading options. Profiles can be viewed for the state as a whole, each of Missouri's 115 counties (including St. Louis City), Eastern Jackson County (which excludes Kansas City and Independence), and the cities of Independence, Joplin, and Kansas City. Data by BRFSS (Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System), LPHA (Local Public Health Agency), and RPC (Regional Planning Commission) regions are also available.

Due to limitations and challenges associated with survey-based data, some Profiles (notably **County Level Study** and **County Level Study Comparison**, **Diabetes**, **Heart Disease**, and **Stroke Profiles**) may have fewer geographic choices available.



Some Profiles contain data from a single data system. For example, the **Leading Causes of Death Profile** only contains data from the death certificate system. Other Profiles combine data from multiple data systems. For instance, the **Minority Health Profile** provides data from Vital Records, Hospital/ER Visits, and STDs. The following table shows the data sources included in each Profile.

Prepared by the Bureau of Health Care Analysis and Data Dissemination Pa

Profile	Vital Records Births	Survey Data	Vital Records Deaths	y Data Flor Hospital/ ER	Population Estimates	STDs	WIC	Other	Non- DHSS Website
County Level Study Comparison		\checkmark						No Health Coverage	
County Level Study		\checkmark						No Health Coverage	
Alcohol and Drug Abuse Problems		✓	✓	✓	~	✓		Traffic Crashes – Impaired Driver, School Attendance, Employment, Vocational Rehabilitation, Juvenile Court Referrals, Police Reports, Criminal Justice, Substance Abuse Programs, Primary Drug Problems	•
Assault Injury			\checkmark	\checkmark				, ,	
Child Health			\checkmark	\checkmark	~	\checkmark	~	Lead Testing, Divorces Involving Children, Probable Cause Child Abuse/Neglect	
Chronic Disease Comparisons			\checkmark	\checkmark					
Leading Causes of Death			\checkmark			\checkmark			
Delivery	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark				Delivery Types, Abortions	
Diabetes	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark				Hospital Utilization	
Emergency Room				\checkmark					
Heart Disease		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark				Hospital Utilization	

Profile	Vital Records Births	Survey Data	Vital Records Deaths	Hospital/ ER	Population Estimates	STDs	WIC	Other	Non- DHSS Website
Hospital Revenue				~				Balance Sheet, Operating Margin, Markup, Hospital Utilization	
Inpatient Hospitalization				\checkmark					
Infant Health	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark			\checkmark	Infants on Medicaid	
Minority Health	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark			
Prenatal	\checkmark						\checkmark	Prenatal Medicaid, Food Stamps	
Social and Economic Indicators		V	✓		~			Housing, Household Types, Language Spoken at Home, Citizenship Status, Disability, Poverty, Employment, Income, Agriculture/Farming, Work/Commuting Patterns, Education, School Enrollment	✓
Self-Inflicted Injury			\checkmark	\checkmark					
Stroke		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark				Hospital Utilization	
Unintentional Injury			\checkmark	\checkmark					
Women's Health			\checkmark	\checkmark					
Women's Reproductive Health	\checkmark					\checkmark		Fertility Rates, Abortions, Teen Pregnancies and Births	

Child Health Profile

The **Child Health Profile** contains a variety of indicators related to the health of individuals ages 1-19 years. A portion of the **Child Health Profile** for Missouri follows.

Missouri Resident Child Health Profile					Print Profile
▲Choose Your Profile Data					
Geography: STATEWIDE •	State:	Missouri	D	emographic:	▼ IL
	Subm	nit			
	State: Mi	ssouri			
▲Child Health					
	Data Years	Count	Rate	Graphics Link	Download Data
Population Estimates					
Ages 1 - 4	2017	301,462	4.93	Graphics	🔺 ≽
Ages 5 - 14	2017	771,771	12.62	Graphics	🔺 🍌
Ages 15 - 17	2017	236,721	3.87	Graphics	🛋 🍌
Ages 18 - 19	2017	156,535	2.56	Graphics	🔺 🍌
WIC					
WIC Participation: Ages 12 to 59 months - Inclusive	2016	72,465	24.21	Graphics	🔺 ≽
WIC Children Ages 24 to 59 Months Obese (BMI)	2016	6,136	14.31	Graphics	🔺 ≽
Lead Testing					
Lead Testing: Under Age 6	2017	84,834	18.88	Graphics	🔺 🍌
Blood Lead Elevations>=10 ug/dL: Under Age 6 Tested	2017	543	0.12	Graphics	🔺 ≽
Selected Indicators Under Age 18					
Under Age 18: Asthma ER Visits	2015	12,769	9.18	Graphics	🔺 ≽
Under Age 18: Asthma Hospitalizations	2015	1,844	13.25	Graphics	🛥 🍌
Divorces Involving Children	2015	9,188	47.53	Graphics	🔺 🍌
Probable Cause Child Abuse/Neglect	2015	6,295	4.52	Graphics	🔺 ≽
Selected Indicators Ages 1 - 14					
Ages 1 - 14: Self Pay/No Charge ER Visits	2015	25,827	6.83	Graphics	🛥 🍌
Ages 1 - 14: Self Pay/No Charge Hospitalizations	2015	774	3.05	Graphics	🛥 🍌
Injury ER Visits	2015	105,515	9,800.37	Graphics	📧 🍌
Injury Hospitalizations	2015	1,391	129.20	Graphics	🛎 🍌
Deaths Ages 1-14					
All Causes	2007 - 2017	2,352	19.67	Graphics	📧 🍌
Total Unintentional Injuries	2007 - 2017	772	6.46	Graphics	🔺 🍌
Motor Vehicle Deaths	2007 - 2017	359	3.00	Graphics	🔺 🍌
All Cancers (Malignant Neoplasms)	2007 - 2017	274	2.29	Graphics	🛎 🍌
Birth Defects	2007 - 2017	189	1.58	Graphics	📧 🍌
Homicide	2007 - 2017	219	1.83	Graphics	🛎 🍌
Heart Disease	2007 - 2017	88	0.74	Graphics	🔺 🍌
Selected Indicators Ages 15 - 19					
Ages 15 - 19: Self Pay/No Charge ER Visits	2015	24,023	15.44	Graphics	📧 🍌
Ages 15 - 19: Self Pay/No Charge Hospitalizations	2015	1,354	6.22	Graphics	× ≽
Injury ER Visits	2015	43,877	11,051.56	Graphics	🛋 🍌
Injury Hospitalizations	2015	1,666	419.63	Graphics	🛋 🍌
STDs Ages 15-19					
Chlamydia	2008 - 2017	91,997	2,254.20	Graphics	📧 🍌

Documentation

Throughout the Profiles, many labels are linked to additional information about the data. Simply click on any hyperlinked label to view a definition and/or source information. For example, the following description is linked to Selected Indicators Ages 1-14: Injury Hospitalizations.

Injury Hospitalizations

<u>Resident</u> emergency room visits or hospitalizations with a primary diagnosis of fractures, wounds, dislocations, poisonings, or injuries due to external causes, as well as medical, drug or other complications of care. Rate is per 100,000 ED visits or hospitalizations in a given age group.

Clinical Classifications Software (CCS) Categories: 225-244. International Classification of Diseases (ICD-9) codes: 716.10-716.19, 717.0-718.09, 718.30-718.39, 796.0, 799.0, 800.00-994.9, 995.1-995.2, 995.4-995.59, 995.80-999.9, V15.5, V15.6, V54.0, V64, V67.4, or V71.3-V71.6.

Additional information can also be found in the footnotes. The footnotes to the **Child Health Profile** contain the following explanation of Injury Hospitalization rates:

Injury ER Visits/Hospitalizations rates are per year per 100,000 specified age population.

Downloads

The **Download Data** column allows users to download the data for each geography available for the indicator chosen to Excel or as a PDF.

Missouri Resident Child Health Profile					Print Profile					
✓ Choose Your Profile Data										
State: Missouri										
Child Health										
	Data Years	Count	Rate	Graphics Link	Download Data					
Population Estimates										
Ages 1 - 4	2017	301,462	4.93	Graphics	🛋 🍌					
Ages 5 - 14	2017	771,771	12.62	Graphics	🛋 🍌					
Ages 15 - 17	2017	236,721	3.87	Graphics	🛋 🍌					
Ages 18 - 19	2017	156,535	2.56	Graphics	🛋 🍌					

Additional data not shown on the webpage, like confidence intervals, are available in these downloads, as shown on the next page.

	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н
1	Missouri Reside	ent Child He	alth Pro	file				
2	Indicator: Populatio	on Estimates -	Ages 1 - 4					
з	Geography	Geography Type	Data Years	Count	Rate	Lower 95% Confidence Limit	Upper 95% Confidence Limit	Significantly Different
4	Missouri	Statewide	2016	299,294	4.91	4.89	4.93	
5	Central	BRFSS Region	2016	34,594	4.79	4.74	4.84	L
6	Kansas City Metro	BRFSS Region	2016	64,538	5.23	5.19	5.27	н
7	Northeast	BRFSS Region	2016	11,933	4.76	4.67	4.85	L
8	Northwest	BRFSS Region	2016	11,388	4.75	4.66	4.84	L
9	Southeast	BRFSS Region	2016	27,604	4.82	4.76	4.88	L
10	Southwest	BRFSS Region	2016	45,429	4.87	4.83	4.91	N/S
11	St. Louis Metro	BRFSS Region	2016	103,808	4.85	4.82	4.88	L
12	A	LPHA Region	2016	71,590	5.22	5.18	5.26	н
13	в	LPHA Region	2016	8,383	4.65	4.55	4.75	L
14	c	LPHA Region	2016	109,432	4.83	4.8	4.86	L
15	D	LPHA Region	2016	43,790	4.91	4.86	4.96	N/S
16	E	LPHA Region	2016	16,309	4.93	4.85	5.01	N/S
17	F	LPHA Region	2016	23,272	4.67	4.61	4.73	L
18	G	LPHA Region	2016	6,531	4.72	4.61	4.83	L
19	н	LPHA Region	2016	11,016	4.68	4.59	4.77	L
20	1	LPHA Region	2016	8,971	4.95	4.85	5.05	N/S
21	Boonslick	RPC Region	2016	5,236	5.2	5.06	5.34	н
22	Bootheel	RPC Region	2016	7,682	5.2	5.08	5.32	н
23	East-West Gateway	RPC Region	2016	97,922	4.83	4.8	4.86	L
24	Green Hills	RPC Region	2016	4,680	4.95	4.81	5.09	N/S
25	Harry S Truman	RPC Region	2016	11,284	5.31	5.21	5.41	н
26	Kaysinger Basin	RPC Region	2016	4,912	4.46	4.34	4.58	L
27	Lake of the Ozarks	RPC Region	2016	5,869	4.68	4.56	4.8	L
28	Mark Twain	RPC Region	2016	6,537	4.72	4.61	4.83	L
29	Meramec	RPC Region	2016	9,462	4.75	4.65	4.85	L
30	Mid-America	RPC Region	2016	60,901	5.27	5.23	5.31	н
31	Mid-Missouri	RPC Region	2016	16,221	4.74	4.67	4.81	L
32	Mo-Kan	RPC Region	2016	6,638	4.76	4.65	4.87	L
33	Northeast Missouri	RPC Region	2016	2,485	4.48	4.3	4.66	L
34	Northwest Missouri	RPC Region	2016	1,671	4.07	3.87	4.27	L
35	Ozark Foothills	RPC Region	2016	3,983	4.84	4.69	4.99	N/S
36	Pioneer Trails	RPC Region	2016	7,817	5.15	5.04	5.26	н
37	South Central Ozark	RPC Region	2016	6,013	4.78	4.66	4.9	N/S
38	Southeast Missouri	RPC Region	2016	9,926	4.57	4.48	4.66	L
39	Southwest Missouri	RPC Region	2016	30,055	4.8	4.75	4.85	L
40	Eastern Jackson County	City	2016	14,292	5.42	5.33	5.51	н
41	Independence	City	2016	6,486	5.54	5.41	5.67	н
42	Joplin	City	2016	2,716	5.2	5	5.4	н
43	Kansas City	City	2016	27,170	5.64	5.57	5.71	н
44	Adair	County	2016	943	3.72	3.48	3.96	L

Additional **Download** options at the bottom of the Profile allow the entire Profile to be loaded into an Excel spreadsheet.

Downloads
Download Profile

To print the Profile, select the **Print Profile** link in the upper right corner of the screen.

County, city, and regional level Profiles will differ in appearance from the state level Profiles with additional columns and features. To access these tables, simply select your geography type (i.e. county) and place name (i.e. New Madrid County) and click submit. A portion of the **Child Health Profile** for New Madrid County is shown below.

Missouri Resident Child Health Profile							(Print Profile
▲Choose Your Profile Data								
Geography: COUNTY	v	Соц	Inty: Nev	v Madrid	•	Demographic:	All	•
			Submit					
		Cou	nty: New Ma	drid				
▲Indicators								
	Data Years	Count	Rate	State Rate	Significantly Different	Ranking Quintile	Graphics Link	Download Data
Population Estimates								
Ages 1 - 4	2015	911	5.00	4.92	N/S	2	Graphics	🔺 🏂
Ages 5 - 14	2015	2,423	13.31	12.78	N/S	2	Graphics	🔟 🏂
Ages 15 - 17	2015	753	4.14	3.94	N/S	3	Graphics	🗻 🏂
Ages 18 - 19	2015	371	2.04	2.58	L	4	Graphics	🗻 🏂
WIC								
WIC Participation: Ages 12 to 59 months - Inclusive	2015	449	49.29	25.21	Н	1	Graphics	📧 🍌
WIC Children Ages 24 to 59 Months Obese (BMI)	2015	34	12.01	13.87	N/S	2	Graphics	🔟 🍌
Lead Testing								
Lead Testing: Under Age 6	2016	423	30.94	19.57	Н	1	Graphics	🛋 🍌
Blood Lead Elevations>=10 ug/dL: Under Age 6 Tested	2016	0	0.00	0.13			Graphics	🛋 🍌
Selected Indicators Under Age 18								
Under Age 18: Asthma ER Visits	2015	15	3.48 *	9.18	L	2	Graphics	📧 🍌
Under Age 18: Asthma Hospitalizations	2015	5	11.60 *	13.25	N/S		Graphics	🛋 🍌
Divorces Involving Children	2015	34	48.57	47.53	N/S	3	Graphics	× 🍌
Probable Cause Child Abuse/Neglect	2015	37	8.59	4.52	Н	4	Graphics	× *
Selected Indicators Ages 1 - 14								
Ages 1 - 14: Self Pay/No Charge ER Visits	2015	40	4.43	6.83	L	1	Graphics	🕱 🍌
Ages 1 - 14: Self Pav/No Charge Hospitalizations	2015	1	1.11 *	3.05	N/S		Graphics	X
Injury ER Visits	2015	288	8.638.27	9.800.37	L	2	Graphics	X
Injury Hospitalizations	2015	5	149.97 *	129.20	N/S	-	Graphics	

Statistics

Several statistical measures are used to describe each indicator. These measures are located as headers along the columns in the Profiles.

Missouri Resident Child Health Profile								
County: New Madrid								
▲Indicators								
Injury ER Visits	2015	288	8,638.27	9,800.37	L	2	Graphics	🛋 🍌
Injury Hospitalizations	2015	5	149.97 *	129.20	N/S		Graphics	🔟 🍌

Data Years reflect the years of data that the corresponding row of statistics are based on. Because the Profiles draw from multiple data sources, the data years might not always be consistent throughout a Profile. For example, birth data might be updated before hospitalization data, which would be reflected in the data years. Additionally, in cases where frequencies are low, data years are often combined to produce more reliable rates.

Count is also commonly referred to as the number of events or frequency. In the indicator above (Injury ER Visits of children ages 1 through 14 years), the number of events for New Madrid County in 2015 was 288.

The **Rate** is the number of events (numerator) divided by the population at risk (denominator) multiplied by a constant. The rate allows comparisons to be made among different counties that have different populations. In the New Madrid County example, the count is 288, but the population at risk must still be determined.

Population at risk is "a term applied to all those to whom an event could have happened, whether it did or not."¹ It may or may not consist of the entire population. In this example, the population at risk would be all New Madrid County residents ages 1 through 14 years. Looking at the Population Estimates section of the Profile, this population consists of 3,334 individuals (911 children ages 1-4 years + 2,423 children 5-14 years).

Missouri Resident Child Health Profile								Print Profile	
County: New Madrid									
▲Indicators									
	Data Years	Count	Rate	State Rate	Significantly Different	Ranking Quintile	Graphics Link	Download Data	
Population Estimates									
Ages 1 - 4	2015	911	5.00	4.92	N/S	2	Graphics	🎿 🍌	
Aaes 5 - 14	2015	2.423	13.31	12.78	N/S	2	Graphics	📧 🍌	
Ages 15 - 17	2015	753	4.14	3.94	N/S	3	Graphics	📧 🍌	
Ages 18 - 19	2015	371	2.04	2.58	L	4	Graphics	📧 🍌	

The appropriate constant to use depends on the rarity of the event. The **constant** converts the decimal that results from dividing the frequency by the population into a more useable number. It will always be a multiple of 10, such as 1,000 or 100,000. The constant should convert the smallest rate into a number approaching or greater than 1. Most types of data already have a "standard" constant that should be used. For example, death data (a rare event) are usually reported per 100,000 population. In the Profiles and MICAs, the constant used for each indicator will be listed in a footnote or definition. The following table provides examples of the appropriate constant to use in different situations.

¹ Austin DF, Werner SB. *Epidemiology for the health sciences: A primer on epidemiologic concepts and their uses.* Springfield, IL: Charles C. Thomas; 1974.

Frequency ÷	Constant to	Rate	Indicator	Source
Population	Use			
.0822	100	8.22	Low Birth Weight	Infant Health
			(<2,500 grams)	Profile, State
				Rate, 2012-
				2016
.00918	1,000	9.18	Asthma ER Visits	Child Health
			for Children	Profile, State
			Under 18	Rate, 2015
.000607	10,000	6.07	Neural Tube	Infant Health
			Defect Births	Profile, State
				Rate, 2009-
				2013
.0000257	100,000	2.57	Cancer Deaths for	Child Health
			Children 15-19	Profile, State
				Rate, 2006-
				2016

The resulting rate of Injury ER Visits for New Madrid County children ages 1 through 14 years is:

Number of Events ÷ Population at Risk x Constant = Rate 288 ÷ 3,334 = .0863827 * 100,000 = 8,638.27

The **State Rate** is provided in the next column for comparison.

When using rates, *always* specify the constant in the text or in a footnote. This allows others to determine the pervasiveness of the indicator and also to ensure that they are using the same constant if comparing rates from two different sources. In the example above, the rate of Injury ER Visits by New Madrid County Children ages 1 through 14 years is *8,638.27 per 100,000 resident children ages 1 through 14 years*.

The Injury ER Visit rate is a **crude rate** because the exact number of events and the exact population were used. Since a specific age group was inspected, no adjustments for the county's age structure were necessary. If adjustments had been made, that information should be stated, as well. (Adjusted rates are covered in more detail on pages 30-31 of this handbook.)

When comparing two different geographies or two different time periods, rates should be used in most cases because they control for differences in population size whereas frequencies do not (in MOPHIMS the frequency column is labeled 'Count', as the terms are used interchangeably). However, frequencies cannot be completely ignored because a rate based on a small number of events may be unreliable. **Unreliable rates** may be encountered when analyzing data for small areas such as counties or with low frequency events such as cause-specific mortality or birth defects.

For example, suppose that in 2007, one case of influenza occurred in a community of 1,000 people. The rate of flu incidence in 2007 was 1/1,000, or 0.1%. In 2008, the population was still 1,000 people, but two persons caught the flu. The rate of flu incidence in 2008 was thus 2/1000, or 0.2%. The rate of flu incidence doubled, even though the number of cases only increased by 1.

Hypothetical Example of Flu Cases									
	in Small County, MO								
Year	Number Population Rate*								
	of Events	_							
2007	1	1,000	1.0						
2008	2	1,000	2.0						
*Rate is per 1,000 population									

As this example illustrates, analysts must be very careful when drawing conclusions using rates based on small numbers. "When the numbers of cases or deaths used to compute rates are small, those rates tend to have poor reliability."² In Profile and MICA tables, rates based on numerators of less than 20 events are usually flagged as unreliable, as shown in the example below. Profile and MICA maps are suppressed if more than half the counties have unreliable rates. If the use of data from one specified year is not required, combining multiple years of data can sometimes produce reliable rates. Similarly, data from several counties can be combined to create a reliable regional rate. At the very least, if a numerator is less than 20, it is wise to examine the data for several years to see how the numbers or rates have fluctuated.

	Title:	Missour	lissouri Resident Deaths							
Data sele addition t and co	cted in to rows plumns below:	Cause: Influenza and pneumonia#;								
Year:	2008	2008	2009	2009	Total for selection	Total for selection				
Statistics:	Count	Rate	Count	Rate	Count	Rate				
County										
St. Francois	12	16.81 *	19	26.47 *	31	21.63				
Missouri	1,428	21.49	1,346	19.94	2,774	20.71				
	Rate: Death rates are annualized per 100,000 residents and are age adjusted to the U.S. 2000 standard population.						dents and are age adjusted to the U.S. 2000 standard			
9	Source:	Irce: DHSS - MOPHIMS - Death MICA								
Generat	ted On:	n: 8/28/2017 4:00:37 PM								
	*	Rate is u	inreliab	le; nume	erator less	than 20				

Prepared by the Bureau of Health Care Analysis and Data Dissemination Page 18

² CDC "Suppression for Reliability" Suppression of Rates and Counts <u>https://www.cdc.gov/cancer/npcr/uscs/technical_notes/stat_methods/suppression.htm</u>. June 30, 2017

Returning to the New Madrid County Injury ER Visit example, both the New Madrid County and the state Injury ER Visit rates are stable, so meaningful comparisons between the two can be made. The New Madrid County rate of 6,885.15 is quite a bit less than the Missouri rate of 10,189.68. However, the rates alone do not reveal if there is a statistically significant difference between New Madrid County and the state for Injury ER Visits. Instead, the Significantly Different column must be referenced.

The **Significantly Different** column indicates whether the difference between the county rate and the corresponding state rate is probably the result of chance factors or if the difference is meaningful. Significant difference can only be determined with the use of a statistical significance test. The result of this test is displayed on the Profile.

- "H" 95% confidence that the county rate is statistically higher than the state rate
- "L" -95% confidence that the county rate is statistically lower than the state rate
- "N/S" cannot state with confidence that the difference between the county rate and the state rate is not due to random variations. The difference is not statistically significant and is probably not meaningful.

In this example, at 95% confidence, New Madrid County's rate of Injury ER Visits for children ages 1 through 14 years is meaningfully lower than the Missouri rate. There is a statistically significant difference between the county rate and the state rate.

Ranking Quintiles provide a general idea of how a particular county ranks for an indicator compared to the rest of the counties in Missouri. A quintile is one-fifth of a ranked list. The 115 counties of Missouri (including St. Louis City) are ordered by rate. They are then divided into five quintiles, with 23 counties in each quintile $(115 \div 5 = 23)$.

Quinti	Quintile Ranks						
1	1-23						
2	24-46						
3	47-69						
4	70-92						
5	93-115						

Geographies with a ranking quintile of 1 have some of the best health outcomes in the state; however, the indicator being ranked determines whether the ideal rate is the lowest rate or the highest statewide. Indicators have been identified as having positive/neutral or negative outcomes, which determines whether a low rate is ideal (e.g., Injury ER Visits) or if a higher rate corresponds to healthier community models (i.e., Lead Testing). For example, New Madrid County has a ranking quintile of 1 for Injury ER Visits by children ages 1 through 14, which indicates it is one of the top 23 counties (or said another way, New Madrid has one of the lowest rates) in Missouri for this indicator of health.

Be aware that quintiles do not indicate statistical significance or meaningful difference; they simply rank the counties. If the rates for a particular indicator are similar across the state, the rates in the highest quintile may not differ much from the rates in the lowest quintile. In cases where the numbers of events are small and rates are unreliable, a county may fall into the highest or lowest quintile on the basis of a few events, even if the underlying "true" rate is not particularly high or low. For this reason, quintiles are not shown if more than half of the counties have fewer than 20 events.

Demographic: Race Profiles are available for the state of Missouri and the following counties/cities that have large African-American populations: Boone, Buchanan, Cape Girardeau, Cass, Clay, Cole, Dunklin, Jackson, Jasper, Kansas City, Mississippi, New Madrid, Pemiscott, Platte, Pulaski, St. Charles, St. Louis County, St. Louis City, and Scott. Select the **Race** dropdown in the Demographic box in the **Choose Your Profile Data** section and click submit. (If race data are not available, this option will not appear.) To return to the overall population data, select **All** in the Demographic box. Don't forget to hit submit!

Missouri Resident Child Health Profile Print Profile									
▲Choose Your Profile Data									
Geography: COUNTY	Ŧ	c	Cli Submit	ау	¥	Demog	raphic: Ra	ice	T
County: Clay									
▲Indicators									
			White		Black	or African-Ame	erican		
	Data Years	Count	Rate	State Rate	Count	Rate	State Rate	Graphics Link	Download Data
Population Estimates									
Ages 1 - 4	2016	10,828	5.09	4.61	1,358	7.91	6.69	Graphics	🛋 🍌
Ages 5 - 14	2016	28,412	13.35	12.18	3,216	18.72	15.91	Graphics	📓 🏂
Ages 15 - 17	2016	8,354	3.93	3.80	1,020	5.94	4.75	Graphics	🎿 🏂
Ages 18 - 19	2016	4,524	2.13	2.46	559	3.25	3.16	Graphics	🛋 🍌
WIC									
WIC Participation: Ages 12 to 59 months - Inclusive	2015	1,378	13.24	22.58	404	34.47	31.20	Graphics	🔺 📐
WIC Children Ages 24 to 59 Months Obese (BMI)	2015	102	12.83	14.49	31	12.11	11.64	Graphics	📧 🍌
Lead Testing									
Lead Testing: Under Age 6	2016	1,932	12.05	14.79	445	22.29	30.59	Graphics	📧 🍌
Blood Lead Elevations>=10 ug/dL: Under Age 6 Tested	2016	1	0.01 *	0.07	0	0.00	0.27	Graphics	🔺 🎽
Selected Indicators Under Age 18									
Under Age 18: Asthma ER Visits	2015	169	3.37	4.09	105	18.52	31.68	Graphics	📧 🍌
Under Age 18: Asthma Hospitalizations	2015	45	8.99	6.79	14	24.69 *	38.36	Graphics	📧 🍌
Probable Cause Child Abuse/Neglect	2015	119	2.38	4.13	22	3.88	4.91	Graphics	📧 🍌
Selected Indicators Ages 1 - 14									
Ages 1 - 14: Self Pay/No Charge ER Visits	2015	474	5.83	6.17	117	7.70	7.75	Graphics	🎿 🏂
Ages 1 - 14: Self Pay/No Charge Hospitalizations	2015	16	2.06 *	2.90	1	0.83 *	2.92	Graphics	📧 🍌
Injury ER Visits	2015	2,750	7,052.73	8,834.94	311	7,036.20	11,957.10	Graphics	🎿 🏂
Injury Hospitalizations	2015	31	79.50	112.56	6	135.75 *	142.86	Graphics	🛋 🏂

Graphics

The Community Data Profiles now have enhanced data visualization opportunities. Clicking on the **Graphics** link, available for most indicators, will take users to a separate tab showing the Community Data Profiles Dashboard. This dashboard features up to five data visualizations for the indicator specified. Using Ages 15-19: Injury ER Visits for St. Louis City, for example, will generate a dashboard showing a Significance Map, a Quintile Map, a Dashboard Graphic/Fuel Gauge, a Trend Analysis line graph, and a Comparison Bar Graph. These graphics can be modified or downloaded by clicking the Full Version button located on each graphic thumbnail.



The first available graphic, **Significance Maps**, use the Significantly Different calculation to map each indicator as Higher (darkest shade), Lower (lightest shade), or Not Significantly Different from the state rate. Counties where fewer than 20 events occurred are crosshatched to indicate rate unreliability, as is the case for Lewis and Worth Counties in the following map. In the Full Version, users can change the color of the maps, select a map overlay, and choose to display or hide county names, among other options. The maps can be exported or printed and the underlying data tables are available for download.



Quintile Maps offer many of the same customization opportunities as the Significance Maps. However, instead of displaying significance, these maps group counties into groups of 23 based on their quintile ranking.

A **Dashboard Graphic**, or fuel gauge, is also available on the Dashboard Results. This graphic imparts a lot of information quickly and easily. Users can see how a rate ranks compared to the state and in which ranking quintile it falls. The legend also displays exactly where it ranks (compared to others in the chosen geography type). In this example, the Full Version shows St. Louis City has an Injury ER rate for ages 15-19 that ranks 50th for the state (out of 115 counties), which is significantly worse than the state rate.



By clicking Full Version on the **Comparison Bar Graph** users can chart the rates of a single indicator for several counties or several indicators for a single county. Users simply click on the drop down list at the bottom of the **Choose Your Comparison Bar Graph Data** section to select additional counties/indicators. This functionality is also available in the **Trend Line Analysis** line graph.

Comparison Bar Graph	Comparison Bar Graph - Missouri Resident Child Health Profile							
Choose Your Compariso	AChoose Your Comparison Bar Graph Data							
Demographic: Geography:	ALL COUNTY COUNTY County: St. Louis City							
Indicator Group:	Injury Hospitalization and ER Visits							
Indicator:	4 selected -							
	Submit							
	County: St. Louis City							
Comparison Bar Graph								
	Comparison Bar Graph - Missouri Resident Child Health Profile Geography: St. Louis City County, Demographic: All Indicator: Multiple Injury Hospitalization and ER Visits Indicators							
14000	12676.88 12356.15 176.88 741.49							
Indicator Rates are considered unreliable when based on less than 20 events. Please check corresponding event counts before interpreting the rates shown here. Source: DHSS-MOPHIMS Community Data Profiles - Child Health Generated On: 08/15/2018 09:27:53 AM								
	Save Chart As •							

We can now see that St. Louis City Injury ER Visit rate is higher for the 1-14 age group than the 15-19 age group. Though the age group pattern is flipped for hospitalizations, it is also very clear that ER Visit rates are much higher than Injury Hospitalization rates—more children are discharged from emergency rooms than from the hospital.

Comparison Bar Graph Data									
Profile: Missouri Resident Child Health Profile Chart Type: Comparison Bar Graph Geography: St. Louis City County, Demographic: All, Indicators: Selected Indicators Ages 1 - 14 - Injury ER Visits, Selected Indicators Ages 1 - 14 - Injury Hospitalizations, Selected Indicators Ages 15 - 19 - Injury ER Visits, Selected Indicators Ages 15 - 19 - Injury Hospitalizations									
Indicator Name	Data Year	Count	Rate	Significantly Different	Ranking Quintile	State Rate	Lower 95% Confidence Limit	Upper 95% Confidence Limit	
Selected Indicators Ages 1 - 14 - Injury ER Visits	2015	6,307	12,676.88	Н	4	9,800.37	12364.01	12989.75	
Selected Indicators Ages 1 - 14 - Injury Hospitalizations	2015	88	176.88	Н		129.20	141.86	217.92	
Selected Indicators Ages 15 - 19 - Injury ER Visits	2015	2,083	12,356.15	Н	3	11,051.56	11825.52	12886.78	
Selected Indicators Ages 15 - 19 - Injury Hospitalizations	2015	125	741.49	Н		419.63	617.21	883.45	
Rates are considered unreliable when based on less than 20 events. Please check corresponding event counts before interpreting the rates shown here.									
Source: DHSS-MOPHIMS Community Data Profiles - Child Health Generated On: 08/15/2018 09:27:53 AM									
Download Chart Data									

Users will notice that not all indicators from the **Child Health Profile** can be compared to one another in the **Comparison Bar Charts** or the **Trend Line Analysis**. This is because, as noted on page 16, many of the indicators use different constants in the rate calculations. It would be inappropriate to show these indicators together on a single graph without converting all of the statistics to the same rate constant.

The Full Version also shows the underlying data table for each graphic, as well as additional information like confidence limits, where applicable, for some of the graphics. This data table is also available for download, allowing users to further customize graphics or conduct additional analyses.

Other additional features are available in the Full Version graphics. For example, on the **Trend Line Analysis** line graph, background statistics compare three-year moving averages to determine whether an indicator has seen significant increases or decreases over time.

Trend lines graphically show the rate of occurrence of a disease or risk factor over time. They also illustrate the direction and acceleration of changes in that rate. In general, indicators which have trend lines with steep upward slopes may be considered more urgent than those with trend lines which are also increasing but have more gradual slopes. As with rates themselves, there can be random variation in the trend lines of rates, so that a line that slopes upward may not represent a statistically significant increase, particularly if it is based on small numbers. For that reason, significance tests are run to determine whether or not, with at least 95% confidence, what appears to be an increase or decrease is meaningful and not just the result of random fluctuation. The results of these significance tests are explained in the **Trend Analysis**, which appears below the trend line chart.

Three-Year Moving Averages are used to calculate the trend lines in order to smooth any random variation that may occur within a particular year. When a new year of data becomes available, the oldest year from the prior three-year period is dropped, and the new year is added. For example, the earliest year of injury data included in this **Child Health Profile** example is 2004. The first three-year moving average was calculated using 2004, 2005, and 2006. When the 2006 data became available, 2003 was dropped and 2006 was added to calculate the next three-year moving average. Trends are available only if each three-year period has an average of 20 or more events. In other words, trend lines are only available for stable rates. These three-year moving averages are listed in a table below the **Trend Analysis**.

In the trend line chart below, the crude rate of Injury ER Visits for ages 15-19 for St. Louis City residents declined somewhat in earlier years (from 14,376.90 per 100,000 residents in 2004-2006 to 12,929.27 in 2008-2010) before rising in 2011-2013 to 13,018.48 and falling again in subsequent years. The **Trend Analysis** reveals that the changes across the entire trend line are statistically significant. In other words, Injury ER Visits in St. Louis City for this specific age group has significantly decreased since 2004, in spite of a few periods of increase.

Trend Analysis - Missouri Resident Child Health Profile								
A Choose Your Trend Analysis Data								
Demographic: Geography:	ALL COUNTY County: 3 selected							
Indicator Group:	Injury Hospitalization and ER Visits							
Indicator:	Selected Indicators Ages 15 - 19 - Injury ER Visits -							
	Submit							
	County: St. Charles, St. Louis City, St. Louis County							
▲Trend Analysis Chart								
Trend Analysis Chart Trend Analysis Chart Tree Year Moving Average Rates - Missouri Resident Child Health Profile Geography: Missouri and Counties: St. Charles. St. Louis City. St. Louis County. Demographic: All Indicator: Selected Indicators Ages 15 - 13 - Injury ER Visats Indicator: Selected Indicators Ages 15 - 13 - Injury ER Visats Indicator: Selected Indicators Ages 15 - 13 - Injury ER Visats Indicator: Selected Indicators Ages 15 - 13 - Injury ER Visats Indicator: Selected Indicators Ages 15 - 13 - Injury ER Visats Indicator: Selected Indicators Ages 15 - 13 - Injury ER Visats Indicator: Selected Indicators Ages 15 - 13 - Injury ER Visats Indicator: Selected Indicators Ages 15 - 13 - Injury ER Visats Indicator Selected Indicators Ages 15 - 13 - Injury ER Visats Indicator Selected Indicators Ages 15 - 13 - Injury ER Visats Indicator Selected Indicators Ages 15 - 13 - Injury ER Visats Indicator Selected Indicators Ages 15 - 13 - Injury ER Visats Indicator Selected Indicators Ages 15 - 13 - Injury ER Visats Indicator Selected Indicators Ages 15 - 13 - Injury ER Visats Indicator Selected Indicators Ages 15 - 13 - Injury ER Visats Indicator Selected Indicators Ages 15 - 13 - Injury ER Visats Indicator Selected Indicators Ages 15 - 13 - Injury ER Visats Indicator Selected Indicators Ages 15 - 13 - Injury ER Visats Indicator Selected Indicators Ages 15 - 13 - Injury ER Visats Indicator Selected Indicators Ages 15 - 13 - Injury ER Visats Indicator Selected Indicators Ages 15 - 13 - Injury ER Visats Indicator Selected Indicators Ages 15 - 13 - Injury ER Visats Indicator Selected Indicators Ages 15 - 13 - Injury ER Visats Indicator Selected Indicators Ages 15 - 13 - Injury ER Visats Indicator Selected Indicators Ages 15 - 13 - 13 - 13 - 13 - 13 - 13 - 13 -								
Trend Analysis • Missouri rate trend show a statistically significant decrease • St. Charles rate trend show a statistically significant decrease • St. Louis City rate trend show a statistically significant decrease • St. Louis County rate trend show a statistically significant decrease								
	Save Chart As ▼							

To download the **Trend Line Analysis** graph, click the green **Save Chart As** button, shown above. Three download options are available: PDF, JPEG, and PNG. Any of these will allow the entire image to be saved and placed in a document without further manipulation. This feature is available for each of the data visualization options on the Profiles Dashboard.

Prepared by the Bureau of Health Care Analysis and Data Dissemination Page 26

Trend Analys	is Chart Data
--------------	---------------

Profile: Missouri Resident Child Health Profile

Chart Type: Three Year Moving Average Rates Trend Line w: Missouri and Countios: St. Charles, St. Louis City, St. Louis Co. sted Indicators Ages 15 - 19 - Injuny ED Visits All Indicator: Sola

Geography Name	Data Year	Rate	State Rate
St. Charles	2004-2006	13,950.26	14,897.64
St. Charles	2005-2007	13,443.71	14,512.37
St. Charles	2006-2008	12,715.30	13,962.98
St. Charles	2007-2009	11,521.47	13,433.32
St. Charles	2008-2010	10,551.40	12,876.47
St. Charles	2009-2011	9,896.12	12,584.79
St. Charles	2010-2012	9,806.97	12,428.12
St. Charles	2011-2013	9,271.16	12,018.41
St. Charles	2012-2014	8,157.22	11,321.90
St. Charles	2013-2015	7,673.86	10,861.78
St. Louis City	2004-2006	14,376.90	14,897.64
St. Louis City	2005-2007	13,707.37	14,512.37
St. Louis City	2006-2008	13,149.63	13,962.98
St. Louis City	2007-2009	13,064.37	13,433.32
St. Louis City	2008-2010	12,929.27	12,876.47
St. Louis City	2009-2011	13,009.54	12,584.79
St. Louis City	2010-2012	13,020.67	12,428.12
St. Louis City	2011-2013	13,018.48	12,018.41
St. Louis City	2012-2014	11,999.20	11,321.90
St. Louis City	2013-2015	11,545.57	10,861.78
St. Louis County	2004-2006	12,649.13	14,897.64
St. Louis County	2005-2007	12,256.11	14,512.37
St. Louis County	2006-2008	11,693.16	13,962.98
St. Louis County	2007-2009	11,310.22	13,433.32
St. Louis County	2008-2010	10,912.13	12,876.47
St. Louis County	2009-2011	10,559.04	12,584.79
St. Louis County	2010-2012	10,422.11	12,428.12
St. Louis County	2011-2013	9,984.81	12,018.41
St. Louis County	2012-2014	9,263.88	11,321.90
St. Louis County	2013-2015	8,780.88	10,861.78
Rates are considered unreliable when based on lass than 20 events. Please check con	responding event counts before interpreting the rates shown be	ere	

DHSS-MOPHIMS Community Data Profiles - Child Health Generated On: 08/15/2018 09:31:21 AM

Download Chart Data

To download the three-year moving averages table into Microsoft Excel, simply click the green Download Chart Data button at the bottom of the screen.

	Download Chart Data
🕙 ProfileTrendLineDat ^	

Be sure that pop up blockers are disabled. An Excel file will appear at the bottom of the browser. An example is circled in orange above.

	A	В	С	D					
1	Profile: Missouri Resident	Child Hea	lth Prof	ile					
2	Chart Type: Three Year Moving Average Rates Trend Line								
-	Geography: Missouri and Counties: St. Charles, St. Louis City, St. Louis								
3	County, Demographic: All, Indicator: Sel	ected Indicato	ors Ages 15	- 19 -					
4	Geography Name	Data Year	Rate	State Rate					
5	St. Charles	2004-2006	13,950.26	14,897.64					
6	St. Charles	2005-2007	13,443.71	14,512.37					
7	St. Charles	2006-2008	12,715.30	13,962.98					
8	St. Charles	2007-2009	11,521.47	13,433.32					
9	St. Charles	2008-2010	10,551.40	12,876.47					
10	St. Charles	2009-2011	9,896.12	12,584.79					
11	St. Charles	2010-2012	9,806.97	12,428.12					
12	St. Charles	2011-2013	9,271.16	12,018.41					
13	St. Charles	2012-2014	8,157.22	11,321.90					
14	St. Charles	2013-2015	/,0/3.80	10,861.78					
15	St. Louis City	2004 2005	14 276 00	14 907 64					
10	St. Louis City	2004-2008	14,370.90	14,097.04					
1/	St. Louis City	2005-2007	12 1/0 62	12,052.09					
10	St. Louis City	2000-2008	13,149.03	13,502.50					
20	St. Louis City	2007-2009	12 929 27	12 876 47					
20	St. Louis City	2000-2010	13 009 54	12,570.47					
22	St. Louis City	2010-2012	13 020 67	12 428 12					
23	St. Louis City	2011-2013	13.018.48	12,018,41					
24	St. Louis City	2012-2014	11.999.20	11.321.90					
25	St. Louis City	2013-2015	11,545.57	10,861.78					
26									
27	St. Louis County	2004-2006	12,649.13	14,897.64					
28	St. Louis County	2005-2007	12,256.11	14,512.37					
29	St. Louis County	2006-2008	11,693.16	13,962.98					
30	St. Louis County	2007-2009	11,310.22	13,433.32					
31	St. Louis County	2008-2010	10,912.13	12,876.47					
32	St. Louis County	2009-2011	10,559.04	12,584.79					
33	St. Louis County	2010-2012	10,422.11	12,428.12					
34	St. Louis County	2011-2013	9,984.81	12,018.41					
35	St. Louis County	2012-2014	9,263.88	11,321.90					
36	St. Louis County	2013-2015	8,780.88	10,861.78					
37									
38									
39	FootNotes								
	Kates are considered unreliable when based on less than 20 events. Please								
	check corresponding event counts before								
40	interpreting the rates shown here.								
41									
42	DHSS-MOPHIMS Community Data Profile	s - Child Heal	th						
43	Generated On: 08/15/2018 09:42:41 AM								
44									
45									
46									
ProfileTrendLineDataTable_20180									

Clicking this file will immediately open the table in an Excel workbook. Please note that the trend line chart does not download into Excel, only the data table. Once the data are downloaded, Excel's Line Chart tools can be used to recreate and customize the trend line.

Profiles Exercises – Part I

- 1. You have been asked to compile some basic information about the incidence (new cases) of birth defects in Cass County and Jasper County.
 - a. Which Profile contains data on birth defects?
 - b. What is the population constant for birth defects?
 - c. List two sources of birth defects data.
 - d. What is the birth defects rate for Cass County? _______
 For Jasper County? ______
 e. Is Cass County's rate significantly different from the state rate? _______
 - Is Cass County's rate significantly different from the state rate?

 If yes, how?

 Is Jasper County's rate significantly different from the state rate?

 If yes, how?
 - f. What is the neural tube defect rate for Cass County? _____ For Jasper County? _____ Are these rates significantly different from the state rate, and, if yes, how? _____

Age-Adjusted Rates

The **Child Health Profile** contains only crude rates because it focuses on a specific age group, children aged 0-19 years. In many situations, however, analysts are required to compare total populations and different geographic areas that may have wildly different age compositions that could affect such comparisons. For example, consider the age pyramids of Pulaski and Camden Counties shown below. Pulaski County includes many young adults, while Camden County is home to many older adults. When comparing total populations for different areas or even for different time periods within the same area, age-adjusted rates should be used instead of crude rates.



Source: MODHSS, Adapted from Population MICA

An age-adjusted rate "removes differences in the age composition of two or more populations to allow comparisons between these populations independent of their age structure."³ Stated another way, age-adjusting allows users to make fairer comparisons between populations with different age structures. Age is the variable most commonly adjusted because the onset of many health conditions is strongly correlated with age. After age adjusting, we can "be sure that any difference [is] not due to a difference in the age distributions... We could also adjust for differences in sex, race and economics if we wanted to exclude those effects."⁴

Prepared by the Bureau of Health Care Analysis and Data Dissemination Page 30

³ Florida Department of Health. *FloridaCHARTS user's guide: Empowering communities with health information*. Florida CHARTS.

http://www.flhealthcharts.com/Charts/documents/CHARTS_USER_GUIDE_8_2012.pdf Accessed April 10, 2014.

⁴ Austin DF, Werner SB. *Epidemiology for the health sciences: A primer on epidemiologic concepts and their uses.* Springfield, IL: Charles C. Thomas; 1974.

A standard population distribution is used to adjust rates. The age-adjusted rates are the rates that would have existed if the population under study had been distributed in the same way as the 'standard' population. Notice the difference between the crude and the age-adjusted rates for Pulaski and Camden Counties.

2008 Death Rates per 100,000 Population

Pulaski Crude Rate = 612.8	Camden Crude Rate = 1,003.2
Pulaski Age-Adjusted Rate* = 1,029.2	Camden Age-Adjusted Rate* = 791.6
*Adjusted to the US 2000 standard population	

The following example illustrates the process of age adjusting rates. Community B has a higher death rate for each age category than Community A, but Community A's overall crude death rate is higher. However, after the rates are age-adjusted, Community B has the higher overall death rate, which better reflects the true risk of death in Community B.

	Community A				Community B			
Age		Deaths	Population	Rate per 1,000	Deaths	Population	Rate per 1,000	
0-34		20	1,000	20	180	6,000	30	
35-64		120	3,000	40	150	3,000	50	
65+		360	6,000	60	70	1,000	70	
Total		500	10,000	50	400	10,000	40	

Crude Death Rates

	Standard	Cor	Community A		Cor	nmunity B
Age	Population	Crude Rate	Rate * Population		Crude Rate	Rate * Population
0-34	3000	20	60,000		30	90,000
35-64	3000	40	120,000		50	150,000
65+	4000	60	240,000		70	280,000
Total	10000		420,000			520,000
Age-			-			
Adjusted						
Rate per						
1,000			42			52

Age-Adjusted Death Rates

Source: Curtin & Klein, 1995

NOTE: The same standard population must be used on both sides of the comparison. It is not legitimate to compare adjusted rates which use different standard populations.

The US 2000 standard population is currently used by all federal agencies and should be selected in most situations. If comparing to older reports, the 1940 or 1970 standard populations may be used. MICA allows for age adjusting based on all three of these standard populations. The standard population must be cited with the rate, either in the text or in a footnote, as in this example from the Community Data Profiles.

Mortality rates are per year per 100,000 population and are age-adjusted to the U.S. 2000 standard population.

Survey Data

Most of the data in the Profiles and MICAs are based on counts of actual events. These counts are taken from surveillance systems or registries that attempt to record each relevant incident, such as births in the birth certificate system or new cases of cancer in the Cancer Registry. However, for many conditions and risk factors there are no specific registries; therefore, there are no complete counts. For example, no registry records every person diagnosed with asthma. Asthma sufferers who die from the condition are captured in the death certificate system but relatively few people die from asthma. The Patient Abstract System captures hospital and emergency room visits for asthma but not every asthma sufferer requires hospital treatment. Many are treated by general practitioners or in urgent care centers. Likewise, there are no registries for most risk factors, such as smoking or high blood pressure. For conditions and risk factors such as these, surveillance systems based on survey data have been established.

Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS)

The **Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS)** is a state-based surveillance system conducted in all 50 states, the District of Columbia, and several US territories in collaboration with the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Interviews are conducted annually with adults ages 18 and older through randomly selected landline and cell phone telephone numbers. The purpose is to collect data on chronic diseases, conditions, risk factors, preventive health practices and other health-related topics. Most of the interview questions remain the same from year to year, but some questions are only asked every other year. Questions may be added as new trends emerge, and states have the opportunity to select optional modules in addition to the core questions asked in all states. A list of BRFSS topics is available in the Appendix. The CDC and some states recently piloted the use of mail and web surveys for telephone non-respondents to study the impact on response rates. At this time, there is no plan to add the modalities to the BRFSS.

In the BRFSS, a proportion of adults age 18 or older are randomly selected to participate in the survey and the data are weighted to be representative of all adults in the entire population. Demographic dimensions used in the weighting method to produce state prevalence estimates are as follows:

- age group by gender
- detailed race/ethnicity
- educational level
- marital status
- home owner or renter status
- gender by race/ethnicity
- age group by race/ethnicity
- telephone source (landline telephone only, both landline and cell phone, or cell phone only)

For states that collect and report data according to regions, such as Missouri's seven BRFSS regions shown below, the weighting procedure includes these additional dimensions:

- region
- region by age group
- region by gender
- region by race/ethnicity

Because of a difference in weighting methodologies, prior years of BRFSS data may not be compared to 2011 data.

Currently in Missouri, approximately 4,200 landline and 3,000 cell phone interviews are conducted annually. Prevalence estimates are available for the state overall and the seven regions shown below.



Several BRFSS indicators are included in the **Diabetes**, **Heart Disease**, and **Stroke Profiles**. All Missouri BRFSS data can be accessed directly from <u>http://www.health.mo.gov/data/brfss/index.php</u> or through the Data, Surveillance Systems & Statistical Reports website. BRFSS data from all states may be obtained from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention website at <u>http://www.cdc.gov/brfss/</u>.

BRFSS is a valuable public health surveillance system at both the national and state levels. It provides upstream indicators — prevalence of risk behaviors, chronic

conditions, and diseases — which are crucial to public health program planning and progress monitoring. There are some limitations to the BRFSS-type survey, including the ability of respondents to recall information accurately and lack of representation of individuals not included in the sampled population, such as those institutionalized. Regardless of these limitations, the value of BRFSS is unquestionable because of its timeliness, its coverage, and its upstream indicators that would not be available without BRFSS.

Missouri County Level Study

The Missouri County Level Study (CLS) is a special BRFSS-like survey that has been conducted four times – in 2003, 2007, and 2011, and 2016. The study purpose is to produce county-specific prevalence estimates of chronic diseases, conditions, risk factors and preventive practices of Missouri adults ages 18 and older. Telephone interviews were conducted with approximately 15,000 adults in 2003, 52,000 in 2011, and 50,500 in 2016. The 2011 survey included 4,880 interviews with cell phone only users which increased to 25,000 cell phone only households in 2016. The 2007, 2011, and 2016 studies were funded by the Missouri Foundation for Health.

The 2011 CLS data are available through the Community Data Profiles website at <u>https://webapp01.dhss.mo.gov/MOPHIMS/ProfileBuilder?pc=14</u>. Because the 2011 CLS combined landline and cell phone data were weighted using CDC's new BRFSS methodology, 2011 data may not be compared to 2003 or 2007 data unless the older data are re-weighted.

NOTE: The 2003 CLS data have been removed from the DHSS website. The 2007 CLS data were re-weighted and are now available through the 2007-2011 County Level Study Comparison Profiles. Eventually, a similar option to compare the 2016 CLS results will be added.

Additional information about the CLS can be accessed at <u>http://www.health.mo.gov/data/cls/index.php</u> or through the Data, Surveillance Systems & Statistical Reports website.

Missouri County Level Study Profile

Two Profiles (the **County Level Study Profile** and the **County Level Study Comparison Profile**) were designed specifically to present CLS data. Each of these Profiles are divided into sections based on relevant survey questions. Data from questions on access to care, health behaviors, health conditions, screening, health policy and environment, and health literacy may be found at the top of the **County Level Study Profile.** The **County Level Study Comparison Profile** has a slightly different format, but is very similar to the **County Level Study Profile**. A portion of the 2016 **County**
Level Study Profile follows. The exact survey questions and potential responses may be viewed by selecting the hyperlinked indicator labels.

Measuri Department of Health & Senior Service	es 🕈 Home	Profiles -	MICA-	EPHT▼	Q Sear	rch			1	Sign Up	+ D Login
Missouri Resident Coun	ty-Level Study	/ Profile								Pr	int Profile
▲Choose Your Profile Date	ta										
CLS Year:	2016			Rate T	ype:	Weighted Percent	T				
0					L						
Geography:	STATEWIDE	•		SI	tate:	Missouri		Demog	All		•
					Submit						
				S	tate: Miss	souri					
▲Health, Risk Factors, and	nd Preventive Pr	ractices Inc	licators								
							All				
	Indica	tor				Number of Respondents	Prevalence (%)	95% CI Lower	95% CI Upper	Graphics Link	Downloa Data
General Health											
Fair or Poor General Health S	Status					50,790	19.71	18.93	20.49	Graphics	📧 🍌
Activity limitation						50,081	23.28	22.41	24.14	Graphics	🔟 🏂
Access to Care											
No health care coverage - Ag	jes 18-64					30,295	13.81	12.96	14.66	Graphics	🛛 🔟 🍌
Did not get medical care beca medical care but could not ge	ause of cost or no et it in the past 12 r	insurance - / months	Among those	e who needed	t	8,160	52.72	50.16	55.28	Graphics	🔳 🍌
Last had a routine physical ch	heckup more than	2 years ago				47,047	16.26	15.42	17.10	Graphics	🔟 🍌
No dental care coverage						47,292	60.95	59.92	61.98	Graphics	🔟 🏂
Needed to see a dentist in pa	ist 12 months but o	could not du	e to cost			48,392	18.95	18.11	19.78	Graphics	🔟 🍌
Last visited a dentist more that	an 2 years ago					47,942	24.44	23.56	25.32	Graphics	🔳 ≽
Health Behaviors											
Binge alcohol drinking						48,148	18.38	17.46	19.31	Graphics	🔳 🍌
Heavy alcohol drinking						48,039	7.12	6.49	7.74	Graphics	📧 🍌
Current cigarette smoking						49,675	21.88	20.99	22.77	Graphics	📧 🍌
Current cigarette smokers wh	io made a quit atte	empt in past	year			10,215	57.05	54.77	59.33	Graphics	🔟 🎽
Current electronic cigarette un	(49,340	5.29	4.79	5.78	Graphics	🔟 ≽
ourront cloca chie sigurotto a	se (vaping)									Oranhiaa	🔽 🙏
Current smokeless tobacco u	se (vaping) ise					49,838	5.03	4.62	5.44	Graphics	
Current smokeless tobacco u Inadequate sleep	se (vaping) ise					49,838 47,880	5.03 32.67	4.62 31.63	5.44 33.72	Graphics	
Current smokeless tobacco u Inadequate sleep No leisure-time physical activ	ity					49,838 47,880 48,983	5.03 32.67 25.86	4.62 31.63 24.95	5.44 33.72 26.77	Graphics Graphics Graphics	
Current smokeless tobacco u Inadequate sleep No leisure-time physical activ Less than 5 fruits and vegetal	ise ity bles per day					49,838 47,880 48,983 46,911	5.03 32.67 25.86 88.47	4.62 31.63 24.95 87.75	5.44 33.72 26.77 89.19	Graphics Graphics Graphics Graphics	
Current smokeless tobacco u Inadequate sleep No leisure-time physical activ Less than 5 fruits and vegetal Chronic Diseases and Conditio	ity bles per day					49,838 47,880 48,983 46,911	5.03 32.67 25.86 88.47	4.62 31.63 24.95 87.75	5.44 33.72 26.77 89.19	Graphics Graphics Graphics Graphics	
Current smokeless tobacco u Inadequate sleep No leisure-time physical activ Less than 5 fruits and vegetal Chronic Diseases and Condition Ever been told had arthritis	ity bles per day					49,838 47,880 48,983 46,911 50,645	5.03 32.67 25.86 88.47 28.32	4.62 31.63 24.95 87.75 27.43	5.44 33.72 26.77 89.19 29.21	Graphics Graphics Graphics Graphics	

The CLS Profiles are set up somewhat differently than most of the other Community Data Profiles. The CLS data are estimates based on survey responses, not complete counts of actual events. The **Number of Respondents** for each indicator shown on the CLS Profiles is the number of people asked a question about that indicator, NOT the total number of residents affected by that indicator. For example, 30,295 adults were asked if they had health care coverage. Based on their responses, it is estimated that 13.81% of adult Missouri residents did not have health care coverage in 2016. This 13.81% is a

Prevalence rate. For the CLS, data were weighted to be representative of the adult (18 years of age and older), non-institutionalized population of the area covered. Age-Adjusted Prevalence rates are available in the **Rate Type** dropdown in the **Choose Your Profile Data** section of the screen and allow for fairer comparisons to be made between different geographies that may have different age structures. Age-adjusted rates are discussed in more detail in an earlier section of this handbook. Download features include the ability to download state, regional, county, and city data for an indicator to an Excel table, PDF document, or a map. The **Demographic** dropdown at the top of the table segment allows users to parse the indicators by demographic characteristics. Available demographics include: Race, Gender, Age, Income, Rural-Urban, Education Status, and Health Insurance Status.

Below is the **County Level Study Profile** for Adair County. A portion of the Adair County Profile is shown below. On each county level Profile, the prevalence rate for each indicator is compared to the region and state prevalence rates to determine if there are statistically significant differences. Notice that only one **Demographic** choice is available, **Demographic: All**. Due to the survey design, the data could not be stratified for most counties. Available **Demographic** choices will vary depending on the geography selected.

/lissouri Resident County-Level Study Profile							Pri	int Profile
Choose Your Profile Data								
CLS Year: 2016	Rate	e Type: We	ighted Percent	•				
Coorranbur		auntu .			Domos	ranhia		
COUNTY +		Ada	air	•	Demog	A A A	I	
		Submit						
		County: Adai	r					
Health, Diak Fasters, and Draventive Practices Indicat	0.00							
	UIS							
	blumber of	Dravalance	A	11	Designal	Cánta	Granhian	Deumler
Indicator	Respondents	(%)	Lower	95% CI Upper	Significance	Significance	Link	Downloa
eneral Health								
Fair or Poor General Health Status	375	19.41	13.77	25.06	NS	NS	Graphics	🔺 😹
Activity limitation	366	20.78	15.07	26.49	NS	NS	Graphics	🔺 😹
ccess to Care								
No health care coverage - Ages 18-64	231	15.22	8.80	21.63	NS	NS	Graphics	🛋 🍌
Did not get medical care because of cost or no insurance -								
Among those who needed medical care but could not get it in	59	48.03	29.58	66.48	NS	NS	Graphics	🔳 ≽
the past 12 months								
Last had a routine physical checkup more than 2 years ago	344	20.58	13.89	27.27	NS	NS	Graphics	🛛 🖄 🍌
No dental care coverage	351	57.80	50.25	65.36	NS	NS	Graphics	🔺 🎽
Needed to see a dentist in past 12 months but could not due to cost	358	15.36	10.02	20.69	NS	NS	Graphics	🖹 ≽
Last visited a dentist more than 2 years ago	354	23.84	17.67	30.01	NS	NS	Graphics	🔟 🍌
tor constants and denominators for this Profile can be found here								

Missouri County Level Study Comparison Profile

The **County Level Study Comparison Profile** compares results from the 2007 and 2011 studies. For these Profiles, the 2007 data have been re-weighted using the CDC's new BRFSS methodology for combined landline and cell phone data. As a result, the numbers currently presented in the Comparison Profile may not match the numbers previously displayed on the 2007 County-Level Study Profile, which have been removed from the DHSS website. A portion of the **County Level Study Comparison Profile** for Bates County follows.

Missouri Resident County-Level Study Comparisons Pr	ofile					Р	rint Profile
▲Choose Your Profile Data							
Comparison Year: 2007 - 2011 •	Rate Type	e: Weighte	ed Percent 🔹				
Geography: COUNTY v	County	V: Bates	•		Demographic:	All	T
		Submit					
Health and Preventive Practices Indicators							
	2007 Number of Respondents	2007 Prevalence (%)	2011 Number of Respondents	2011 Prevalence (%)	Prevalence Different 2007- 2011 (%)	Significant Change 2007- 2011 H/L/NS	Download Data
Fair or Poor General Health Status	380	27.07	395	29.97	2.90	NS	🛋 🍌
Activity Limitation	380	30.68	396	29.99	-0.69	NS	🛋 🍌
No health care coverage Ages - 18-64	253	22.37	225	22.68	0.32	NS	🛋 🍌
Could not get needed Medical care in past 12 months	380	7.51	395	5.14	-2.38	NS	🛋 🍌
Did not get medical care because of cost or no insurance - among those who needed medical care but could not get it in the past 12 months	0	*	0	×	×	ż	🛋 🍌
Did not get medical care because of lack of transportation - among those who needed medical care but could not get it in the past 12 months	0	*	0	×	×	×	🖹 🍌
Did not get medical care because of other reasons - among those who needed medical care but could not get it in the past 12 months	0	*	0	×	*	ż	🖹 ≽
Current cigarette smoking	378	28.89	394	18.62	-10.27	L	📧 🍌
No leisure time physical activity	379	31.94	397	26.70	-5.24	NS	🛋 🍌
Ate fruits and vegetables less than 5 times per day	359	78.29	396	91.93	13.64	Н	🛋 🍌
Overweight(25.0-29.9 BMI)	368	33.38	371	33.22	-0.16	NS	🛋 🍌
Obese(>= 30 BMI)	368	32.39	371	29.78	-2.61	NS	🛋 🍌
Ever told had high blood pressure (Among those that had ever blood pressure checked)	375	22.50	392	43.97	21.46	Н	🛋 🍌
Ever had blood cholesterol checked - among age 35 and older	322	86.28	354	88.55	2.28	NS	📧 🍌
Current asthma	380	7.38	395	8.75	1.36	NS	📓 🍌

Indicator constants and denominators for this Profile can be found here * Percents are not provided for indicators with less than 50 respondents.

The Comparison Profile provides the number of people asked each question and the weighted percent of the population (or prevalence) for each year. The **Prevalence Difference 2007-2011** (%) column shows the change over time. The **Significant Change 2007 to 2011 H/L/NS** column indicates whether any statistically significant change occurred between the two data years. For example, the *Ate fruits and vegetables less than 5 times a day* rate for Bates County increased significantly between 2007 and 2011.

Stroke Profile

Several CLS indicators are included in the **Diabetes**, **Heart Disease**, and **Stroke Profiles**, which are part of the Chronic Disease section of the Community Data Profiles. As an example, **Stroke Profile** contains information on risk factors, prevalence, mortality, hospitalizations, ER visits, and hospital utilization indicators for stroke.

The following examples explore the Stroke Profile for Pettis County.

The first section of the Stroke Profile contains data about the risk factors for stroke and stroke prevalence. These data were collected from the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) and the 2011 County Level Study surveys. Additional information about these surveys can be found in an earlier section of this handbook.

Missouri Resident Stroke Profile								Print Profile
▲Choose Your Profile Data								
Geography: COUNTY			County:	Pettis •		Demographic:	All	*
			Submit					
			County: P	ettis				
▲Risk factors and prevalence rates for	or stroke amon	ig adults 18 years	and older					
	Data Years	Population Estimate	Weighted Percent	State Weighted Percent	Significantly Different	Ranking Quintile	Graphics Link	Download Data
Risk factors for stroke								
BRFSS † (Central)								
Angina or coronary heart disease	2015	34,273	6.10	4.80	N/S		Graphics	📧 🍌
Prior heart attack	2015	26,479	4.70	5.30	N/S		Graphics	🛋 🍌
No cholesterol screening	2015	401,448	73.60	80.30	L		Graphics	🛋 🍌
Binge drinking	2015	103,838	19.40	16.70	N/S		Graphics	🛋 🍌
Heavy drinking	2015	41,029	7.60	6.20	N/S		Graphics	🛋 🍌
Heavy drinking among males	2014	19,553	7.80	6.80	N/S		Graphics	🛋 🍌
Heavy drinking among females	2014	5,756	2.30	3.70	N/S		Graphics	🛋 🍌
Incorrectly answered signs and symptoms of stroke	2009	377,609	79.80	78.90	N/S		Graphics	🔟 🍌
County Level Study ‡								
High blood pressure	2011	9,934	35.30	34.40	N/S	2	Graphics	🛋 🍌
High cholesterol	2011	9,777	34.80	39.60	N/S	1	Graphics	🛋 🍌
Diabetes	2011	3,172	11.30	10.70	N/S	3	Graphics	🔟 🍌
Obesity	2011	8,521	30.30	30.10	N/S	2	Graphics	🔟 🍌
Overweight	2011	8,859	31.50	34.50	N/S	2	Graphics	🔟 🍌
Current smoking	2011	6,486	23.10	23.10	N/S	3	Graphics	🛋 🍌
Physical inactivity	2011	7,485	26.60	23.70	N/S	3	Graphics	🔟 🍌
Low fruit and vegetable intake	2011	24,957	88.80	87.50	N/S	3	Graphics	📧 🍌
Stroke prevalence (non-institutional)***								
BRFSS † (Central)								
Adults age 18 and older	2015	12,870	2.30	4.50	L			
Indicator constants and denominators for this Profile can be ‡ County Level Study is a large sample survey that provide	e found here s Missouri county-spe	ecific rates.	1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-					

Tenanoval risk rector surveillance system (extr-ss) annual survey provides state and regional rates. Note that for county provides at the regional revel. *** Prevalence estimates for stroke are likely to be underestimated because they are based on a telephone survey of residences. Some persons who have had strokes may be unable to respond to a telephone survey; many reside in nursing homes or their institutions.

The presentation of survey data in most of the Profiles differs from that used in the CLS Profiles. In the CLS Profiles, the **Number of Respondents** column represented the number of adults asked each question. However, in most Profiles, the number listed is a

Population Estimate. For example, the BRFSS estimates that the Central Region has 26,479 adult residents who have experienced a prior heart attack, while the CLS estimates that 6,486 adult residents of Pettis County currently smoke.

Remember that CLS rates are county specific, but BRFSS rates represent *regions* of the state, not individual counties. BRFSS data are not statistically stable below the regional level, so regional data are provided even for county level Profiles. The appropriate region will be identified next to the BRFSS heading. For example, Pettis County is part of the Central Region. All of the counties in the Central Region will have the same rates listed for the BRFSS indicators. To view the counties included in a region, click on the region label.

Missouri Resident Stroke Profile							[Print Profile
▲ <u>Choose Your Profile Data</u>								
Geography: COUNTY	•		County:	Pettis •		Demographic:	All	¥
			Submit					
			County: Pe	ettis				
Risk factors and prevalence rates for	stroke amor	ng adults 18 years	and older					
	Data Years	Population Estimate	Weighted Percent	State Weighted Percent	Significantly Different	Ranking Quintile	Graphics Link	Download Data
Risk factors for stroke								
BRFSS † (Central)								
Angina or coronary heart disease	2015	34,273	6.10	4.80	N/S		Graphics	🔟 🍌
Prior heart attack	2015	26,479	4.70	5.30	N/S		Graphics	📧 🍌

The second section of the **Stroke Profile**, Mortality/PAS (Hospitalization and ER Indicators) is organized in the same way as the **Child Health Profile**. However, the rates used in this section are age-adjusted because the entire population is included.

	Data Years	Count	Rate	State Rate	Significantly Different	Ranking Quintile	Graphics Link	Download Data
Mortality								
Stroke/other cerebrovascular disease	2006 - 2016	277	47.33	43.85	N/S	4	Graphics	🔟 🍌
Hospitalizations								
Stroke/other cerebrovascular disease (CVD)	2011 - 2015	758	30.07	27.85	N/S	4	Graphics	🗷 🍌
Acute stroke	2011 - 2015	618	24.56	21.75	Н	5	Graphics	🔟 🍌
Ischemic stroke	2011 - 2015	536	21.28	18.27	н	5	Graphics	🛋 🍌
Hemorrhagic stroke	2011 - 2015	82	3.28	3.48	N/S	4	Graphics	🔳 🍌
Late effects and other CVD	2011 - 2015	46	1.86	1.70	N/S		Graphics	🔳 🍌
Transient ischemic attack (TIA)	2011 - 2015	94	3.64	4.40	N/S	3	Graphics	🔳 🍌
Emergency room visits								
Stroke/other cerebrovascular disease	2011 - 2015	306	1.25	0.77	Н	4	Graphics	🔳 🍌
Transient ischemic attack (TIA)	2011 - 2015	141	0.58	0.31	Н	4	Graphics	🔟 🍌

Trends are available only if each 3-year period of the moving average has an average of 20 or more events.

The third section of the **Stroke Profile** contains Hospital Utilization data. These data show the total charges and total days of care for the different types of strokes, as well as other data specific to particular types of strokes.

	Data Years	Count	Percent	State Percent	Graphics Link	Download Data
Hospital Utilization Indicators						
Stroke/other cerebrovascular disease						
Total charges	2015	6,646,485	-	-		📧 🍌
Age 65 and older	2015	3,872,264	-	-		🔟 🍌
Total days of care	2015	760	-	-		🔟 🍌
Age 65 and older	2015	507	-	-		🔟 🍌
Ischemic stroke						
Total charges	2015	3,563,486	-	-		📧 🍌
Total days of care	2015	397	-	-		🔟 🍌
Discharge status @						
Home	2011 - 2015	283	52.80	56.22		🔟 🍌
Other LTC facility	2011 - 2015	139	25.93	14.90		🔟 🍌
Rehabilitation	2011 - 2015	64	11.94	18.19		📧 🍌
Died	2011 - 2015	21	3.92	3.65		🔟 🍌
Thrombolytic agent (tPA) given	2011 - 2015	18	3.36 *	6.44		🔟 🍌
Hemorrhagic stroke						
Total charges	2015	2,052,245	-	-		🔟 🍌
Total days of care	2015	175	-	-		📧 🍌
Discharge status @						
Home	2011 - 2015	24	29.27	31.73		🔟 🍌
Other LTC facility	2011 - 2015	19	23.17 *	17.18		🔟 🍌
Rehabilitation	2011 - 2015	9	10.98 *	19.83		🔟 🍌
Died	2011 - 2015	22	26.83	21.56		🔟 🍌
TIA hospitalizations admitted through ER	2011 - 2015	11	11.70 *	76.77		🛋 🍌

Percentages for discharge status will not add to 100% because of transfers, unknown and other discharge dispositions

As with the **Child Health Profile**, the entire **Stroke Profile** can be downloaded using the link at the bottom of the screen. Individual indicators for all geographies are also downloadable from the **Download Data** column.

▲Downloads			
Download Profile			

Data by Race are also available for counties/cities with large African-American populations.

Profiles Exercises – Part II

- 3. You are writing a report on mothers in Texas County. You are interested in comparing births by age of mothers 15-17 for the years 2013 through 2017 for Texas County and its neighbors, Shannon and Howell Counties.
 - a. Which Profile did you use?
 - b. Which of these counties have rates that are statistically significantly lower than the state rate?
 - c. Which of these counties have rates that are not statistically significantly different from the state rate?
 - d. Which of these counties have rates that are statistically significantly higher than the state rate?
 - e. Do any of the counties have unstable rates
 - f. Into which ranking quintile does Texas County fall? _____ What does this tell us about Texas County's rate compared to the rates of the other 114 counties in Missouri?
- 4. A coalition in your county is interested in working on decreasing tobacco use among adults. You have been asked to begin compiling information to educate the coalition on this topic and how they should focus their time and resources. Use the 2016 County-Level Study Profiles to obtain the answers to the following questions:
 - a. What is the prevalence of current cigarette smoking among adults in your county?
 - b. Is there a significant difference when comparing the age-adjusted prevalence of current cigarette smoking in your county to the region? To the state?
 - c. In what quintile ranking does current cigarette smoking in your county fall? Where did you find this information?

d. What related data from the 2016 County-Level Study might you want to consider regarding local tobacco use problems?

MICA (Missouri Information for Community Assessment) Part I

Overview

The MICA tools allow users to create and download customized tables based on selected variables. To access the MICAs, we could return to the Data, Surveillance Systems & Statistical Reports page using the Data & Statistics tab or choose one of the MICA links on the Community Data Profiles site.

	artment of b 9 Saniar Sanvia	MO.gov	Governor Parson	Find an Agency	Online Services	Search		Q
	n & semor servic	es			🖆 🚥	You Tube	Sellow Us	f Like Us
Healthy Living	Senior & Disability Services	Licensing & R	egulations	Disaster & E	mergency Planning	g 🤇	Data & Stati	istics
Data, Surveil	lance Systems & Statis	tical Report	S		Data & Statist	tics		
DHSS Home » Data & S	itatistics				Missouri Public He Management Syst	ealth Info tem (MOP	ormation PHIMS)	
Data Release PoliInstitutional Revi	icies, Procedures and Guidelines (VR & iew Board Guidelines	PAS)			Profiles			
Community Health	n Assessment and Interventio	n Planning		Ļ	MICA			
Missouri Health AMissouri Health I	Assessment Improvement Plan 🖄 NEW!				Priorities MICA Community Healt	h Improv	rement Resou	rces
MOPHIMS (Misso Community Data MICA (Missouri II)	uri Public Health Information Manage <u>Drofiles (State, County and City Drofil</u> nformation for Community Assessmen	ment System)			(CHIR) Births			
Priorices Price Health Data Trair Community Health	ning th Improvement Resources (CHIR)				Deaths			

If a user is already in the MOPHIMS system, click on the MICA logo or the appropriate link in the toolbar. Select either to navigate to the MICAs: https://webapp01.dhss.mo.gov/MOPHIMS/MICAHome/.

Health & Senior Services A Home Profiles	MICA+ EPHT+ Q Search	👤 Sign Up 🛛 Logi
The Missouri Public Healt	MOPHI h Information Management Sy to access public health related	MS rstem (MOPHIMS) provides a I data to assist in defining the
MILCA MISSOURI INFORMATION PORTA PROFILES	MILCA MISSOURI INFORMATION FOR COMMUNITY ASSESSMENT DATA MICRA	Environmental Public Health Tracking Program
Community Data Profiles are available on various subject areas and provide data on 15.30 indicators for each geography selected. • Maternal, Intent and Child Health Profiles • Drotor: Doese Profiles • Inputy Profiles • Death Profile • Septem Demonstrative Fronties • County-Level Study Profiles	The Missouri Information for Community Assessment (MiCA) allows users to summarize dats, calculate stats, and prepare information in a graphic format. • Materian, Infart and Child Health MICAs • Chronic Disease MICAs • Ingury MICA • Date MICA • Date MICA • Regulation Chromosoper, Ricom Visit MICAs • Regulation MICA	The Missionic Environment Public Health Tracking (EPHT) program was developed to assist the public, communities, publicynakers, and scientists, answer fundamental questions about the relationships between environmental exposures and the environmental exposure environmental exposures and environmental environmental bases
Click Here for sign up and login instructions. Click Here for health data training information and opport	unities.	

Each MICA data set contains data from a single data system. For example, the **Birth MICA** only contains data from the birth certificate system, while the **Inpatient Hospitalization MICA** contains only data from the Patient Abstract System (PAS).



Population MICA

Missouri Department of Health & Senior Serv		Profiles - MICA - EPI	+T∓		👤 Sign Up 🛛 🕂 Login
Population MICA					MICA
▲♥Choose Your Data					MISSOURI INFORMATION FOR COMMUNITY ASSESSMENT DATA MICAS
Year: Geography:	 Single Year(s) Multi-Year Groups Statewide 	2015 -			
Age:	 Single Age Basic Expanded Custom Group 	All selected (5) -			
Sex: Race:	All selected (2) -	All selected (2) -		
Ethnicity:	All selected (2) -				
▲ Build Your Results					Reset Your Data
Build a Table Make a	a Map Create a Chart D	ocumentation / Metadata			
Main Row Statistics	Geography Counts only	Row Totals: 🗹 v	Main Column: it Query	Year •	Column Totals: 🗹
▲ Table Results					
To retrieve Table Results: C	hoose Your Data, Build Your Res	ults, and click the Submit Query	v button above.		
▲ Side by Side Com	parison				
To view results in the Side My Side by Side Compari	e by Side Comparison: Once resu son	Its are displayed in the Results	panel above, click its Send to Sic	le by Side button.	
	Missouri I	nformation for Community Asses	sment (MICA)		

One of the simplest MICAs to use is the **Population MICA**.

Submitting the default query shown above returns the following table.

∧∨ Tab	le Results	
Save Tab	le As 🗸	Send Table to Side by Side
	Title:	Missouri Resident Estimated Population
Data	selected in	
addition t	o rows and mns below:	None
Year:	2015	
Statistics:	Count	
Statewide		
Missouri	6,083,672	
	Source:	DHSS - MOPHIMS - Population MICA
Ger	nerated On:	10/2/2017 12:13:17 PM

In the **Choose Your Data** section of the screen users set up a query. Both **Year** and **Geography** are set to the default selections, the most recent single year of data available (in this case, 2015) and Statewide. No optional selections were made in the demographic categories.

Geography was selected as the **Main Row** variable in the **Build Your Results** section of the screen. As a result of selections made in the **Choose Your Data** section (Geography= Statewide), Missouri is the only geography listed on the rows.

Year was selected as the **Main Column**. The most recent year was then selected in the **Choose Your Data Section** as the default year of interest. The table has only one column, for 2015.

Counts only is the default **Statistic** in the **Build Your Results** section of **Population MICA**. Thus, the resulting table shows only the total population of Missouri for 2015.

Suppose an organization is planning to implement a program for youth in Clark, Lewis, and Knox Counties. Analysts need to estimate how many children under the age of 15 live in each of these three counties. The analysts decide to look at five years of data, 2011 through 2015, to determine if this population is stable. To perform this query, the following selections should be made:

Choose Your Data: Select a Year Group and Years of Interest. Analysts must determine if the "population is stable," so the population for each year must be reviewed to see if changes are occurring. Choose **Single Year** so that each year will be listed separately in the table. (If **Multi-Year Groups** is selected, the annual population estimates will be added together.) In the dropdown, check 2015, 2014, 2013, 2012, and 2011.

Choose Your Data: Select a Geography. The population in "each of these three counties," is needed, so choosing **County** ensures that the individual counties will be listed in the table. (If **County** is not selected, the county populations will be added together to form a regional total.) De-select the 'Select all' checkbox and choose Clark, Lewis, and Knox. Leave the 'Show State Totals' box checked for now.

Choose Your Data: Select Filters. Customize the query by choosing the Ages, Sex, Races, or Ethnicity for the data pull. Because analysts are only interested in residents under the age of 15, select **Basic Age** and check Under 15 in the Age section. (Remember to de-select 'Select all'.)

Build Your Results: Build a Table: Main Row. Leaving the default 'Geography' as the **Main Row** selection will show each selected county on the rows of the table. Leave 'Row Totals' checked to generate an aggregate population total for each county for the five years selected.

Build Your Results: Build a Table: Main Column. Again, by leaving the default 'Year' as the **Main Column** selection, the table will list each of the selected years as columns. Leaving the 'Column Totals' selected will generate a regional total for each year.

Build Your Results: Build a Table: Statistics. Analysts are only interested in the number of children, so **Counts only** should be selected.

Build Your Results: Build a Table: Submit the query by clicking the blue 'Submit Query' button at the bottom of the **Build Your Results** section.

There is no single "right" way to set up a MICA table. Often, several different variations will return the same data. For example, if preferred, the row and column variables could be reversed. However, there will often be methods that are more efficient than others.

In general, if data are needed for multiple categories within a particular variable, such as different races, age groups, counties, years, etc., place those variables on the rows or columns in the Build Your Results section. In this example, individual counties and the individual years needed to be reviewed, so those became our row/column variables. Only one age category was specified, so it was more efficient to choose Under 15 as a filter, chosen in the **Choose Your Data** portion of the query screen. We COULD have chosen Age as either the **Main Row** or **Main Column** variable. In that case, there would have been rows or columns for Under 15, as that was the selection made when choosing filters. If the analyst had left the Basic Age defaulted to 'Select all' and then chose Age as the **Main Row** or **Main Column**, then the table would have had rows or columns for ages Under 15, 15-24, 25-44, 45-64, and 65 and Over. The drawback of this approach is that BOTH the individual counties and the individual years could not have been shown on a single table. However, Registered Users could generate a 2x2 table option would have showed all age groups, the selected counties, and the selected years on the same table. More on this later.

Once the query is submitted, the following table is produced. Notice that the counties appear on rows and the years appear on columns, as specified in the **Build Your Results** section of the query screen. A heading just below the table title informs us that these Missouri resident data only apply to Age: Under 15. The Total for selection row is a "regional" total for the counties selected, which could be very helpful since the analysis is for a multi-county project. The Total for selection column sums the annual population estimates. These figures are useful when calculating multi-year rates. Because the 'Show State Totals' box remained checked when choosing the geographies, there is also a Missouri comparison row in the table. While this may not be the most useful feature in this example, it is often extremely advantageous to compare a population of interest to the statewide figures to put that output in context.

∧∨ Tab	e Results					
Save Tabl	e As 👻	s	end Table to	o Side by Si	ide	
	Title:	Missouri F	Resident Es	timated Po	pulation	
Data addition to colur	selected in o rows and nns below:	Age: Unde	r 15;			
Year:	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Total for selection
Statistics:	Count	Count	Count	Count	Count	Count
County						
Clark	1,359	1,367	1,350	1,335	1,276	6,687
Knox	848	821	796	780	772	4,017
Lewis	1,983	1,919	1,895	1,876	1,896	9,569
Total for selection	4,190	4,107	4,041	3,991	3,944	20,273
Missouri	1,172,262	1,165,977	1,160,821	1,155,706	1,151,685	5,806,451
	Source:	DHSS - MO	OPHIMS - P	opulation M	AOIN	
Gen	erated On:	10/3/2017	9:18:15 AM			

If the Years should appear as row variables and the Geographies appear as column variables, we can simply change our selections in the **Build Your Results** section that is immediately above the Table Results on the query screen.

MICA tables have a download option, similar to that available in the Community Data Profiles. By clicking the green 'Save Table As' button users can choose to export the table as a CSV or Excel file.

∧∨ Tab	le Resi	ults			
Save Tabl	e As 🗸	\square		Send Table	to Side by \$
	inte:	Misso	uri Resi	ident Estir	nated Popu
Data sele addition f and co	ected in to rows olumns below:	Age: U	nder 15	2	
County:	Clark	Knox	Lewis	Total for selection	Missouri
Statistics:	Count	Count	Count	Count	Count
Year					
2011	1,359	848	1,983	4,190	1,172,262
2012	1,367	821	1,919	4,107	1,165,977
2013	1,350	796	1,895	4,041	1,160,821
2014	1,335	780	1,876	3,991	1,155,706
2015	1,276	772	1,896	3,944	1,151,685
Total for selection	6,687	4,017	9,569	20,273	5,806,451
5	Source:	DHSS	- MOPH	IIMS - Pop	ulation MIC
Generat	ted On:	10/3/20	017 9:34	4:58 AM	

The tables download in the chosen format and allow them to be imported into reports or presentations. A screen capture of the Excel download of the previously generated **Population MICA** table follows.

	J 17 - CI -	Ŧ		1.2	10	Table 203	17-10-03 09	.34.58 - Mi	crosoft Excel						3 X
F	ile Home	Insert	Page Layou	t Formu	ulas Dat	a Review	w View	Develo	per Add-	Ins				۵ 🕜	- # 23
Pa	Calib	ri I∐.∽	• 11 •	A [*] A [*] ■ * <u>A</u> * ■	■ = = 6 = =	≫- ≇≇	Wrap Te	xt د Center ۲	General \$ - % ,	▼ 00. 0.⇒ 0.€ 00.	ternet Ensert	Delete Format	Σ Auto ↓ Fill ▼ ⊘ Clear	Sum * 27	' 👬 & Find & * Select *
Clip	board 🖫	For	nt	Es.		Alignment	t	Gi.	Numbe	r G		Cells		Editing	
	A1	- (0	f_x	Title:											~
	А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	1	J	К	L	М	N	0
1		Title:	Missouri R	esident Es	timated Po	opulation									
	Data s	elected in													
	addition to	rows and	Age: Under	r 15;											
2	colum	ns below:													
3					Total for										
4	County:	Clark	Knox	Lewis	selection	Missouri									
5	Statistics:	Count	Count	Count	Count	Count									=
6	Year														
7	2011	1,359	848	1,983	4,190	1,172,262									
8	2012	1,367	821	1,919	4,107	1,165,977									
9	2013	1,350	796	1,895	4,041	1,160,821									
10	2014	1,335	780	1,876	3,991	1,155,706									
	Z013 Total for	1,270	112	1,890	3,944	1,131,085									
12	selection	6,687	4,017	9,569	20,273	5,806,451									
13															
14		Source:	DHSS - MO	PHIMS - P	opulation	MICA									
15	Gene	erated On:	10/3/2017	9:34											

Birth MICA

The next examples will highlight the **Birth MICA**, which contains data concerning births of Missouri residents.



The **Birth MICA** query page is shown below. All of the data contained in this MICA comes from the birth certificate. To read more about the specifics for each MICA, users can simply click on the **Documentation/Metadata** tab and the associated hyperlinks in the **Build Your Results** section of the query page.

Missouri Department of Health & Seni	ior Service	es AMOPHIMS Home	Profiles - MI	CA∓ EPHT∓		👤 Sign Up	+ D Login
Birth MICA						M	C A
▲♥Choose Yo	our Data					MISSOURI FOR COMMUN DAT/	INFORMATION NITY ASSESSMENT A MICAS
Geo	Year:	 Single Year(s) Multi-Year Groups Statewide • 	2014 -				
Mother	r's Age:	 Single Age Basic Custom Group 	All select	ted (9) -			
Infan	t's Sex:	All selected (2) -					
	Race:	 Basic Expanded 	A	Il selected (2) -			
E	Ethnicity:	All selected (2) -					
	Select:	● Indicator ○ Optional ∨	ariables				
In	ndicator:	Live Birth	s -				
						Reset Y	'our Data
▲ Build Your	Results						
Build a Table	Make a Ma	ap Create a Chart	Documentation / Me	tadata			
Please click the li	ink to find <u>Do</u>	ocumentation/Metadata					
★♥Side by Side	de Compar	ison					
To view results in My Side by Side	n the Side by Comparison	Side Comparison: Once res	sults are displayed ir	n the Results panel above, cl	ck its Send to Side by Side butte	on.	
		Missour	i Information for Com	munity Assessment (MICA)			

The query screen in the **Birth MICA** is very similar to the query screen in the **Population MICA**. However, the **Birth MICA** contains additional filters, **Indicators** and **Optional Variables.** These options allow users to create tables based on specific maternal and child health indicators reported on the birth certificate.

To show some of the flexibility with the query pages users should use the **Indicator** variable to look at several table examples which will use the following selections from the **Choose Your Data** section:

Years: 2014-2016

Geography: Select County and Lincoln, St Louis County, St Louis City, St Charles Indicators: Birth Weight: Low, Education Status: Less than 12 Years, Gestation: Preterm, and Prenatal Care Adequacy (Missouri Index): Inadequate

For *Example #1*, in the **Build Your Table** section, select **Geography** as the row variable and **Indicator** as the column variable. With those selections, the following table is generated.

	Title:	Missou	ri Residen	t Births				
Data se addition to columr	elected in rows and ns below:	Single \	'ear(s): 201	16, 2015, 2	014;			
Indicator:	Birth Weight: Low (less than 2500 g)	Birth Weight: Low (less than 2500 g)	Education Status: Less Than 12 Years	Education Status: Less Than 12 Years	Gestation: Preterm (less than 37 completed weeks)	Gestation: Preterm (less than 37 completed weeks)	Prenatal Care Adequacy (Missouri Index): Inadequate	Prenatal Care Adequacy (Missouri Index): Inadequate
Statistics:	Count	Rate	Count	Rate	Count	Rate	Count	Rate
County								
Lincoln	176	8.03	264	12.09	211	9.63	330	15.53
St. Charles	962	7.10	632	4.67	1,336	9.86	1,281	9.96
St. Louis City	1,746	12.86	2,289	16.95	1,743	12.83	3,205	26.86
St. Louis County	3,272	9.34	2,534	7.25	3 <mark>,</mark> 813	10.88	4,701	14.91
Total for selection	6,156	9.57	5,719	8.91	7,103	11.04	9,517	16.28
Missouri	18,932	8.43	29,397	13.12	22,425	9.99	41,105	19.59

So with this query, individual counties are displayed by indicator, however, even though individual years were selected, since **Year** was not chosen as a row or column variable, the years show up in the filter box. In this case, users do not get the data by individual year, but instead the three years selected are aggregated.

For *Example #2*, leave the selections unchanged in the **Choose Your Data** piece. Modify the **Build Your Table** and make **Year** the row variable and keep **Indicator** as the column variable.

	Title:	Missou	ri Residen	t Births				
Data se addition to columr	elected in rows and is below:	County:	Lincoln, St	. Charles,	St. Louis Ci	ity, St. Louis	s County;	
Indicator:	Birth Weight: Low (less than 2500 g)	Birth Weight: Low (less than 2500 g)	Education Status: Less Than 12 Years	Education Status: Less Than 12 Years	Gestation: Preterm (less than 37 completed weeks)	Gestation: Preterm (less than 37 completed weeks)	Prenatal Care Adequacy (Missouri Index): Inadequate	Prenatal Care Adequacy (Missouri Index): Inadequate
Statistics:	Count	Rate	Count	Rate	Count	Rate	Count	Rate
Year								
2014	2,000	9.26	2,071	9.62	2,330	10.78	3,208	16.14
2015	2,047	9.51	1,907	8.88	2,357	10.95	3,182	16.16
Indicator: Statistics: Year 2014 2015 2016 Fotal for selection	2,109	9.95	1,741	8.24	2,416	11.39	3,127	16.57
Total for selection	6,156	9.57	5, 71 9	8.91	7,103	11.04	9,517	16.28

In *Example #2* above, the resulting table is modified where the four counties are now aggregated. Notice how the rates for the four county region have changed over the past three years. The tradeoff from Example #1 is that users can no longer see differences between the individual counties.

For *Example #3*, again make no changes to the **Choose Your Data**. For the **Build Your Table**, modify the selection by making **Indicator** the row variables and **Race** the column variable.

	Title:	Misso	uri Reside	nt Births								
Data sel addition to re columns	ected in ows and s below:	County: Lincoln, St. Charles, St. Louis City, St. Louis County; Single Year(s): 2016, 2015, 2014;										
Race:	White	White	Black or African- American	Black or African- American	All Races	All Races						
Statistics:	Count	Rate	Count	Rate	Count	Rate						
Indicator												
Birth Weight: Low (less than 2500 g)	2,797	6.93	2,966	15.21	6,156	9.57						
Education Status: Less Than 12 Years	2,048	5.08	2,974	15.35	5,719	8.91						
Gestation: Preterm (less than 37 completed weeks)	3,816	9.45	2,865	14.69	7,103	11.04						
Prenatal Care Adequacy (Missouri Index): Inadequate	3,351	8.87	5,372	32.42	9,517	16.28						

In the previous example, since the row/column variable is not selecting geography or year, both variables are aggregated. In the filter box above the data table the aggregated years and geographies are identified. So, in this example users can now look at the aggregated totals for the four counties selected and the three years of data. Users can now see what the differences for these varied birth risk factor indicators are by race.

Up to this point users have only been utilizing **Indicator** variables. The strength of the **Indicator** variable option is that an analyst can view several different kinds of birth data all in one table. For instance, in the table generated for Example #3 data in one table included data related to birth weight, mother's education, length of mother's gestation period and mother's prenatal care adequacy.

Optional Variables work differently. With **Optional Variables** users can view the entire range of information for a given topic. For example, suppose a user was interested in how many live births fell into each category for Birth Weight. Choosing the **Optional Variables** radio button and selecting the specified indicator and then clicking the green 'Display Above' button will produce the desired results. As shown below, births for this indicator falls into one of five categories: Low Birth Weight (which includes the Very Low Birth Weight and Moderately Low Birth Weight subcategories), Normal Birth Weight, and High Birth Weight.

Missouri Department of Health & Senior S	ervices AMOPHIMS Home Profiles - MICA - EPHT -	👤 Sign Up	+🕽 Login
Ye Geograp Cour	ar: ● Single Year(s) O Multi-Year Groups hy: County ✓ Show State Totals: ✓ ty: 4 selected ✓		
Mother's A	ge: ● Single Age ● Basic All selected (9) ▼ ● Custom Group		
Infant's S Ra	ex: All selected (2) ▼ ce: ● Basic All selected (2) ▼ ○ Expanded		
Ethnic	ity: All selected (2) ▼ Dect: O Indicator Optional Variables 		
Birth Weig	ht: To select or expand within the list, click the applicable checkbox or link. Select All Major Items Expand Major Items Select All Intermediate Items (If Major Item is selected) More specific selections will override more general selections. Image: All Image: All		
	□Moderately Low Birth Weight (.500) □Moderately Low Birth Weight (2,500 – 4,499g) ☑High Birth Weight (Greater than 4,499g) ☑Preview Selections		
Optional Variab	es: None selected + Display Above	Reset Y	our Data

After making the above selections, slide down to the **Build Your Results** section and choose **Birth Weight** as the row variable and **Race** as the column variable. With those selections made, *Example #4* is generated.

	Title:	Missouri	Residen
Data se addition to r column	lected in ows and s below:	County: Li Single Yea	ncoln, St ar(s): 201
Race:	White	Black or African- American	All Races
Statistics:	Count	Count	Count
Birth Weight			
Low Birth Weight (Less than 2,500g)	2,797	2,966	6,156
Normal Birth Weight (2,500 – 4,499g)	37,045	16,451	57, <mark>5</mark> 54
High Birth Weight (Greater than 4,499g)	526	79	635
Total for selection	40,368	19,496	64,345

As promised, the full spectrum of birth weight classes (low, normal and high) for the combined four counties covering the three year time period is shown. The other big difference is analysts are receiving counts but no rates. This is a quirk of the MOPHIMS system. Rates are only generated if the column variable is something other than a demographic variable. For practical purposes any variable listed below Ethnicity in the drop down menu for the main column will need to be selected to generate rates on a data table.

HTΨ	Ls	ign Up	Login
Above	_	Reset Yo	our Data
The variable selected for the Main Main Column: if Query	Statistics Geography Year Age Sex Race Ethnicity Birth Placo Birth Spacing Birth Veight Delivery Method Delivery Method Delivery Method Delivery Method Delivery Method VBAC Diabetes Marital Status Mother Education Level Number Born Alive Pregnancy Weight Change Prenatal Care Adequacy MO Index Prenatal Care Adequacy MO Index Prenatal Care Adequacy MO Index Prenatal Care Trimester Prenatal Care Trimester Prenatal Utilization: FoodStamps Prenatal Utilization: MIC Prepregnancy Weight for Height Prepregnancy WeightforHeight BMI Prior Live Births Smoking Status	otals:	

For *Example #5*, switch the row and column variable by making **Race** the row variable and **Birth Weight** the column variable. Now users can see both counts, which match the counts from *Example #4*, and also rates.

	Title:	Missour	Missouri Resident Births											
Data so addition to colum	elected in rows and ns below:	County: Single Y	Lincoln, S ear(s): 20	St. Charle)16, 2015	s, St. Lou , 2014;	iis City, S	t. Louis Co	ounty;						
Birth Weight:	Low Birth Weight (Less than 2,500g)	Low Birth Weight (Less than 2 500a) Normal Birth Birth Weight (2,500 – (2,500 4,499g) 4,499g		Normal Birth Weight (2,500 – 4,499g)	High Birth Weight (Greater than 4,499g)	High Birth Weight (Greater than 4,499g)	Total for selection	Total for selection						
Statistics:	Count	Rate	Count	Rate	Count	Rate	Count	Rate						
Race														
White	2,797	6.93	37,045	91.77	526	1.30	40,368	99.89						
Black or African- American	2,966	15.21	16,451	84.38	79	0.41	19,496	99.92						
All Races	6,156	9.57	57,554	89.45	635	0.99	64,345	99.90						

Rate Interpretation

Interpreting rates with **Optional variables** can be a little tricky. The MOPHIMS team has developed a formula to assist in making sure that the statistic is correctly reported when written in sentence format. That formula is outlined below:

Rate of Row Category (from/with/in filters) had Column Category.

To illustrate, say a user needs to report on the value of 6.93 in the table in Example #5 (circled in red above). The following are the pieces of data and label names needed to plug into the formula:

Rate=6.93% Row Category=White Births Filters= St Louis area (or you could write out the four counties specifically) and 2014-2016 time period Column Variable=Low Birth Weight

With those inputs, the sentence could be written as follows:

6.93% of white births from the St. Louis area in 2014-2016 had a low birth weight.

For *Example #6*, continue to work with **Optional variables**. Suppose an analyst needs to find the cumulative count and rate of babies born to mothers utilizing Medicaid in Kansas City for the years 2001 through 2009. The analyst would like to see this information by race and also by the trimester in which prenatal care began. To perform this query, select the following options:

Choose Your Data: Year. Single year>>2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009 Choose Your Data: Geography. City>>Kansas City Choose Your Data: Filters. Race>>Basic Choose Your Data: Optional Variables. Prenatal Utilization Medicaid>>Receiving Medicaid Build Your Results: Main Row. Race Build Your Results: Main Column. Prenatal Care Trimester Build Your Results: Statistics: Counts and Rates

The following table is produced once the query is submitted.

∧∨ Table	Resul	ts																
Save Table	As 👻		Send Ta	able to Side	e by Side													
	Title:	Missouri	Resident	Births														
Data select addition to and co	cted in o rows olumns below:	City: Kan Single Ye Prenatal	sas City; ear(s): 200 Utilization:	9, 2008, 20 Medicaid:	07, 2006, 2 Receiving I	2005, 2004, Medicaid;	, 2003, 200	2, 2001;										
Prenatal Care P Trimester:	No renatal Care	No Prenatal Care	Began First Frimester	Began First Trimester	Began Second Trimester	Began Second Trimester	Began Third Trimester	Began Third Trimester	Total for selection	Total for selection								
Statistics: (Count	Rate	Count	Rate	Count	Rate	Count	Rate	Count	Rate								
Race																		
White	138	0.98	11,093	78.64	2,541	18.01	334	2.37	14,106	94.59								
Black or African- American	284	1.85	11,800	76.86	2,835	18.47	434	2.83	15,353	91.53								
All Races	446	1.43	24,234	77.57	5,727	18.33	833	2.67	31,240	92.91								
	Rate:	Rate per Numerat Denomi How to in The Rate category	100 or: Prenation inator: Liv nterpret the is the pe	tal Care Tr ve Births w ne Rate: rcent of th	imester ith Known e live birth	Prenatal denomina	Care Statu ator stated	is above wit	th the Rac	e and any se	elected	l filter	s tha	t had t	the Pre	natal Ca	re Trimes	ter
S	ource:	DHSS - N	NOPHIMS	- Birth MIC	A													
Generat	ed On:	10/3/201	7 12:02:31	PM														

When interpreting the table, keep in mind that the rate shown is out of all live births with the characteristics indicated on the row and column variables. Kansas City public health workers will be pleased to know that less than 1% of White mothers receiving Medicaid had no prenatal care. While the number is slightly higher for Black or African American mothers, it is still under 2%. The majority of women utilizing Medicaid (77.57%) began prenatal care in their first trimester. In Kansas City, 91.53% of Black or African American American women utilizing Medicaid also had a prenatal care trimester noted on the birth certificate (or accompanying documentation).

Note that the only races shown in the previous table are White and Black/African-American. To generate data for some other racial group, select the **Expanded Race** button in the **Choose Your Data** section to produce the following table showing all racial categories.

Send Table to Side by Side Title: Missouri Resident Births Data selected in City: Kansas City: addition to rows Single Year(s): 2009, 2008, 2007, 2006, 2005, 2004, 2003, 2002, 2001; and columns below: Prenatal Utilization: Medicaid: Receiving Medicaid; Prenatal Prenatal Trimester: Care Began First Began Second Second Second Began Timester Total for Total for Table to selection Statistics: Count Rate Rate Rate Motor Rate Rate Rate Rate Rate <	∧∨ Table	e Results	5									
Title: Missouri Resident Births Data selected in City: Kansas City: addition to rows Single Yar(s): 2009, 2009, 2009, 2007, 2006, 2005, 2004, 2003, 2002, 2001; Timester Trimester Trimester Trimester Trimester Trimester Total for selection reserves for the selection reserv	Save Table	As 👻		Send Table	e to Side by	/ Side						
Data selected in City: Kansas City: addition to rows Single Year(s): 2009, 2008, 2007, 2006, 2004, 2003, 2002, 2001;and columns below: Prenatal Utilization: Medicaid: Receiving Medicaid;Prenatal Prenatal Utilization: Medicaid: Receiving Medicaid;Trimester: CareNo Care Prenatal Viniation: Medicaid;Statistics: CountRateCountRate CountCountRateCountRateCountRateCountRateCountRateRateCountRateCountRateCountRateCountRateRateCountRateCountRateCountRateRateCountRateCountRateCountRateRateCountRateCountRateCountRateCountRateRateCountRateANationANationANationA <th colspa<="" td=""><td></td><td>Title:</td><td>Missouri I</td><td>Resident B</td><td>irths</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th>	<td></td> <td>Title:</td> <td>Missouri I</td> <td>Resident B</td> <td>irths</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		Title:	Missouri I	Resident B	irths						
addition to rows Single Year(s): 2009, 2007, 2006, 2007, 2004, 2003, 2002, 2001; and columns below: Prenatal Utilization: Medicaid: Receiving Medicaid; Prenatal No No Began First Trimester Trime	Data se	lected in	City: Kans	as City;								
Prenatal Care No Prenatal Prenatal No First First Care Began First Care Began First Trimester Began Second Trimester Began Trimester Began Trimester Total for Trimester Statistics: Count Rate Rate Rate<	addition	to rows	Single Yea	ar(s): 2009,	2008, 2007	7, 2006, 200	05, 2004, 2	003, 2002,	2001;			
Printation CoreNoNoDeganTotal forTotal forselectionStatistics:CountRateCountRateCountRateCountRateCountRateCountRateCountRateMite1380.9811,09378.642,54118.013342.3714,10694.59Black or African2841.8511,80076.862,83518.474342.8315,35391.53American Indian and Adaska Native1.8511,80076.862,83518.474342.8315,35391.53American Indian and Adaska Native1.95 *56172.8616020.78344.4277089.12Some Other Racexxxxxxxx330.6731.4092.20Data Islanderxxxxxxxxxx330.6731.4092.83Data Islan	and column	s below:	Prenatal U	Reserved	Received Received	eceiving ivie	edicald;	Beren	Perez			
Trimester Trimester <t< td=""><td>Care</td><td>Prenatal</td><td>Prenatal</td><td>First</td><td>First</td><td>Second</td><td>Second</td><td>Third</td><td>Third</td><td>Total for</td><td>Total for</td></t<>	Care	Prenatal	Prenatal	First	First	Second	Second	Third	Third	Total for	Total for	
Statistics: Count Rate Rate <thr< td=""><td>Trimester:</td><td>Care</td><td>Care</td><td>Trimester</td><td>Trimester</td><td>Trimester</td><td>Trimester</td><td>Trimester</td><td>Trimester</td><td>selection</td><td>selection</td></thr<>	Trimester:	Care	Care	Trimester	Trimester	Trimester	Trimester	Trimester	Trimester	selection	selection	
Race Internation Internaternation Internation	Statistics:	Count	Rate	Count	Rate	Count	Rate	Count	Rate	Count	Rate	
White 138 0.98 11,093 78.64 2,541 18.01 334 2.37 14,106 94.59 Black or African- American 284 1.85 11,800 76.86 2,835 18.47 434 2.83 15,353 91.53 American Indian and Alaska Native x x x x x x x x 2.83 15,353 91.53 American Indian and Alaska Native x x x x x x x x 202 96.65 Asian/Native Hawaiian and Other 15 1.95 * 561 72.86 160 20.78 34 4.42 770 89.12 Some Other Race x x x x x x 333 2.67 31.20 92.83 All Races 446 1.43 24.234 77.57 5,727 18.33 833 2.67 31.240 92.83 All Races 446 1.43 24.234 77	Race											
Black or African- American 284 1.85 11,800 76.86 2,835 18.47 434 2.83 15,353 91.53 American Indian and Alaska Native x x x x x x x x x x x x x x 202 96.65 Asian/Native Hawaiian and Other 15 1.95 * 561 72.86 160 20.78 34 4.42 770 89.12 Pacific Islander 1 x x x x x x 317 95.20 Data Unavailable x x x x x x x 442 92.83 All Races 446 1.43 24.234 77.57 5,727 18.33 833 2.67 31,240 92.91 Confidentiality: The "x" symbol indicates the confidentiality rule has been triggered. Rate per 100 Numerator: Prenatal Care Trimester Denominator: Live Births with Known Prenatal Care Status How to interpret the	White	138	0.98	11.093	78.64	2.541	18.01	334	2.37	14,106	94.59	
African- American 284 1.85 11,800 76.86 2,835 18.47 434 2.83 15,353 91.53 American Indian and Alaska Native x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x y 96.65 Asian/Native Hawaiian and Other 15 1.95 * 561 72.86 160 20.78 34 4.42 770 89.12 Some Other Race x x x x x x x x 317 95.20 Data Unavailable x x x x x x 442 92.91 Confidentiality: The "x" symbol indicates the confidentiality rule has been triggered. Rate per 100 Numerator: Prenatal Care Trimester Denominator: Live Births with Known Prenatal Care Status How to interpret the Rate: The Rate is the percent of the live birth denominator stated above with the Race and any state category. Source: DHSS - MOPHIMS - Birth MICA 541 is unpercent of the live birth denominator stated above with the Race and any state category. 10/3/2017 12:10:51 PM	Black or	.50	0.00	,000	10.04	2,541		004	2.01	,	0	
American American India and Alaska Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific IslanderII	African-	284	1.85	11,800	76.86	2,835	18.47	434	2.83	15,353	91.53	
American India and Alaska x	American											
Indian and Alaska x	American											
Alaska Native Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Some Other Race X X X X X X X X X X X X X	Indian and	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	202	96.65	
Asian/Native Asian/Native Hawaian and Other 15 1.95 * 561 72.86 160 20.78 34 4.42 770 89.12 Pacific Islander X X X X X X X X X X X 317 95.20 Data Unavailable X X X X X X X X X X X 317 95.20 Data Unavailable X X X X X X X X X X X 492 92.83 All Races 446 1.43 24,234 77.57 5,727 18.33 833 2.67 31,240 92.91 Confidentiality: The "x" symbol indicates the confidentiality rule has been triggered. Rate per 100 Numerator: Prenatal Care Trimester Denominator: Live Births with Known Prenatal Care Status How to interpret the Rate: The Rate is the percent of the live birth denominator stated above with the Race and any sec category. Source: DHSS - MOPHIMS - Birth MICA Generated On: 10/3/2017 12:10:51 PM * Data is unperlable: numerator less than 20	Alaska											
Asiatrivative Hawaiian and Other 15 1.95 * 561 72.86 160 20.78 34 4.42 770 89.12 Pacific Islander Some Other Race X X X X X X X X X X X 317 95.20 Data Unavailable X X X X X X X X X X X 317 95.20 Data Unavailable X X X X X X X X X X X 4492 92.83 All Races 446 1.43 24.234 77.57 5,727 18.33 833 2.67 31.240 92.91 Confidentiality: The "x" symbol indicates the confidentiality rule has been triggered. Rate per 100 Numerator: Prenatal Care Trimester Denominator: Live Births with Known Prenatal Care Status How to interpret the Rate: The Rate is the percent of the live birth denominator stated above with the Race and any so category. Source: DHSS - MOPHIMS - Birth MICA Generated 00: 10/3/2017 12:10:51 PM * Pate is ungeliable: numerator less than 20	Native Asian/Mative											
and Other Pacific Islander 15 1.95 * 561 72.86 160 20.78 34 4.42 770 89.12 Some Other Race x x x x x x 34 4.42 770 89.12 John Data x x x x x x 317 95.20 Data yavailable x x x x x x yavailable 4492 92.83 All Races 446 1.43 24.234 77.57 5.727 18.33 833 2.67 31.240 92.91 Confidentiality: The "x" symbol indicates the confidentiality rule has been triggered. Rate per 100 Numerator: Prenatal Care Trimester Denominator: Live Births with Known Prenatal Care Status How to interpret the Rate: The Rate is the percent of the live birth denominator stated above with the Race and any so category. Source: DHSS - MOPHIMS - Birth MICA U/3/2017 12:10:51 PM 70 "Beta is unpeliable: amerator less than 20 84 84 84	Hawaiian											
Pacific Islander Image: Some Other Race Image: Some Other R	and Other	15	1.95 *	561	72.86	160	20.78	34	4.42	770	89.12	
Islander Image: Constraint of the state is the percent of the live birth MICA Image: Constraint of the state is the percent of the live birth MICA Some Other Race x x x x x x x x 317 95.20 Data Unavailable x x x x x x x 449 92.83 All Races 446 1.43 24.234 77.57 5.727 18.33 833 2.67 31,240 92.91 Confidentiality: The "x" symbol indicates the confidentiality rule has been triggered. Rate per 100 Numerator: Prenatal Care Trimester Denominator: Live Births with Known Prenatal Care Status How to interpret the Rate: The Rate is the percent of the live birth denominator stated above with the Race and any successory. Source: DHSS - MOPHIMS - Birth MICA State is unperiable; numerator less than 20	Pacific											
Some Other Race x <td>Islander</td> <td></td>	Islander											
Race A A A A A A A J17 3020 Data Unavailable x x x x x x x 317 3020 Data Unavailable x x x x x x x 4492 92.83 All Races 446 1.43 24,234 77.57 5,727 18.33 833 2.67 31,240 92.91 Confidentiality: The "x" symbol indicates the confidentiality rule has been triggered. Rate per 100 Numerator: Prenatal Care Trimester Denominator: Live Births with Known Prenatal Care Status How to interpret the Rate: The Rate is the percent of the live birth denominator stated above with the Race and any secategory. Source: DHSS - MOPHIMS - Birth MICA Generated On: 10/3/2017 12:10:51 PM 710/3/2017 12:10:51 PM * Pate is unpelable; mage:rest then 20 710/3/2017 12:10:51 PM 710/3/2017 12:10:51 PM	Some Other	~	v	×	v	v	×	v	~	317	95.20	
Data Unavailable x x x x x x x 492 92.83 All Races 446 1.43 24,234 77.57 5,727 18.33 833 2.67 31,240 92.91 Confidentiality: The "x" symbol indicates the confidentiality rule has been triggered. Rate per 100 Numerator: Prenatal Care Trimester Denominator: Live Births with Known Prenatal Care Status How to interpret the Rate: The Rate is the percent of the live birth denominator stated above with the Race and any secategory. Source: DHSS - MOPHIMS - Birth MICA Generated On: 10/3/2017 12:10:51 PM	Race	X	×	X	X	X	X	X	X	517	55.20	
Unavailable A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	Data	x	x	×	×	x	×	Y	×	492	92.83	
All Races 446 1.43 24,234 77.57 5,727 18.33 833 2.67 31,240 92.91 Confidentiality: The "x" symbol indicates the confidentiality rule has been triggered. Rate per 100 Numerator: Prenatal Care Trimester Denominator: Live Births with Known Prenatal Care Status Rate: Denominator: Live Births with Known Prenatal Care Status How to interpret the Rate: The Rate is the percent of the live birth denominator stated above with the Race and any secategory. Source: DHSS - MOPHIMS - Birth MICA Generated On: 10/3/2017 12:10:51 PM	Unavailable	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	Â	452	02.00	
Confidentiality: The "x" symbol indicates the confidentiality rule has been triggered. Rate per 100 Numerator: Prenatal Care Trimester Denominator: Live Births with Known Prenatal Care Status How to interpret the Rate: The Rate is the percent of the live birth denominator stated above with the Race and any se category. Source: DHSS - MOPHIMS - Birth MICA Generated On: 10/3/2017 12:10:51 PM * Pate is unperlable: numerator less than 20	All Races	446	1.43	24,234	77.57	5,727	18.33	833	2.67	31,240	92.91	
Rate per 100 Numerator: Prenatal Care Trimester Denominator: Live Births with Known Prenatal Care Status How to interpret the Rate: The Rate is the percent of the live birth denominator stated above with the Race and any si category. Source: DHSS - MOPHIMS - Birth MICA Generated On: 10/3/2017 12:10:51 PM * Pate is unperliable: numerator less than 20	Confid	entiality:	The "x" s	ymbol indi	cates the o	confidentia	lity rule ha	as been tri	ggered.			
Numerator: Prenatal Care Trimester Denominator: Live Births with Known Prenatal Care Status How to interpret the Rate: The Rate is the percent of the live birth denominator stated above with the Race and any se category. Source: DHSS - MOPHIMS - Birth MICA Generated On: 10/3/2017 12:10:51 PM * Pate is unreliable: numerator less than 20			Rate per 1	100								
Rate: Denominator: Live Births with Known Prenatal Care Status How to interpret the Rate: The Rate is the percent of the live birth denominator stated above with the Race and any se category. Source: DHSS - MOPHIMS - Birth MICA Generated On: 10/3/2017 12:10:51 PM "Pate is unreliable: numerator less than 20			Numerato	r: Prenatal	Care Trim	lester						
The Rate is the percent of the live birth denominator stated above with the Race and any se category. Source: DHSS - MOPHIMS - Birth MICA Generated On: 10/3/2017 12:10:51 PM * Pate is unperiable: numerator less than 20		Rate:	Denomin	nator: Live	Births with	h Known P	renatal Ca	are Status				
Source: DHSS - MOPHIMS - Birth MICA Generated On: 10/3/2017 12:10:51 PM			How to in The Pate	terpret the	Rate:	livo hirth d	onominat	or statod a	hovo with	the Dace	and any er	
Source: DHSS - MOPHIMS - Birth MICA Generated On: 10/3/2017 12:10:51 PM * Pate is unreliable: numerator less than 20			category	is the perc	encorthe		enominate	Ji Stateu a	bove with	ule Race	and any se	
Generated On: 10/3/2017 12:10:51 PM		Source:	DHSS - M	OPHIMS - I	Birth MICA							
* Data is unraliable; numerator less than 20	Gener	ated On:	10/3/2017	12:10:51 F	M	-						
Trace is utiletiable, flutiletiator less tilatizo		*	Rate is un	reliable: n	umerator I	ess than 2	0					

(Note that Hispanic is not listed as a Race. Hispanic data are listed under the variable Ethnicity. Rates by Ethnicity are available by statewide geography only.)

Confidentiality

As shown in the previous query, the small counts for races other than White and Black/African-American triggered a **confidentiality rule**. These rules are in place to protect individuals from being identified in cases where only a small number of observations occur. Many conditions are quite rare, and some common conditions may occur infrequently among certain demographic groups or in some geographic areas. When only a small number of cases occur, the privacy of affected individuals may be at risk if these small numbers are reported.⁵ For example, consider a small town in which only five Asian persons reside. If the local health department publishes a table showing

⁵ Ballard J. *Basic concepts of data analysis for community health assessment: Presenting public health data.* Northwest Center for Public Health Practice; 2009.

http://phlearnlink.nwcphp.org/kc/login/login.asp?kc_ident=kc0001&strUrl=http://phlearnlink.nwcphp.org/ Default.asp Accessed September 24, 2009.

that one Asian person died of HIV/AIDS during a certain year, some individuals in that community would likely be able to identify that person.

Due to privacy concerns, BHCADD suppresses some small numbers in its web data query tools. These suppressions depend on the likelihood of personal data being revealed. The following example illustrates the application of confidentiality rules in MICA. Suppose that in a given county only one Asian woman gave birth during the previous year. MICA allows production of a table showing the one pregnancy for an Asian woman. However, any table that reveals additional health data, such as maternal drug use or smoking during pregnancy by an Asian woman, is suppressed due to the risk that a user could identify the specific woman. In this scenario there is too great a threat that information not publicly known could be revealed and that the woman's privacy would be violated.

Data stewards must determine an appropriate level of suppression. It is a balancing act to determine at what threshold individual confidentiality is protected but the public's access to information is also assured. The confidentiality thresholds in MICA differ for some data sets but for the most part are consistent. Users can read more about the MOPHIIMS confidentiality rule by clicking on the appropriate link on the MOPHIMS home page. Other sources of data may follow very different procedures regarding confidentiality and cell suppression. However, confidentiality must always be a concern when releasing any type of health data, and, as a publisher of this data, you also are responsible for ensuring that individual privacy is protected.

Sometimes small numbers that might have been suppressed themselves, or caught up in suppression for another table cell, might be necessary for program planning purposes. To this end, MOPHIMS features a user level that is available to those epidemiologists, researchers, and local public health authorities that have been pre-approved to view suppressed data. This Partner level access may be obtained by contacting BHCADD representatives and undergoing a review process. Once approved, Partner level users will be able to view unsuppressed data tables with data to be used for planning and intervention purposes only. When Partner level access is granted, that user becomes a steward of the data and will be required to uphold the same rules of confidentiality as BHCADD representatives. At a minimum, access to the unsuppressed data can be revoked if suppressed numbers are reported to the public.

When logged in at Partner level, any numbers appearing in red italics would have been suppressed for lower-level MOPHIMS users. The following example, from **Injury MICA**, shows a sex-specific multi-year table focusing on Motor Vehicle Traffic injuries in select Missouri counties. The highlighted 2014 Worth County value triggered suppression and the other red figures were also suppressed so that users could not use algebra to discern those values that triggered suppression.

∧∨ Table	e Result	ts										
Save Table	As 🗸		Send	Table to Side	e by Side			Save Query	-			
	Title:	Missouri	Resider	nt Injuries								
Data sele addition and c	ected in to rows olumns below:	Sex: Fem Mechanis	ale; m: Motor	r Vehicle Tra	affic;							
Year:	2010	2010	2011	2011	2012	2012	2013	2013	2014	2014	Total for selection	Total for selection
Statistics:	Count	Rate	Count	Rate	Count	Rate	Count	Rate	Count	Rate	Count	Rate
County												
Washington	212	1,826.07	174	1,547.23	199	1,780.85	132	1,187.77	165	1,517.92	882	1,575.03
Wayne	75	1,242.56	59	1,097.56	83	1,448.03	74	1,246.38	60	1,069.86	351	1,225.35
Webster	174	1,070.48	174	1,055.70	179	1,085.18	162	960.02	154	899.58	843	1,014.72
Worth	20	2,551.05	4	462.20 *	9	1,104.33 *	8	1,143.64 *	3	469.61 *	44	1,157.09
Wright	105	1,224.86	91	1,108.17	71	888.77	94	1,106.81	80	980.91	441	1,064.10
Total for selection	586	1,353.83	502	1,188.85	541	1,276.73	470	1,092.12	462	1,085.97	2,561	1,200.85
Missouri	30,795	1,041.15	29,970	1,013.18	28,904	975.20	28,111	948.98	25,884	871.84	143,664	970.12
Confide	ntiality:	The red it or publish	alic figur ed, nor s	es would be should the ta	suppres able abov	sed if the co e be release	nfidentia ad or pub	lity rule wer blished with	e in effec only the h	t. They sho nighlighted o	uld not be r ells suppre	released ssed.
	Rate:	Injury rat populatio	es are a on.	nnualized p	oer 100,0	00 resident	s and ar	re age adjus	sted to th	ne U.S. 200) standard	
	Source:	DHSS - N	IOPHIM:	S - Injury M	ICA							
Genera	ted On:	10/3/2017	1:44:25	PM								
	*	Rate is u	nreliable	; numerato	or less th	nan 20						

This is how the same table would appear to lower-level MOPHIMS users with 'x's replacing the values in red font. This example highlights the importance of not releasing any of the numbers shown in red italics. Also note these font conventions will be carried over to any downloads that a user may create.

∧∨ Table	e Result	ts										
Save Table	As 🗸		Send	Table to Si	de by Si	de						
	Title:	Missouri	Resider	nt Injuries								
Data sel addition and c	ected in to rows columns below:	Sex: Fem Mechanis	ale; m: Motor	Vehicle T	raffic;							
Year:	2010	2010	2011	2011	2012	2012	2013	2013	2014	2014	Total for selection	Total for selection
Statistics:	Count	Rate	Count	Rate	Count	Rate	Count	Rate	Count	Rate	Count	Rate
County												
Warren	137	906.14	148	990.42	116	755.04	135	914.23	105	690.33	641	852.00
Washington	212	1,826.07	174	1,547.23	199	1,780.85	132	1,187.77	165	1,517.92	882	1,575.03
Wayne	x	x	x	х	x	х	x	x	x	x	351	1,225.35
Webster	174	1,070.48	174	1,055.70	179	1,085.18	162	960.02	154	899.58	843	1,014.72
Worth	x	x	x	х	x	х	Х	x	x	x	44	1,157.09
Wright	×	x	x	x	x	x	х	x	x	x	441	1,064.10
Total for selection	723	1,239.13	650	1,136.62	657	1,141.51	605	1,043.06	567	982.17	3,202	1,109.69
Missouri	30,795	1,041.15	29,970	1,013.18	28,904	975.20	28,111	948.98	25,884	871.84	143,664	970.12
Confide	entiality:	The "x" s	ymbol i	ndicates t	he confi	dentiality	rule ha	s been tri	ggered.			
	Rate:	Injury rat	es are a	nnualized	per 100	,000 resid	lents an	d are age	adjuste	d to the l	J.S. 2000 s	tandard p
	Source:	DHSS - N	IOPHIM	S - Injury I	MICA							
Genera	ated On:	10/3/2017	7 1:56:55	PM								

Confidence Intervals

Another feature available in many of the MICAs is the ability to select confidence intervals for rates. Confidence intervals are ranges of rates in which the "true" rate would fall a specified percentage of the time if the same method were used to measure the observed rate.⁶ In MICA, both 95% and 99% confidence intervals are available. The

⁶ Redelings MD, Sorvillo, F, Smith LV, Greenland S. Why confidence intervals should be used in reporting studies of complete populations. *The Open Public Health Journal* 2012:5, 52-54. <u>http://benthamscience.com/open/tophj/articles/V005/52TOPHJ.pdf</u> Accessed April 10, 2014.

Indicator example on page 63 can be modified use confidence intervals to explore regional differences.

Add two Southwest Missouri counties, Greene County and Christian County, to the analysis and selected 95% confidence intervals in the **Build Your Results** section.

Birth MIC/	4														M	ICA
∧ ♥Choos	se Your D	ata													MISSOUR FOR COMMI DA	I INFORMATION UNITY ASSESSMENT TA MICAS
∧ ♥Build	Your Res	ults														
Build a Tab	ole Ma	ike a Map	Creat	e a Chart	Docu	imentatio	n / Metad	lata								
	Main	Row:	Indicator		T	Row Tot	als: 🗆			Mair	n Column	Geo	ography	Ŧ	Column Totals	:
	Statis	stics:	Counts an	nd Rates		,	•									
Confid	dence Inte	rvals:	95% Cont	idence Inte	ervals	,	•									
								Subr	nit Query							
∧ ▼Table	e Results															
Save Table	As -		Send Table	e to Side b	y Side											
	Title:	Missouri	Resident I	Births												
Data se	elected in															
addition to colum	rows and ns below:	Single Yea	ar(s): 2014													
County:	Christian	Christian	Christian	Christian	Greene	Greene	Greene	Greene	Missouri	Missouri	Missouri	Missouri				
			Lower 95%	Upper 95%			Lower 95%	Upper 95%			Lower 95%	Upper 95%				
Statistics:	Count	Rate	Conf	Conf	Count	Rate	Conf	Conf	Count	Rate	Conf	Conf				
Indicator			Limit	Limit			Limit	Limit			Limit	Limit				
Birth																
Weight:	58	5 70	4 34	7 23	265	7 31	6.47	8 16	6 163	8 21	8.02	8.41				
than 2500		0.70	4.04	1.20	200	7.01	0.47	0.10	0,100	0.21	0.02	0.41				
g) Education																
Status:	78	7 80	6 14	9.46	440	12.21	11 14	13.28	10 271	13 72	13 47	13.97				
Less Than 12 Years																
Gestation:																
Preterm (less than	75	7.40	5.00			0.00	0.00	40.05	7 000	0.70	0.55	0.07				
37	/5	7.49	5.86	9.11	361	9.98	9.00	10.95	7,322	9.76	9.55	9.97				
weeks)																
Prenatal																
Adequacy	156	16.99	14.56	19.42	603	18.52	17 19	19.85	11 743	16.80	16.53	17.08				
(Kotelchuck Index):		10.00	11.00	10.12	000	10.02		10.00	11,710	10.00	10.00					
Inadequate																
		Rate per Numerate	100 or: Birth W	eight: Lo	w (less ti	han 2500) g)									
		Denomi	nator: Live	Births wi	th Know	n Birth V	Veight									
	Rate:	Numerator: Education Status: Less Than 12 Years tate: Denominator: Live Births with Known Maternal Education Status														
		Numerator: Gestation: Preterm (less than 37 completed weeks)														
	Numerator: Prenatal Care Adequacy (Kotelchuck Index): Inadequate															
	Source	Denomi	nator: Live	Births wi	th Know	n Adequ	acy Prer	atal Car	e (Kotelch	nuck Inde	x)					
Gene	rated On:	10/11/201	7 10:58:34	AM	~											
Co	onfidence	95% conf	idence int	ervals are	displaye	ed.										
	intervals:															

Consider a situation in which a coin is flipped ten times. The "true" rate or chance of the coin landing on either heads or tails for each flip is 50% because we can count the two options (heads or tails). However, if we flip a coin 10 times, we may or may not get heads exactly 5 times, which would equal our "true" rate of 50%. Suppose we get 7 heads. If we then flip the coin another ten times, we may get 7 heads again, or 5 heads, or some other number. Suppose we get 4 heads. Although we know the "true" rate is 50%, our observed rates were 70% and 40%. This is due to random variation or chance.

When working with health data, the "true" rate is virtually never known because, unlike the two sides of the coin, there could be incalculable possibilities. Instead, observed rates and confidence intervals are used to provide a range in which the "true" rate is likely to fall. Usually, a range with 95% or 99% confidence intervals is reported. Thus, reporters are 95% or 99% confident that the "true" rate falls somewhere within the range provided. By definition, 99% confidence intervals will be wider than 95% confidence intervals because one must be even more confident that the "true" rate falls within the range provided.

Even when the exact rate of a particular event is fairly certain in a population, random variation can be observed. Referring to the **Birth MICA** example, the first rate listed on the previous table (5.79) applies to babies born to Christian County residents at low birth weight. The rate for 2014 is 5.79 because it was calculated based on actual cases where the birth weight was listed on the official birth certificate. However, choosing a slightly different period of time, would result in a slightly different rate, even if the two time periods were equal in length. For example, the table above uses the calendar year (January 1 through December 31) to calculate rates. Selecting a different twelve-month period (such as February 1 through January 31), would likely return a slightly different rate due to random chance or variation. Calculating confidence intervals is a way to account for this random variance that is expected when computing the rate multiple times over similar time periods. Results show that 95% of the time, the "true" rate of births with low birth weight in Christian County would fall between 4.34 and 7.23 per 100 live births.

As the number of events (or count) increases, the confidence interval narrows because the rate becomes more reliable. Confidence intervals based on small numbers are much wider due to unreliable rates. A wide confidence interval, such as the one for low birth weight babies born in Christian County (4.34 to 7.23) decreases the likelihood that the calculated rate is close to the "true" rate. When the confidence interval is narrower, babies born at low birth weight statewide (8.02 to 8.41), the calculated rate is more likely to be close to the "true" rate.

Confidence intervals are useful for determining if there is a statistically significant difference between any two rates. If the confidence intervals overlap, there is no statistically significant difference. In theory, the "true" rate could be the same for both variables. For example, low birth weight counts for Christian and Greene Counties, show no statistically significant difference between the two geographies. The confidence intervals overlap. For example, the "true" rate may have been 7.00 for both counties.

Low Birth Weight

Christian County	4.34 7.23
Greene County	6. 47 —— 8. 16
Missouri	8.02 8.41

However, the confidence interval for mothers with less than a high school education (Education Status: Less than 12 years) in Christian County does not overlap with the confidence intervals for Greene County for the same indicator. Therefore, there is a statistically significant difference between the rates of these two counties for the indicator. Greene County has a significantly higher rate of live births to mothers with less than a high school education than its neighbor, Christian County. The "true" rate could not have been the same for the two geographies.

Less than High School Education

Christian County	6.14 9.46		
Greene County		11.14 - 13.28	
Missouri			13.47-13.97

Death MICA

One of the most frequently used MICAs is the **Death MICA**. All data in the **Death MICA** are obtained from the death certificate system and apply to Missouri residents.

Missouri Decentment of Health & Senior Servi	ices	Login Up → Login
Death MICA		MICA
▲ Choose Your Data		MISSOURI INFORMATION FOR COMMUNITY ASSESSMENT DATA MICAS
Year: Geography:	● Single Year(s) 2015 ▼ ● Multi-Year Groups Statewide ▼	
Age:	 Single Age Basic All selected (6) ▼ Expanded Custom Group 	
Sex:	All selected (2) -	
Race:	Basic All selected (2) ✓ Expanded	
Ethnicity:	All selected (2) ◄	
Cause:	To select or expand within the list, click the applicable checkbox or link.	
	Select All Major Items Expand Major Items	
	Select All Intermediate Items (If Major Item is selected)	
	More specific selections will override more general selections.	
	A pound sign (#) marks causes designated by the CDC/NCHS as rankable in choosing leading causes of death.	
	 All Intercolitis due to Clostridium difficile# Septicemia# Other Infections-Parasites Cancer# Benign/in situ neoplasms and neoplasms of uncertain behavior# Diabetes# Parkinson's disease# Alzheimer's disease# Essential hypertension# Stroke (cerebrovascular diseases)# Preview Selections 	
		Reset Your Data

This MICA provides a query screen that is similar to those used in the **Population** and **Birth MICA**s. However, there are a few differences. The **Cause** section of the **Choose Your Data** portion of the screen features causes of death as the indicators for this MICA, shown in an expandable and collapsible scroll screen. Under **Cause**, the 'Select All Major Items' box is checked as a default. This means that every cause of death included in the **Death MICA** will be used in generating a query. This is the equivalent of querying 'All Causes' in the previous MICA system.

Because **Death MICA** features more than 100 specific causes of death, they are grouped in broad categories and users can utilize the plus/minus boxes to query more specific causes. For example, if a user was interested in generating a data table to show the rate of heart attack mortality for Greene County over the past 5 years, they would need to expand the Heart Disease category to find Acute myocardial infarction as an Intermediate item. First, though, be sure to de-select 'Select All Major Items'.

Cause:	To select or expand within the list, click the applicable checkbox or link.
	Select All Major Items Expand Major Items
	Select All Intermediate Items (If Major Item is selected)
	More specific selections will override more general selections.
	A pound sign (#) marks causes designated by the CDC/NCHS as rankable in choosing leading causes of death.
	Benign/in situ neoplasms and neoplasms of uncertain behavior#
	Diabetes#
	Parkinson's disease#
	Alzheimer's disease#
	■ □ Heart disease#
	Rheumatic heart diseases: acute and chronic
	Hypertensive heart disease
	Hypertensive heart and renal disease
	Acute myocardial infarction
	Other acute ischemic heart diseases
	Atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (so described)
	All other forms of chronic ischemic heart disease
	Preview Selections

Users can then make the appropriate selections in the **Choose Your Data** and **Build Your Results sections** to generate a table with the desired results.

Build a Ta	ible I	Make a M	ap (Create a	Chart	Docume	ntation / M	letadata							
	Mai	n Row:	Year			Ro	w Totals:	*		Main Co	olumn:	Geograp	ohy	v	Column Totals:
	Sta	tistics:	Cour	nts and F	Rates		•		Age A	Adjustment O	ptions:	2000 Sta	andard Po	pulation	•
Cont	fidence In	tervals:	95%	Confide	nce Interv	als	•								
								Sut	nit Querv						
∧∨ Tab	le Resul	ts													
Save Tabl	e As 🚽		Send	Table to	Side by S	ide									
	Title:	Missour	Reside	nt Death	S										
addition to rows and columns below:															
County:	Greene	Greene	Greene	Greene	Missouri	Missouri	Missouri	Missouri							
Statistics:	Count	Rate	95% Conf Limit	95% Conf Limit	Count	Rate	95% Conf Limit	95% Conf Limit							
/ear															
2011	126	39.90	33.24	47.51	4,022	57.86	56.07	59.65							
2012	76	22.11	17.42	27.67	4,031	56.78	55.02	58.53							
2013	59	18.03	13.73	23.26	3,807	52.72	51.05	54.40							
014	68	16.04	14.61	24.18	3,960	54.02 49.65	52.34	55.70							
.015	387	22.87	20.65	25.27	19,527	54.14	53.38	54.90							
otal for		D. dl	tes are a	annualiz	ed per 10	0,000 resi	dents and	l are age ad	usted to th	e U.S. 2000	standard		1		
otal for election	Date	Death ra													
otal for election	Rate	Death ra populati	on.												

The National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) categorizes causes of death into groupings, some of which are designated as "rankable." These rankable causes may be used to create a 'leading causes of death' listing as is produced in the Missouri Annual Statistics publications, shown on page 5 (see Table 18, in 2015 edition). Leading cause of death rankings are based on numbers of deaths, not death rates. The rankable causes in the **Death MICA** are designated by a pound sign (#).

Age-Adjusted Rates in MICA

The MICAs that have been viewed thus far have presented only crude, or unadjusted, rates. The rate box (which describes the rate generated in a query) is located below the data table on the query screen. The **Build Your Results** section of the **Death MICA** includes an **Age Adjustment Option**, located below the **Main Column**, shown below. As described on pages 30-31, a "standard" population distribution is used to adjust death and hospitalization rates. Age-adjusted rates are the rates that would have existed if the population under study had the same age distribution as the "standard" population. The U.S. 2000 standard population is recommended by the National Center for Health Statistics and is used as the default setting in MICA. The 1940 and 1970 U.S. standard populations are also available, as are crude (unadjusted) rates. The rate box below the data table notes which type of rate is presented.

Again, *if comparing rates from different sources, it is very important to use the same standard population on both sides of your comparison. It is not legitimate to compare adjusted rates which use different standard populations.* While most current documents will reference the U.S. 2000 standard population, if making comparisons to older documents, the 1940 or 1970 populations may be needed.

Additional documentation regarding age-adjusted rates can be found by clicking the **Age Adjustment Options** hyperlink in the **Build Your Results** portion of the query screen.

Death MICA							MICA
∧∨ <u>Choose</u> Y	'our Data						MISSOURI INFORMATION FOR COMMUNITY ASSESSMENT DATA MICAS
▲ Build You	r Results						
Build a Table	Make a Map	Create a Chart	Documentation / Metadata				
	Main Row:	Geography	▼ Row Totals:	Main Column:	Year	Ŧ	Column Totals: 🗷
	Statistics:	Counts and Rates	•	Age Adjustment Options:	2000 Standard Pop	oulation	•
Confidenc	e Intervals:	No Confidence Interva	als 🔻				
			S	Submit Query			

Confidence Intervals Exercises

- 1. As an employee of the Macon County Health Department, you have been asked to analyze your county's fertility rate for women age 15-44 over the past few years (Fertility & Pregnancy Rate MICA).
 - a. What is Macon County's fertility rate for the 2006-2009 time period?
 - b. What is the 95% confidence interval for the rate in a.? c. What is the 99% confidence interval for the rate in a.? d. What is the state's fertility rate for this time period? e. What is the 95% confidence interval for the rate in d.? f. What is the 99% confidence interval for the rate in d.?
 - g. With 95% confidence, is Macon County's rate significantly different from the state rate? _____ If yes, is it statistically significantly higher or lower? lower? ______ How do you know this? ______
 - h. With 99% confidence, is Macon County's rate significantly different from the state rate? _____ If yes, is it statistically significantly higher or lower?
- 2. The St. Louis City Health Department has provided pneumonia prevention education to city residents for over a decade and would like to see if these efforts have been effective. Use the Preventable Hospitalizations MICA to answer the following questions.
 - a. What is the 95% confidence interval for bacterial pneumonia occurring in 2004? _____

- In 2014?b. With 95% confidence, does St. Louis City have a significantly lower rate of pneumonia hospitalizations for 2014 compared to 2004?
- c. You worked on an ad campaign that started at the end of 2007 and ran through 2013. You are interested to see if there was a significant difference between the 2007 rate for pneumonia hospitalization and the rate in 2013. What is the 95% confidence interval for 2007?
- d. What is the 95% confidence interval for 2013?
- e. With 95% confidence, does St. Louis City have a significantly lower rate of pneumonia hospitalizations for 2013 compared to 2007?

Inpatient Hospitalizations MICA

The **Inpatient Hospitalizations MICA** can provide insight into the occurrence of certain health problems in Missouri. This MICA contains three different types of data:

- 1) Total number of hospital discharges for each diagnosis
- 2) Total dollar amount charged for each diagnosis
- 3) Total number of days patients were hospitalized for each diagnosis

Users select the type of data near the top of the **Choose Your Data** section of the query screen, shown below. Note that choosing the type of data is unique to this MICA and the selection made will impact how the table, chart, or map is displayed. For instance, selecting Hospital Charges will yield a dollar amount. Likewise, no rate information is provided if Hospital Days of Care is selected.

Missouri Department of Health & Senior Servi	ces	L Sign Up → D Login
Inpatient Hospitalizatio	in MICA	MICA
★★ Choose Your Data		MESSOURI INFORMATION FOR COMMUNITY ASSESSMENT DATA MICAS
Year: Geography:	● Single Year(s) 2014 ▼ ◎ Multi-Year Groups Statewide ▼	
Type of Data:	Hospital Discharges	
Age:	Single Age Basic All selected (6) ▼ Custom Group	
Sex:	All selected (2) •	
Race:	® Basic All selected (2) ✓ O Expanded	
Ethnicity:	All selected (2) -	
Diagnosis:	To select or expand within the list, click the applicable checkbox or link.	
	Select All Major Items Expand Major Items Select All Intermediate Items (If Major Item is selected) Expand Intermediate Items Select All Minor Items (If Intermediate Item is selected) More specific selections will override more general selections. All Select All Minor Items (If Intermediate Item is selected) Select All Minor Items (If Intermediate Item is selected) All Select All Select All Minor Items (If Intermediate Item is selected) All Select All Select All Select Selections Select All Minor Items (If Intermediate Item is selected) Select All Minor Items (If Intermediate Item is selected) More specific selections will override more general selections. Select All Select All Minor Items (If Intermediate Item is selected) More specific selections will override more general selections. Select All Select All Minor Items (If Intermediate Item is selected) More specific selections will override more general selections. Select All Select All Minor Items (If Intermediate Item is selected) More specific selections will override more general selections. Select All Minor Items (If Intermediate Item is selected) Select All Minor Items (If Intermediate Item is selected) Select All Minor Items (If Intermediate Item is selected) More specific selections will override more general selections. Select All Minor Items (If Intermediate Item is selected) Select All Minor Items (If Intermediate Item is selected) Select All Minor Items (If Intermediate Item is selected) Select All Minor Items (If Intermediate Item is selected) Select All Minor Items (Item is selected) Select All Mi	
Optional Variables:	None selected Display Above	
		Reset Your Data
ZIP Code and Census Tract Geographies

Some MICAs include the ability to view data by ZIP Code or Census Tract, allowing users to generate data based on zip codes rather than counties/cities or regions. Census Tract data are only available to users who are signed in at the Registered user level.

While access to this data is both important and useful, there are a few caveats to keep in mind, specifically related to the generation of rates and **Year** selections on the **Choose Your Data** portion of the query screen. At this time, the U.S. Census Bureau does not release annual population estimates by ZIP Code or Census Tract. Because of this limitation, for population based MICAs (which includes Death, Cancer Incidence, Fertility and Pregnancy Rate and all hospital and chronic disease-related MICAs) only counts are provided for ZIP Code and Census Tract geographies.

A second restriction limits the years that can be viewed on a single table for both the ZIP Code and Census Tract geographies. Due to boundary changes that occur during the Decennial Census every ten years, users may only view ZIP Code or Census Tract data within a single decennial year grouping. This is because the record-level data, used behind the scenes to generate the data tables, uses the boundaries from either the 2000 or 2010 Census to determine the Census Tract and ZIP Code fields. In MOPHIMS, data from the 2000-2010 time period use the boundaries established during the 2000 Census and for the data from 2011-Present uses boundaries from the 2010 Census.

Multiple process of selecting ZIP Codes for analysis in **Inpatient Hospitalization MICA** follows. Other MICAs use a similar process for ZIP Code/Census Tract selection. Notice how the query screen changes immediately after a user selects **Geography: Zip/ZCTA**.

patient Hospitalizatio	in MICA	MICA
Choose Your Data		MISSOURI INFORMATION FOR COMMUNITY ASSESSM DATA MICAS
Year:	Single Year(s) Multi-Year Groups	
Geography:	Zip / ZCTA 🔹	
Zip / ZCTA County:	Adair Zip / ZCTA: All selected (9) Add=>	All selected (9) -
		Select all
Type of Data:	Hospital Discharges O Hospital Charges O Hospital Days of Care	✓ 63501
Age:	Single Age	G3533
	Basic All selected (6)	☑ 63540
	Custom Group	☑ 63544
Sex:	All selected (2)	≤ 63546
Pace:		
Nace.	Expanded	₫ 63549
Ethnicity:	All selected (2) -	6 3557
Diagnosis:	To coloring symptotic the list allow the explicitly absolutely as list.	G3559 G35 G3559 G3559
- agricolar	To select of expand within the list, thick the applicable checkbox of link.	
	Select All Major Items Expand Major Items	
	Select All Intermediate Items (If Major Item is selected) Expand Intermediate Items	
	Select All Minor Items (If Intermediate Item is selected)	
	More specific selections will override more general selections.	
	Blood and blood forming	
	Bone- connective tissue- muscle	
	Congenital anomalies	
	■ I Digestive system	
	Generation	
	Infection	
	Conjury and poisoning Conjury and poisoning Conjury	
	Mental disorders	

There are a few important things to note when using ZIP Code or Census Tract. If, for example, an analyst chooses a **Year** range that crosses the decennial boundaries, you'll receive an error message like the one circled in orange below will appear. For this example, by modifying the years selected to either 2011-2014 or 2009-2010 the analyst can avoid receiving this error message.

1 Message Year(s) must be within the Zip	MISSOURI INFORM FOR COMMUNITY ASS DATA MICAS				
▲ Choose Your Data					
			After selecting yea	r(s), click Add to display the applicab	le Zip / ZCTA data.
Year:	Single Year(s)	6 selected -	Add=>	All selected (1) -	
	Multi-Year Groups	Calast all	A		
Geography:	Zip / ZCTA 🔹				
Zip / ZCTA County:	T	2014	TA:	Add	None colected -
		2013		Add->	None selected +
Type of Data:	Hospital Discharges O Hos	2012	al Days of Care		
Age:	Single Age	☑ 2011			
	Basic	2010			
	Expanded	☑ 2009			
	Custom Group	2008			
Sex:	All selected (2) -	2007			
Deser		D 2000			

Another common message occurs when a user selects ZIP Codes or Census Tracts that fall in more than one county. For instance, ZIP Code 63557 is located in both Adair and Macon Counties in Northeast Missouri. MOPHIMS is not designed to parse out how many hospitalizations occurred to those living in the Adair County portion of ZIP 63557 separate from those who resided in Macon County. In MOPHIMS, *all ZIP Code data shown are totals for the entire ZIP Code* (regardless of which county was used to make your initial selection). An informational note is displayed when a user attempts to add a ZIP Code that has already been selected from a different county listing.

Inpatient Hospitalizatio	n MICA				MICA
▲ Choose Your Data					MISSOURI INFORMATION FOR COMMUNITY ASSESSMENT DATA MICAS
Year: Geography: Zip / ZCTA County:	 Single Year(s) Multi-Year Groups Zip / ZCTA ▼ Macon ▼ 	4 selected ◄	Zip / ZCTA:	After selecting year(s), click Add 1 Add=> All select All selected (14) •	to display the applicable Zip / ZCTA data. ed (4) • Add=> All selected (21) • Duplicate(s) were not added again
Type of Data: Age:	 Hospital Discharges Single Age Basic Expanded Custom Group 	Hospital Charges All selection	● Hospital Da ted (6)	iys of Care	

Users can also use the B option to key in specific ZIP Codes.

Inpatient Hospitalization MICA	M 1 C A
▲♥Choose Your Data	MISSOUR LIVERANTON FOR COMMINITY ASSESSMENT DATA MICAS
Year: ● Single Year(s) 20 ● Multi-Year Groups Zip / ZCTA	After selecting year(s), click Add to display the applicable Zip / ZCTA data. 5 • Add=> All selected (1) • Show State Totals: 🗹
Zip / ZCTA County: Manual Entry 🔻	Zip / ZCTA: 65109 Add=> None selected - <=Clear

Another option is to choose **From Clipboard** and paste a number of ZIP Codes into the text box that appears. Be sure to click Apply!

Missourl Department of Health & Senior Serv		Home Profiles - MICA - EPHT -		👤 Sign Up 🛛 🗗 Login
Inpatient Hospitalizatio	n MICA	Paste Zip Codes from Clipboard	×	MICA
▲ Choose Your Data		Click the paste button or use $\mbox{Ctrl+V}$ to paste from the clipboard and click Apply	1	MISSOURI INFORMATION FOR COMMUNITY ASSESSMENT DATA MICAS
Maara			ie	applicable Zip / ZCTA data.
Year:	Single Year(s)			
Geography:	Zip / ZCTA			
Zip / ZCTA County:	From Clipboard		ot	l=> None selected <=Clear
Type of Data:	Hospital Discharge			
Age:	 Single Age Basic Expanded Custom Group 	Paste Apply Close		
Sex:	All selected (2) -			

Rates by ZIP Code or Census Tract cannot be calculated in MOPHIMS. However, there are options for users to export data from the MOPHIMS system into a spreadsheet (which could then be taken to a statistical analysis package) to generate rates, especially if a user is confident that the geographic boundaries in their area did not change during the time period of interest. The numerator data (number of events) can be obtained in MOPHIMS through the process described previously and shown in the following screeen capture.

Inpatient	Hospi	talizat	ion M	ICA					
∧∨ Tabl	e Res	ults							
_									
Save Tabl	e As -		1	Send Ta	ble to Side				
	Title:	Misso	ıri Resi	ident In	patient Ho				
Data sele addition t and co	cted in o rows olumns below:	Туре о	f Data: I	Hospital	l Discharge				
Year:	2011	2012	2013	2014	Total for selection				
Statistics:	Count	Count	Count	Count	Count				
Zip / ZCTA									
63501	2,204	2,262	2,008	1,969	8,443				
63533	89	67	67	84	307				
63540	5	10	12	20	47				
63544	116	118	95	95	424				
63546	161	165	149	145	620				
63547	44	35	36	50	165				
63549	296	328	321	288	1,233				
63557	29	14	17	19	79				
63559	178	168	125	118	589				
63431	28	33	33	25	119				
63437	178	166	167	185	696				
63530	90	88	87	95	360				
63532	144	152	156	149	601				
63534	98	116	101	99	414				
63538	28	21	31	22	102				
63539	31	24	21	27	103				
63552	1,087	1,135	1,176	1,123	4,521				
63558	73	97	84	104	358				
64631	114	146	137	140	537				
65247	52	62	52	48	214				
65260	84	78	60	62	284				
Total for selection	5,129	5,285	4,935	4,867	20,216				
5	ource:	DHSS	- MOPH	HMS - I	npatient H				
Generat	ed On:	10/4/20	17 11:0	08:03 A	M				

The denominator, or population data, could be retrieved from a variety of sources. The Population MICA does contain population data by ZIP Code and Census Tract, but it is only available for the years of 2000 and 2010. Alternatively, population data by ZIP Code and Census Tract from the American Community Survey is also available through the Census Bureau's Fact Finder query tool or the Missouri Census Data Center Profiles. Data from these sources use 5 years of data to develop an estimate. Users will need to use caution in determining if either of these options, or other possible data sources, are appropriate denominators. The ACS 5-year estimates come with very high error margins for small are geographies, particularly when looking at estimates by age and gender. The high error margins are why those estimates are not used as the denominators for rate calculations in MOPHIMS.

Once the numerator and denominator data have been accessed, use the formula for rate calculation described in the Profiles section of this handbook to generate crude rates. Additional steps would be necessary to calculate age adjusted rates.

MICA Exercises

1. A co-worker shows you the following table and asks if you could help recreate it using 2008 data. What criteria should be used to create the updated table?

	Title:	Missouri Resident	t Inpatient	Hospitalizati	ons			
Data select rows and	ted in addition to columns below:	Type of Data: Hosp Single Year(s): 200 Pay Source: Medic	iital Dischar 4; aid;	ges;				
Diagnosis:	Blood and blood forming: Anemia: Acute posthemorrhagic anemia	Blood and blood forming: Anemia: Acute posthemorrhagic anemia	Blood and blood forming: Anemia: Deficiency and other anemia	Blood and blood forming: Anemia: Deficiency and other anemia	Blood and blood forming: Anemia: Sickle cell anemia	Blood and blood forming: Anemia: Sickle cell anemia	Total for selection	Total for selection
Statistics:	Count	Rate	Count	Rate	Count	Rate	Count	Rate
Age								
Under 1	0	0.00	6	0.77 *	6	0.77 *	12	1.54 *
1 - 4	1	0.03 *	30	1.01	37	1.24	68	2.29
5 - 9	0	0.00	14	0.37 *	99	2.62	113	2.99
10 - 14	1	0.02 *	5	0.12 *	148	3.55	154	3.69
15 - 17	0	0.00	12	0.48 *	85	3.39	97	3.87
18 - 19	0	0.00	13	0.77 *	120	7.09	133	7.85
20 - 24	0	0.00	15	0.36 *	151	3.64	166	4.01
25 - 29	1	0.03 *	11	0.31 *	81	2.28	93	2.62
30 - 34	4	0.11 *	25	0.67	64	1.72	93	2.50
35 - 39	3	* 80.0	34	0.89	81	2.11	118	3.07
40 - 44	5	0.11 *	45	1.00	83	1.85	133	2.96
45 - 49	2	0.05 *	34	0.78	9	0.21 *	45	1.03
50 - 54	3	0.08 *	33	0.86	1	0.03 *	37	0.96
55 - 59	3	0.09 *	39	1.18	8	0.24 *	50	1.52
60 - 64	3	0.11 *	50	1.89	0	0.00	53	2.01
65 - 69	0	0.00	7	0.33 *	0	0.00	7	0.33 *
70 - 74	0	0.00	4	0.22 *	0	0.00	4	0.22 *
75 - 79	1	0.06 *	0	0.00	0	0.00	1	0.06 *
80 - 84	0	0.00	2	0.17 *	0	0.00	2	0.17 *
85 and Over	0	0.00	5	0.50 *	0	0.00	5	0.50 *
Unknown	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
Total for selection	27	0.05	384	0.66	973	1.74	1,384	2.45
	Rate:	For each Age: Cru Total for selection	ide Rate pe : Age Adju	er 10,000 isted Rate pe	er 10,000	using 2000) Standard	Population
	Source:	DHSS - MOPHIMS	- Inpatient	Hospitalizat	tion MIC/	1		
	Generated On:	10/11/2017 9:55:42	2 AM					
	*	Rate is unreliable	; numerato	r less than 2	0			

a. MICA:

b.	Type Data:
c.	Age:
d.	Sex:
e.	Race:
f.	Ethnicity:
g.	Diagnosis:
ĥ.	Optional Variables:
i.	Pay Source:

- Your supervisor attends one of our presentations and is fascinated by our discussion of the significance map showing asthma ER visit rates for Missouri residents. She asks you to further research asthma prevalence among different demographic groups in Missouri. Use the Emergency Room MICA to perform your analysis on 2014 data.
 - a. How did you find asthma? _____
 - b. Look at sex first. Which sex has a higher rate of asthma?
 - c. Now add basic age to your analysis. Which age-sex group has the highest rate of asthma?

Does this surprise you based on your response to b.?

- d. You decide to look more closely at the group listed in c. and add race to your analysis. Which race (White or Black/African-American) has the highest rate of asthma for the age-sex group you listed in c.?
- e. Your supervisor remembers from our discussion that three counties had 2014 asthma ER visit rates that were significantly higher than the state rate: Jackson County, St. Louis City, and St. Louis County. She asks you to add these counties to your analysis. Change your geography to county and make necessary selections. Note the age group selection will have to be reselected for ages 15 and under. Create a Geography by Race table. Which area has the highest rate of asthma for the race-age-sex group you listed in d.?

Environmental Public Health Tracking Program (EPHT)

EPHT Home Page

Environmental Public Health Tracking (EPHT) is one way to collect data for analysis and research to establish links between disease and exposure to environmental hazards. The goal of EPHT is to provide information that can be used to plan, apply and evaluate ways to prevent and control environmentally-related diseases. EPHT's web page provides data on health, environment, and community demographics. The health data we collect includes lead poisoning, asthma, carbon monoxide, heat and cold related illnesses, cancer, birth defects, occupational health, community profiles, and more.

The EPHT MOPHIMS home page can be accessed from clicking on the 'Data Queries' icon that is located on the EPHT home page http://ephtn.dhss.mo.gov/EPHTN_Data_Portal/.



EPHT MOPHIMS Home Page

Health & Senior Services MOPHIMS Home Profiles - MICA - EPHT -

👤 Sign Up 🔹 🚽 Login

MOPHIMS

The Missouri Public Health Information Management System (MOPHIMS) provides a common means for users to access public health related data to assist in defining the health status and needs of Missourians.



Community Data Profiles are available on various subject areas and provide data on 15-30 indicators for each geography selected.

- Maternal, Infant and Child Health Profiles
- Chronic Disease Profiles
- Injury Profiles
- Death Profile
- Hospital and Emergency Room Visit Profiles
- Special Demographic Profiles
- County-Level Study Profiles



The Missouri Information for Community Assessment (MICA) allows users to summarize data, calculate rates, and prepare information in a graphic format.

- Maternal, Infant and Child Health MICAs
- Chronic Disease MICAs
- Injury MICA
- Death MICA
- Hospital and Emergency Room Visit MICAs
 Population MICA
- Population MICA
 Priorities MICA
- Medicaid/TANF MICAs



(EPHT) program was developed to assist the public, communities, policymakers, and scientists, answer fundamental questions about the relationships between environmental exposures and health effects. Data on this site also include hazard and disease surveillance.

- Health Data
 - Blood Lead Levels
 Asthma
 - Birth Defects
 - Myocardial Infarction
 - Carbon Monoxide Poisoning
- Environmental Data
 Agriculture
 - Agriculture
 Air Quality
- Water Quality
- Community Data National Data

Click Here for sign up and login instructions.

Much like the MICA tools, most of the icons on the EPHT MOPHIMS page provide a **Choose Your Data** screen, which allows the users to select variables, data years, and geographic areas in order to customize your queries. The only options that do NOT provide the user to create a customized query are: Agriculture, Air Quality, Water Quality, and Community Data.

Blood Lead Levels: Tables, Charts, and Maps

For example, our user is inquiring as to how many children under the age of 5 have had their blood lead tested in their county for the last 5 years, as compared to their surrounding counties.



To create that customized table the user would select the **Blood Lead Levels: Tables, Charts, and Graphs** and identify their selected criteria within the Choose You Data screen.

Choose Your Data: Year: Multi-Year Groups>>2010-2011, 2012-2013, and 2014-2015 Choose Your Data: Geography: County>>Taney, Stone, Ozark, Douglas, Christian Choose Your Data: Client Type: 0-5 Years (<72 Months) Choose Your Data: Test Outcome: 5 ug per dL Test Level Build Your Results: Main Row: Geography Build Your Results: Main Column: Year Build Your Results: Statistics: Counts and Percents of Population Build Your Results: Confidence Intervals: No Confidence Intervals Build Your Results: Submit Query

	Title:	Missouri EPHT Blood Lead Client Type: 0-5 Years (< 72 Months);									
Data se addition to column	elected in rows and ns below:										
Year:	2010- 2011	2010- 2011	2012- 2013	2012- 2013	2014- 2015	2014- 2015	Total for selection	Total for selection			
Statistics:	Count	Percent of Population	Count	Percent of Population	Count	Percent of Population	Count	Percent of Population			
County											
Christian	1,964	14.22	1,856	14.14	1,830	14.19	5,650	14.18			
Douglas	564	29.33	577	30.66	549	29.82	1,690	29.93			
Ozark	281	23.89	220	19.47	237	22.27	738	21.90			
Stone	539	16.18	510	15.89	425	13.64	1,474	15.26			
Taney	1,097	14.51	1,095	14.18	817	10.83	3,009	13.18			
Fotal for selection	4,445	15.99	4,258	15.73	3,858	14.58	12,561	15.44			
Missouri	189,651	20.33	180,539	19.75	172,827	19.19	543,017	19.76			
Po	Percent of opulation:	Numerator: Number Tested / Denominator: Population									
	Source:	DHSS - MC	PHIMS -	EPHT Bloo	d Lead						
Gene	rated On:	9/8/2017 9:	11:54 AN	1							

If a user would prefer to view different data within the rows and columns, they can simply modify the **Main Row** and **Main Column** selections in the **Build Your Results** section. The user also has the option to create a map or chart, instead of solely generating a table.

MICA Part II

Cancer Incidence MICA

The **Cancer Incidence MICA** provides cancer incidence data for Missouri residents. It operates somewhat differently from the other MICAs. Data for the **Cancer Incidence MICA** are provided by the Missouri Cancer Registry. The data submitted to DHSS each year include updated files for all previous years back to 1996. Therefore, numbers reported for prior years may change.

Massud Department of Health & Senior Services	MICA+ EPHT+	👤 Sign Up 🛛 🕤 Login
Cancer Incidence MICA		MICA
★★ Choose Your Data		MISSOURI INFORMATION FOR COMMUNITY ASSESSMENT DATA MICAS
Year: Single Year(s) Multi-Year Groups Geography: Statewide Image: Statewide 	•	
Age: Single Age Basic Custom Group	ll selected (12) ▼	
Sex: All selected (2) -		
Race: Basic Expanded	All selected (2) ▼	
Stage at Diagnosis: In Situ or Invasive Stage Stage	All selected (2) ▼	
Site. To select or expand within the list, click Select All Major Items Expand Ma Select All Intermediate Items (If More specific selections will override m All Shares and Joints Brain and other nervous syste Breast Digestive System Expend orbit Eremale genital system Kaposi sarcoma Eulymphoma	the applicable checkbox or link. Jjor Items Major Item is selected) ore general selections. m	
Optional Variables: None selected -	Display Above	
Select all		Reset Your Data

Some of the filters and **Optional Variables** in **Cancer Incidence MICA** are especially helpful in generating specific data queries and are highlighted here. The **Stage at Diagnosis** (in the green box above) allows users to customize their query based on whether the cancer was in situ or invasive, and if it was invasive, at which stage it was discovered.

For instance, if an analyst was interested in determining how many males and females living in the Southwest BRFSS Region were diagnosed with localized breast cancer 2009-2013 the following **Choose Your Data** and **Build Your Results** selections would be made:

Choose Your Data: Year: Multi-Year Groups. Years Per Group: 5. Latest Year: 2013. Go.

Choose Your Data: Geography: BRFSS Region>>Southwest Choose Your Data: Stage at Diagnosis: Stage. Localized Choose Your Data: Site: Breast Build Your Results: Main Row: Sex

Build Your Results: Main Column: Stage at Diagnosis

Build Your Results: Statistics: Counts and Rates

Build Your Results: Confidence Intervals: **95% Confidence Intervals** (default in Cancer Incidence MICA)

Build Your Results: Submit Query

<th>Cancer In</th> <th>icidence</th> <th>MICA</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>MICA</th>	Cancer In	icidence	MICA							MICA			
	∧ ♥Choo	se Your D	ata							MISSOURI INFORMATION FOR COMMUNITY ASSESSMENT DATA MICAS			
Build a Table Make a Map Create a Chart Documentation / Metadata Main Row: Sex Row Totals: Age Adjustment Options: 2000 Standard Population 2000 Standard Population Confidence Intervats: 95% Confidence Intervats 95% Configence Intervats <li< td=""><td>∧∀<u>Build</u></td><td>Your Res</td><td><u>ults</u></td><td></td><td></td><th></th><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></li<>	∧ ∀ <u>Build</u>	Your Res	<u>ults</u>										
Main Row: Sex • Row Totals: Ø Main Column: Stage at Diagnosis • Column Totals: Ø Statistics: Counts and Rates • • Age Adjustment Options: 2000 Standard Population • Confidence Intervals: • 95% Confidence Intervals: • 95% Confidence Intervals • • 95% Confidence Intervals • 2000 Standard Population • Cautors Control Counce • 95% Confidence Intervals • • 95% Confidence Intervals • • 95% Confidence Intervals • Cautors Counce Seate Table Results Cautors Cautors Seate Table Results Cautors Counce Intervals: Seate Table Results Cautors Cautors Seate Table Results Cautors Seate Table Results Cautors Counce Intervals: Seate Table Results Counce Tables • Counce Table Results Cautors Seate Table Results State Stage at Incidence Incidence Incidence Data selected in BRFSS Region: Southwest: addition to rows and Multi-Year Groups: 2000 0.777 Stage at Incidence Intervals • 95% Configence Intervals • Statistics: Count Rate: Statistics: Count Rate: Statistics: Count Rate: Statistics: Counce Tables • are annualized per 100,000 residents and are age adjusted to the U.S. 2000 standard population. Source: DHSS Notement Total for Ander Incidence MICA Cauror: Caurors Incidence MICA <	Build a Tal	Build a Table Make a Map Create a Chart Documentation / Metadata											
Statistics: Counts and Rates Option: 2000 Standard Population Submit Query Image: Statistics: CAUTION: Male Male Statistics: Count Rate Statistics: Count Rate Lower Uption: Uption: Statistics: Count Rate Lower Uption: Uption: Statistics: Count Rate Statistics: Count Rate Uption: Uption: Statistics: Count Rate Statistics: Count Rate Statistics: Count Rate: Concerrincidence rates are annualized per 100,000 residents and are age adjusted to the U.S. 2000 standard population. Source: DHS S- MOPHIMS - Concerrincidence MICA Generated On: 104/2017 11:53:42 AM Contidence: Statistics: Count Contidence: Source: DHS S- MOPHIMS - Concer Incidence MICA Generated On: 104/2017 11:53:42 AM Contidence: Statistics: Count Contidence: Contidence: Statistics: Count Conti		Main F	Row:	Sex	,	Row Totals		Main Column:	Stage at Diagnosis 🔹	Column Totals: 🗹			
Confidence Intervals 98% Confidence Intervals Submit Query		Statis	tics:	Counts and F	ates	¥		Age Adjustment Options:	2000 Standard Population	Ŧ			
Submit Query	Confi	dence Inter	vals: g	5% Confide	nce Interva	als 🔻							
Save Table Results CAUTION: Most breast cancer cases occur in females. Rates will be very different for females compared to males or the overall population. Save Table As - Send Table to Side by Side Title: Missouri Resident Cancer Incidence Data selected in BRFSS Region: Southwest; addition to rows and Multi-Year Groups: 2009-2013; columns below: Site: Breast; Statistics: Count Rate Lower Upper 95% Conf Jagnosis: Localized Localized Localized Localized Localized Localized Localized Localized Male 10 0.42 O 0.20 0.77 Female 1,987 67.71 64.73 70.68 Total for selection 1.997 36.11 34.53 37.70 Rate: Cancer incidence rates are annualized per 100,000 residents and are age adjusted to the U.S. 2000 standard population. Source: DHS - MOPHIMS - Cancer Incidence MICA Generated On: 10/4/2017 11:53:42 AM Conditioned intervals: 3re a displayed							5	Submit Query					
CAUTION: Most breast cancer cases occur in females. Rates will be very different for females compared to males or the overall population. Save Table to Side by Side Title: Missouri Resident Cancer incidence Data selected in BRFSS Region: Southwest; addition to rows and Multi-Year Groups: 2009-2013; columns below; Site: Breast; Stage at Dicalized Localized Lower Upper Statistics: Count Rate Lower Upper Statistics: Count Limit Limit Limit Limit Limit Limit Limit <th colspan="2</td> <td>∧∨Tabl</td> <td>e Results</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <th></th> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	∧∨ Tabl	e Results											
Save Table As * Send Table to Side by Side Title: Missouri Resident Cancer Incidence Data selected in BRFSS Region: Southwest; addition to rows and Multi-Year Groups: 2009-2013; columns below: Site: Breast; Stage at Diagnosis: Coult Rate Lower Upper 95% Conf 95% Conf Upper Limit Limit Limit Limit Sex 0 0.42 (0) 0.777 Female 1.987 67.71 64.73 70.68 Total for 1.997 36.11 34.53 37.70 Rate: Cancer incidence miscale per 100,000 residents and are age adjusted to the U.S. 2000 standard population. Source: DHSS - MOPHIMS - Cancer Incidence MICA Generated 0: 10/4/2017 11;53:42 AM Confidence Issuerator linearcial; are displayed Confidence Stange	CAUTION: M	lost breast	cancer case	es occur in fe	males. Ra	tes will be very dif	ferent for fe	emales compared to males or the ov	verall population.				
Title: Missouri Resident Cancer Incidence Data selected in BRFSS Region: Southwest; addition to rows and Mutti-Year Groups: 2009-2013; columns below: Site: Breast; Stage at Diagnosis: Localized Limit Statistics: Count Rate Lower Upper 95% Conf 95% Conf 1000 residents and are age adjusted to the U.S. 2000 standard population. Sex Count Rate 0.20 0.77 Female 1.987 67.71 64.73 70.68 Total for selection 1.997 36.11 34.53 37.70 Rate: Cancer incidence rates are annualized per 100,000 residents and are age adjusted to the U.S. 2000 standard population. Surge: DHSS - MOPHIMS - Cancer Incidence MICA Generated Ot: 10/4/2017 11:53:42 AM Condidence Intervalia are displayed	Save Table	e As 👻	S	end Table to	Side by S	ide							
Data selected in addition to rows and dulti-Year Groups: 2009-2013; columns below: Site: Breast; Multi-Year Groups: 2009-2013; columns below: Site: Breast; Stage at Diagnosis: Localized Localized Localized Statistics: Count Rate 95% Conf Upper 95% Conf Jimit Limit Jimit Sex Male 10 0.42 (0) 0.20 0.77 Female 1,987 67.71 64.73 70.68 Total for selection 1,997 36.11 34.53 37.70 Sector DHSS - MOPHIMS - Carcer Incidence MICA DHSS - MOPHIMS - Carcer Incidence MICA Generated On: 10/4/2017 11:53:42 AM Total for 10/4/2017 11:53:42 AM		Title:	Missouri R	Resident Car	ncer Incid	ence							
addition to rows and Multi-Year Groups: 2009-2013; columns below: Site: Breast; Stage at Diagnosis: Localized Localized Diagnosis: Count Rate Upper 95% Conf Statistics: Count Rate Upper 95% Conf Statistics: Count Rate Upper 95% Conf Imit Limit Limit Limit Sex 0 0.42 O 0.20 0.77 Female 1.987 67.71 64.73 70.68 Total for selection 1.997 36.11 34.53 37.70 Selection LBS - MOPHIMS - Cancer Incidence MICA DHSS - MOPHIMS - Cancer Incidence MICA Generated On: 10/4/2017 11:53:42 AM Total point in the set of th	Data	selected in	BRFSS Re	gion: Southv	vest;								
Stage at Diagnosis: Localized Localized Localized Localized Statistics: Count Rate Lower 95% Conf Limit Upper 95% Conf Limit Upper 95% Conf Sex Image Image Image Image Image Image Male 10 0.42 (O 0.20 0.77 Female 1.987 67.71 64.73 70.68 Total for selection 1.997 36.11 34.53 37.70 Female 1.997 36.11 34.53 37.70 <th< td=""><td>addition to colun</td><td>nns below:</td><td>Site: Breas</td><td>Groups: 200 t;</td><td>9-2013;</td><th></th><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>	addition to colun	nns below:	Site: Breas	Groups: 200 t;	9-2013;								
Statistics: Count Rate Lower 95% Conf Limit Upper 95% Conf Limit Sex 0 0.42 0.0 0.77 Male 10 0.42 0.20 0.77 Female 1.987 67.71 64.73 70.68 Total for selection 1.997 36.11 34.53 37.70 Rate: Cancer incidence annualized per 100,000 residents and are age adjusted to the U.S. 2000 standard population. Source: DHS S - MOPHIMS - Carcer Incidence MICA Identified on the U.S. 2000 standard population. 10/4/2017 11:53:42 AM Confidence Intervisit: PS* confidence Intervisits are displayed	Stage at Diagnosis:	Localized	Localized	Localized	Localized	1							
Statistics: Count Rate 95% Cont 95% Cont Limit Limit Limit Sex Image: Cont 95% Cont Male 10 0.42 (9) 0.20 Male 1.987 67.71 64.73 Total for selection 1.997 36.11 34.53 Source: DHSS - MOPHIMS - Cancer Incidence MICA Generated On: 10/4/2017 11:53:42 AM				Lower	Upper								
Sex Image: Sex <td>Statistics:</td> <td>Count</td> <td>Rate</td> <td>95% Cont Limit</td> <td>95% Cor Limit</td> <th>IT</th> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Statistics:	Count	Rate	95% Cont Limit	95% Cor Limit	IT							
Male 10 0.42 (2) 0.20 0.77 Female 1,987 67.71 64.73 70.68 Total for selection 1,997 36.11 34.53 37.70 Rate: Cancer incidence rates are annualized per 100,000 residents and are age adjusted to the U.S. 2000 standard population. Source: DHSS - MOPHIMS - cancer Incidence MICA Generated On: 10/4/2017 11:53:42 AM Confidence Intervals are displayed	Sex												
Female 1,987 67.71 64.73 70.68 Total for selection 1,997 36.11 34.53 37.70 Rate: Cancer incidence rincidence rincidence are annualized per 100,000 residents and are age adjusted to the U.S. 2000 standard population. Source: DHSS - MOPHIMS - Cancer Incidence MICA Generated On: 10/4/2017 11:53:42 AM Confidence Intervals: Stan unerator less than 20 Confidence Intervals: Stan unerator less than 20	Male	10	0.42	• 0.20	0.7	7							
Total for selection 1,997 36.11 34.53 37.70 Rate: Cancer incidence riscidence area smulalized per 100,000 residents and are age adjusted to the U.S. 2000 standard population. Source: DHSS - MOPHIMS - Cancer Incidence MICA Generated On: 10/4/2017 11:53:42 AM Confidence Intervals are displayed	Female	1,987	67.71	64.73	70.6	3							
Rate: Cancer incidence rates are annualized per 100,000 residents and are age adjusted to the U.S. 2000 standard population. Source: DHSS - MOPHIMS - Cancer Incidence MICA Generated On: 10/4/2017 11:53:42 AM Rate is unreliable; numerator less than 20 Confidence Intervals: 95% confidence Intervals are displayed	Total for selection	1,997	36.11	34.53	37.70)							
Source: DHSS - MOPHIMS - Cancer Incidence MICA Generated On: 10/4/2017 11:53:42 AM Rate is unreliable; numerator less than 20 Confidence Intervals: 95% confidence intervals are displayed		Rate:	Cancer inc	cidence rate	s are ann	ualized per 100,0	00 residen	ts and are age adjusted to the U.	S. 2000 standard population.				
Generated On: 10/4/2017 11:53:42 AM		Source:	DHSS - MO	OPHIMS - Ca	incer Inci	dence MICA							
Confidence Intervals: 95% confidence intervals are displayed	Gen	erated On:	10/4/2017 ·	11:53:42 AM									
CONTRACTOR INTERVALS, 33 // CONTRACTOR INTERVALS ALE VISUAYES.	Confidence	e Intervals:	95% confid	dence interv	nerator le als are di	splayed.							

There are several important things to note when interpreting the data table generated above. First, there is a red warning that reminds users that breast cancer predominantly occurs in females, which will affect rates by sex. Notice that the female rate of 67.71 per 100,000 is much higher than the male rate of 0.42. The Total for selection, or all persons rate, uses both male and female populations in the denominator, resulting in a rate of 34.53. However, when presenting this data it might be important to decide whether to use the all persons denominator to show the broad burden of breast cancer in the community

or to focus in on the female-only rate—which is more representative of the specific condition.

Another feature that should be considered when reporting this data is that the male rate is based on only 10 cases, rendering it unreliable. As discussed on pages 17-18, any rate based on a numerator less than 20 is not considered reliable and should be used with caution. These cases are flagged in the MOPHIMS system using an asterisk and a footnote below the data table.

Procedures MICA

The **Emergency Room** and **Inpatient Hospitalization MICAs** provide data on diagnoses but not on treatments provided. The **Procedures MICA**, on the other hand, provides information on specific procedures performed. An additional difference is that **Procedures MICA** tracks every procedure performed, instead of the primary procedure as is the case for diagnosis in the other hospital-based data MICAs.



If users are interested in how many procedures are performed on an inpatient versus an outpatient setting, the appropriate selections using the **Setting Optional Variable** will

allow that type of granular analysis. For example, hip replacements are more commonly performed on an outpatient basis for younger patients, while older patients undergo the procedure in an inpatient setting.

Procedur	res MICA	Ą											MIC	A
▲ ♥Choo	ose Your	Data											MISSOURI INFORMATI FOR COMMUNITY ASSESS DATA MICAS	ON MENT
∧ ♥Build	AVBuild Your Results													
Build a Table Make a Map Create a Chart Documentation / Metadata														
	Main	Row:	Age	Ŧ	Row To	otals: 🗹			Main Column:	Settir	ng	Ŧ	Column Totals: 🗹	
	Stat	istics:	Counts and	Rates		T		Age Adju	stment Options:	2000	Standard I	Population	ı •	
Cont	fidence Inte	ervals:	No Confider	nce Intervals		v								
							Submit	Query						
∧∀ Tab	le Result	5												
Save Tabl	le As 🗸		Send Table t	o Side by Side	•									
	Title:	Missouri R	esident Pro	cedures										
Data s additio and	elected in on to rows I columns below:	Single Year Procedures	(s): 2013; : Operations	on the muscu	iloskeletal s	system: Hip	replacem	ent: total and	partial;					
Setting:	Inpatient	Inpatient	Outpatient	Outpatient	Unknown	Unknown	Total for selection	Total for selection						
Statistics:	Count	Rate	Count	Rate	Count	Rate	Count	Rate						
Age														
Under 15	3	0.03 *	5	0.04 *	0	0.00	8	0.07 *						
15 - 24	37	0.44	190	2.28	0	0.00	227	2.72						
25 - 44	490	3.20	336	2.20	0	0.00	826	5.40						
45 - 64 65 and	7,933	87.41	45	0.50	0	0.00	7,978	87.91						
Unknown	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00						
Total for selection	13,040	18.12	768	1.34	0	0.00	13,808	19.46						
	Rate:	For each A Total for se	ge: Crude F election: Ag	Rate per 10,00 e Adjusted Ra)0 ate per 10,	000 using	2000 Stan	dard Popula	tion					
	Source:	DHSS - MO	PHIMS - Pr	ocedures MIC	A									
Gene	erated On:	10/4/2017 1	:41:49 PM											
	*	Rate is unr	eliable; nur	nerator less t	han 20									

WIC (Women, Infants, and Children) MICAs

The **WIC MICAs** include five separate MICA data sets: WIC Child, WIC Infant, WIC Prenatal, WIC Postpartum, and WIC Linked Prenatal-Postpartum. Each data set contains maternal and child health indicators pertaining to that particular WIC population. Data in the **WIC MICAs** is available from 2009 forward. In 2009, the data system used to collect the WIC data changed dramatically, so comparisons to earlier years are not advised.



The WIC query pages themselves most closely resemble the **Birth MICA**. As discussed in a previous section of this handbook, **WIC** and **Birth MICAs** use internal denominators, based on known status, so instead of having **Optional Variables** to be used as filters like in the other MICAs, these systems have both **Indicators** and **Optional Variables**. Many new indicators have been added to the **WIC MICAs**. In the **WIC Child MICA**, users can now gather data related to food and drink consumption and screen time, as well as more traditional indicators like birth weight, immunization compliance, and household smoking practices. Some of this new data is available only for more recent years-selecting older years will result in the following error message.

WIC Child MICA		MICA
3 Messages Daily fruit consumption data are	NESSOURI INFORMATION FOR COMPARIANCE AND A DESCRIPTION OF	
Daily vegetable consumption d	2014	
Daily sweetened beverage con	ears prior to 2014	
★★ Choose Your Data		
Year:	Single Year(s)	! selected -
Geography:	County	Show State Totals: 🗹
County:	4 selected -	
Age:		
	Basic Custom Group	All selected (4) -
Sex:	All selected (2) -	
Race:	Basic Expanded	All selected (2) •
Ethnicity:	All selected (2) -	
Select:	Indicator Optional Variables	;
Indicator:	3 selected -	
	Receiving Medicaid	Reset Your Data
	Receiving TANF	
▲ Build Your Results	Smoking in household	
Build a Table Make a M	Daily fruit consumption – less two times	than adata
Main Row:	Daily vegetable consumption than two times	- less Main Column: Year Column Totals:
Statistics:	Daily sweetened beverage	
Confidence Intervals:	consumption – two or more ti	imes
	Daily diet soda/coffee/tea consumption – one or more t	times Submit Query
▲▼Table Results	Daily screen time exceeds recommendation for age	
To retrieve Table Results: Cho	Daily active play/exercise – le than 60 minutes	ess ubmit Query button above.

Though only two data years are currently available, a four county region in Central Missouri (including Boone, Callaway, Cole, and Moniteau Counties) seems to have stable rates of less than ideal fruit, vegetable, and sweetened beverage consumption, though the rate of sweetened beverage consumption seems to have decreased slightly.

WIC Child	MICA	1										MICA
A❤ Choose Your Data											MISSOURI INFORMATION FOR COMMUNITY ASSESSMENT DATA MICAS	
▲ Build Your Results												
Build a Tab	le	Make a	Мар	Crea	ate a Chart	Docu	mentation / Metadata					
	Mai	in Row		Indicator •		Ŧ	Row Totals: 🗹		Main Column:	Year	•	Column Totals: 🗹
	Sta	tistics	: (Counts a	and Rates		Ŧ					
Confic	lence Ir	ntervals	: 1	No Conf	fidence Inte	rvals	v					
								ubmit Quon				
							3	ubmit Query				
∧ ♥ Table	Resu	lts										
Save Table	As 🗸		S	end Tat	ble to Side	by Side						
	Title:	Misso	uri Res	ident V	VIC Child F	Participan	ts					
Data sele	cted in											
addition t and co	o rows	Count	y: Boon	e, Calla	way, Cole,	Moniteau;						
	below:											
Year:	2014	2014	2015	2015	Total for selection	Total for selection						
Statistics:	Count	Rate	Count	Rate	Count	Rate						
Indicator												
Daily fruit												
- less than	566	15.74	464	15.83	1,030	15.78						
two times												
vegetable												
consumption	760	21.13	625	21.32	1,385	21.22						
 less than two times 												
Daily												
sweetened												
consumption	1,695	47.12	1,358	46.33	3,053	46.77						
- two or												
more times		Crude	Rate p	er 100								
Numerator: Daily fruit consumption – less than two times												
Denominator: Known fruit consumption Rate: Numerator: Daily vegetable consumption - less than two times												
Denominator: Known vegetable consumption												
	Numerator: Daily sweetened beverage consumption – two or more times											
	Source:	DHSS	- MOPI	HIMS -	WIC Child	MICA	age consumption					
Generated On: 10/4/2017 2:41:39 PM												

TANF (Temporary Assistance for Needy Families) MICA

The **TANF MICA** contains data about the number of Missouri residents receiving welfare assistance. Tables are available for four types of residents: families, adults, children, and persons. **TANF MICA** appears to have the distinction of featuring the smallest **Choose Your Data** section of all the MICAs, but the selection of different **Relationship** choices will display additional data filters, depending on the **Relationship** selected.

Missouri Department of Health & Senior Servic	es AMOPHIMS Home	Profiles -	MICA-	EPHT -			👤 Sign Up	+🕽 Login
Temporary Assistance f	or Needy Families (TA	NF) MICA					M	I C A
▲ Choose Your Data							MISSOURI FOR COMMU DAT	INFORMATION NITY ASSESSMENT A MICAS
Year: Geography:	 Single Year(s) Multi-Year Groups Statewide 	2012 -]					
Relationship:	Family Adult Ch	ild 🔍 Persor	1					
Month:	January	-						
Optional Variables:	None select	ed 🕶	Di	splay Above				
							Reset	/our Data

For instance, **Month** is the only filter available for the Family **Relationship**, but **Age**, **Sex**, **Race**, **Month**, and two **Optional Variables** (Education and Time on TANF) are available for the Adult **Relationship**. **Month** will always be a filter variable because TANF data are calculated based on participation on the last day of each month. If a user selects more than one **Month** of data and then neglects to put that variable on either the **Main Row** or the **Main Column** in the **Build Your Results** section, the following error message will display. This is because it is not appropriate to aggregate months of data to generate, say, an annual total. Because participation frequencies are generated monthly, it's extremely likely that participants would be counted multiple times in annual totals, once for each month that they participated in the program.

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) MICA	MICA		
1 Message Either select just one Month or put Month on the Row or Column	MESSOURI INTORAUTION FOR COMMUNETY ASSESSMENT DATA MICAS		
★♥Choose Your Data			
A❤Build Your Results			
Build a Table Make a Map Create a Chart Documentation / Metadata			
Main Row: Geography Row Totals: Image: Main Column: Time on TA	NF v Column Totals:		
Statistics: Counts and Percents of Column Tota 🔻			
Submit Query			

TANF Region is a geography that is available only for the **TANF MICA**. Different Service Areas, based on total population, are composed of various groups of Missouri counties. To determine which Service Area your county belongs, click on the **Documentation/Metadata** tab in the **Build Your Results** section of the query page.

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) MICA								
AVChoose Your Data								
∧ ♥Build	I Your R	tesults						
Build a Ta	ble	Make a N	Ap Create a Chart Documentation / Metadata					
	Ma	in Row:	Geography v Row Totals: 🖉 Main Column:	Year •	Column Totals: 🗹			
	St	atistics:	Counts and Percents of Column Tota Submit Query					
∧∨ Tab	le Resu	Its						
Save Tab	le As 🗸		Send Table to Side by Side					
	Title:	Missour	Resident Families/Cases on TANF					
Data sel addition and o	ected in to rows columns below:	Relations Month: J	ship: Adult; anuary;					
Year:	2012	2012						
		Percent						
Statistics:	Count	Column Total						
TANF Region								
Service Area 1	4,334	11.82						
Service Area 2	5,024	13.70						
Service Area 3	5,198	14.18						
Service Area 4	7,366	20.09						
Service Area 5	5,227	14.26						
Service Area 6	4,970	13.56						
Service Area 7	4,545	12.40						
Total for selection	36,664	100.00						
Missouri	36,664	100.00						
Correct	Source:	DHSS - 1	MOPHIMS - Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) MICA					
Genera	Month	10/4/201 Monthly	7 3:00:43 MM					
	month.	monully	counts reneed a snapshot of participants on the last day of the month.					

Citations

Whenever data are presented in a table, on a chart, or in narrative, the source must be cited. These citations are necessary for several reasons. First of all, citations can be extremely useful to the author of a report or presentation. They allow the author to document exactly when and where a source was accessed so that he or she can check for updates to the data at a later time. Furthermore, community health assessments and grants tend to be long-term projects. If the main author must be out of the office or moves on to a different position, citations can guide other staff members to appropriate source material.

Citations are also useful to readers. They allow readers to verify data that they may doubt. For instance, a concerned citizen may question the agency about a statistic that does not appear to match data from another source. With a citation, that reader and/or the author can locate the original source material to research possible differences in the collection, analysis, or interpretation of the data and determine the differences between the two sources. Thus, the use of citations can enhance readers' perceptions of the validity and reliability of a report. Citations can also lead readers to more in-depth information on specific topics that may interest them. For example, readers of this handbook can refer to the footnotes and the References section if interested in a particular topic covered in this course.

Perhaps most importantly, citations can help writers avoid charges of plagiarism. Plagiarism is "the uncredited use (both intentional and unintentional) of somebody else's words or ideas. . . A charge of plagiarism can have severe consequences, including . . . loss of a job, not to mention a writer's loss of credibility and professional standing."⁷ A citation is necessary if another person's idea is used, even if it is restated and not directly quoted.

Several different style sheets exist, but BHCADD analysts use the American Medical Association (AMA) style to cite works published by our unit. Major peer reviewed public health journals require this style be used when submitting abstracts for potential publication. The AMA publishes manuals explaining AMA style and offers free brief tutorials and quizzes on its website, located at http://www.amamanualofstyle.com/.

⁷ Stolley K, Brizee A, Paiz JM. Overview and contradictions. Purdue University Online Writing Lab (OWL). <u>http://owl.english.purdue.edu/owl/resource/589/01/</u> Updated June 7, 2013. Accessed April 10, 2014.

BHCADD recommends that in-text citations of the Profiles and MICAs list the specific MICA or Profile as the specific item cited, followed by MOHIMS as the name of the website. Bibliography entries should include the tool used as the specific item cited, MOPHIMS as the website used, the appropriate URL, and the date accessed.

Profile in-text citation:

A total of 95,514 Missouri children under the age of 6 were tested for lead poisoning in 2010.¹

1. DHSS, Child Health Profile.

Profile bibliography entry:

Missouri Department of Health and Senior Services (DHSS). Child Health Profile. MOPHIMS (Missouri Public Health Information Management System). <u>https://webapp01.dhss.mo.gov/MOPHIMS/ProfileBuilder?pc=1</u>. Accessed October 11, 2017.

MICA in-text citation:

The death rate for Barry County residents decreased from 992.7 (per 100,000 residents) in 2008 to 800.1 in 2009.²

2. DHSS, Death MICA.

MICA bibliography entry:

Missouri Department of Health and Senior Services (DHSS). Death MICA. MOPHIMS (Missouri Public Health Information Management System). <u>https://webapp01.dhss.mo.gov/MOPHIMS/QueryBuilder?qbc=DM&q=1&m=1</u>. Accessed October 11, 2017.

References

- AMA Manual of Style Committee. AMA Manual of Style: A Guide for Authors and Editors. AMA Style Guide. <u>http://www.amamanualofstyle.com/</u> Accessed October 12, 2017.
- Austin DF, Werner SB. Epidemiology for the health sciences: A primer on epidemiologic concepts and their uses. Springfield, IL: Charles C. Thomas; 1974.
- Ballard J. Basic concepts of data analysis for community health assessment: Presenting public health data. Northwest Center for Public Health Practice; 2009. http://phlearnlink.nwcphp.org/kc/login/login.asp?kc_ident=kc0001&strUrl=http://phlearnlink.nwcphp.org/Default.asp_Accessed September 24, 2009.
- CDC. Suppression of Rates and Counts. United States Cancer Statistics <u>https://www.cdc.gov/cancer/npcr/uscs/technical_notes/stat_methods/suppression.</u> <u>htm Accessed June 30</u>, 2017.
- Curtin LR.& Klein, RJ. Direct standardization (age-adjusted death rates). Healthy People 2000 Statistical Notes (No. 6 – Revised).. Hyattsville, MD: National Center for Health Statistics. DHHS Publication No. PHS 95-1237. Published March 1995.
- Florida Department of Health. *FloridaCHARTS user's guide: Empowering communities* with health information. Florida CHARTS. <u>http://www.flhealthcharts.com/Charts/documents/CHARTS_USER_GUIDE_8_2</u> 012.pdf Accessed April 10, 2014.
- Redelings MD, Sorvillo, F, Smith LV, Greenland S. Why confidence intervals should be used in reporting studies of complete populations. *The Open Public Health Journal* 2012:5, 52-54.
 <u>http://benthamscience.com/open/tophj/articles/V005/52TOPHJ.pdf</u> Accessed April 10, 2014.
- Stolley K, Brizee A, Paiz JM. Overview and contradictions. Purdue University Online Writing Lab (OWL). <u>http://owl.english.purdue.edu/owl/resource/589/01/</u> Updated June 7, 2013. Accessed April 10, 2014.